



Metropolitan Cases

***Staar v. Metropolitan* (Los Angeles County Superior Court)**

In January 2020, Greg Staar, a former probationary employee sued Metropolitan alleging whistleblower retaliation. Mr. Staar claimed he made disclosures to Metropolitan management concerning potential legal risks and that those disclosures were contributing factors to Metropolitan's decision to release him from probationary employment. Mr. Staar filed litigation. In July 2021 prior to trial, Staar and Metropolitan entered into a settlement within the authority of the General Manager and General Counsel. Under the terms of the settlement, Staar dismissed his lawsuit with prejudice and signed a comprehensive release of claims.

***Stronghold Engineering, Inc. v. Metropolitan* (Riverside County Superior Court)**

On July 26, 2021, Metropolitan was served with a complaint filed in Riverside County Superior Court in the case *Stronghold Engineering, Inc. v. Metropolitan*, Case No. CVRI2103080. The contractor alleges MWD breached the contract for Mills Electrical Upgrades - Stage 1A, including the covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing and Implied Warranty of Correctness of the Contract Drawings and Specifications, by causing delays to the project and improperly withholding liquidated damages due to the contractor's failure to complete the project within the time specified by the contract. Metropolitan's initial response is due on September 8, 2021.

Matters Impacting Metropolitan

EPA and Corps to Use Pre-2015 Standard Instead of Navigable Waters Protection Rule For Clean Water Act Jurisdiction

On September 3, 2021, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (collectively, "agencies") announced that they have stopped implementing the Trump administration's 2020 Navigable Waters Protection Rule ("NWPR") and are instead interpreting "waters of the United States" ("WOTUS") consistent with the pre-2015 regulatory regime for the Clean Water Act ("CWA") until further notice. "Waters of the United States" is a term used in the CWA which establishes the CWA's scope of federal jurisdiction. Previously, on June 9, 2021, the agencies had announced their intent to revise the definition of WOTUS in a two-step process: (1) a foundational rule to restore the protections in place before the 2015 Clean Water Rule; and (2) an anticipated second rule that builds on that regulatory foundation. The agencies also conducted several public meetings and requested written pre-proposal recommendations regarding defining WOTUS. Metropolitan submitted a comment letter asking that the definition of WOTUS exclude water

supply infrastructure and include any tributary that contributes a significant volume of flow to another WOTUS, as well as for the agencies to allow flexibility in making jurisdictional determinations.

The agencies' most recent announcement came after a federal district court judge in Arizona vacated and remanded the NWPR on August 30, 2021. The judge based her ruling on the "seriousness of the Agencies' errors in enacting the NWPR, the likelihood that the Agencies will alter the NWPR's definition of 'waters of the United States,' and the possibility of serious environmental harm if the NWPR remains in place upon remand" In the Arizona case, several Native American tribes (represented by Earthjustice) challenged both the NWPR and the agencies' repeal of the 2015 Clean Water Rule. The judge ordered further briefing on the repeal of the 2015 Clean Water Rule.

Several other legal challenges to the 2020 NWPR are ongoing in federal courts in California, Colorado, District of Columbia, Massachusetts, New Mexico, Oregon, South Carolina, and Washington. Metropolitan staff



will continue to monitor these lawsuits and the agencies' rulemaking process, and comment on the agencies' proposed definition of WOTUS.

Other Matters

Continuing Education

On August 30, the Legal Department attended a remote legal training by LexisNexis. The training

was to provide an update and refresher session on Lexis for Microsoft Office, the legal service tool used by staff during the preparation of pleadings.

Matters Received by the Legal Department

<u>Category</u>	<u>Received</u>	<u>Description</u>	
Requests Pursuant to the Public Records Act	11	<u>Requestor</u>	<u>Documents Requested</u>
		1898 & Co.	Contract documents relating to Enterprise Data Analytics
		Center for Contract Compliance	Contract documents relating to the Orange County Region Pipelines Phase I
		Cordoba Corporation	MWD easement located on property at 3210 Rainbow Valley Road in the City of Rainbow
		Fox 11 KTTV/KCOP 13	Data on water usage at MWD facilities and whether any facilities use bottled water
		IDAR Group	Contact information for current MWD employees
		Infojini Consulting	Technical and cost proposals and amount spent to date on On-Call Information Technology Services
		MWD Supervisors Association	Transcript and chats from the Coffee with the GM session on August 5, 2021
		Joseph C. Truxaw and Associates	As-built plans for any existing utilities near project in Culver City
		University of California, San Diego, Graduate Student	Data on homes that received turf replacement rebates from 2000-2020
		University of California, San Diego, Professor	Sampling data for pesticides in Colorado River water and copy of the Urban Water Management Plan



	<u>Requestor</u>	<u>Documents Requested</u>
	WSP USA	Proposals and task orders for: (1) On-Call Services Engineering Services for Water Treatment Facilities, Conveyance, Storage & Distribution Facilities, and Large Rotating Equipment; and (2) Asset Management Program Planning and Development Services
Other Matters	1	California Department of Fair Employment and Housing Notice of Filing of Discrimination Complaint against MWD

PLEASE NOTE

- ADDITIONS ONLY IN THE FOLLOWING TABLES WILL BE SHOWN IN RED.
- ANY CHANGE IN CONTRACT AMOUNTS WILL BE SHOWN IN REDLINE FORM (I.E., ADDITIONS, REVISIONS, DELETIONS).



Bay-Delta and SWP Litigation	
Subject	Status
Consolidated DCP Revenue Bond Validation Action and CEQA Case <i>Sierra Club, et al. v. California Department of Water Resources</i> (CEQA, designated as lead case) <i>DWR v. All Persons Interested</i> (Validation) Sacramento County Superior Ct. (Judge Earl)	• Validation Action • Metropolitan, Mojave Water Agency, Coachella Valley Water District, and Santa Clarita Valley Water Agency have filed answers in support • Kern County Water Agency, Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District, Oak Flat Water District, County of Kings, Kern Member Units & Dudley Ridge Water District, and City of Yuba City filed answers in opposition • North Coast Rivers Alliance et al., Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association, Sierra Club et al., County of Sacramento & Sacramento County Water Agency, CWIN et al., Clarksburg Fire Protection District, Delta Legacy Communities, Inc, and South Delta Water Agency & Central Delta Water Agency have filed answers in opposition • Case ordered consolidated with the DCP Revenue Bond CEQA Case for pre-trial and trial purposes and assigned to Judge Earl for all purposes • Sierra Club <u>and DWR motions</u> for summary judgment on CEQA affirmative defense <u>ordered continued to Dec. 17, 2021; two additional motions on CEQA anticipated and must be noticed for same hearing date</u> • CEQA Case • Sierra Club, Center for Biological Diversity, Planning and Conservation League, Restore the Delta, and Friends of Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge filed a standalone CEQA lawsuit challenging DWR's adoption of the bond resolutions • Alleges DWR violated CEQA by adopting bond resolutions before certifying a Final EIR for the Delta Conveyance Project • Cases ordered consolidated for pre-trial and trial purposes • Sierra Club motion for summary judgment on CEQA cause of action hearing <u>ordered continued to Dec. 17, 2021</u>



SWP-CVP 2019 BiOp Cases <i>Pacific Coast Fed'n of Fishermen's Ass'ns, et al. v. Raimondo, et al. (PCFFA)</i> <i>Calif. Natural Resources Agency, et al. v. Raimondo, et al. (CNRA)</i> Federal District Court, Eastern Dist. of California, Fresno Division (Judge Drozd)	• SWC intervened in both <i>PCFFA</i> and <i>CNRA</i> cases • Briefing on federal defendants' motion to dismiss CNRA's California ESA claim is complete; no hearing date set and may be decided on the papers • Federal defendants circulated administrative records for each of the BiOps • December 18, 2020 PCFFA and CNRA filed motions to complete the administrative records or to consider extra-record evidence in the alternative with a hearing date of March 2, 2021 • Both cases stayed until Sept. 30, 2021 and federal defendants committed to reinitiating consultation by Oct. 1, 2021
CESA Incidental Take Permit Cases Coordinated Case Name <i>CDWR Water Operations Cases</i>, JCCP 5117 (Coordination Trial Judge Gevercer) <i>Metropolitan & Mojave Water Agency v. Calif. Dept. of Fish & Wildlife, et al. (CESA/CEQA/Breach of Contract)</i> <i>State Water Contractors & Kern County Water Agency v. Calif. Dept. of Fish & Wildlife, et al. (CESA/CEQA)</i> <i>Tehama-Colusa Canal Auth., et al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources (CEQA)</i> <i>San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water Dist. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources, et al. (CEQA/CESA/ Breach of Contract/Takings)</i> <i>Sierra Club, et al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources (CEQA/Delta Reform Act/Public Trust)</i> <i>North Coast Rivers Alliance, et al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources (CEQA/Delta Reform Act/Public Trust)</i> <i>Central Delta Water Agency, et. al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources (CEQA/Delta Reform Act/Public Trust/ Delta Protection Acts/Area of Origin)</i> <i>San Francisco Baykeeper, et al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources, et al. (CEQA/CESA)</i>	• All 8 cases ordered coordinated in Sacramento County Superior Court • Stay on discovery issued until coordination trial judge orders otherwise • All four Fresno cases transferred to Sacramento to be heard with the four other coordinated cases • SWC and Metropolitan have submitted Public Records Act requests seeking administrative record materials and other relevant information • Answers filed in the three cases filed by State Water Contractors, including Metropolitan's • Draft administrative records due Sept. 16, 2021 • Certified administrative records due early March 2022



CDWR Environmental Impact Cases Sacramento Superior Ct. Case No. JCCP 4942, 3d DCA Case No. C091771 (20 Coordinated Cases) Validation Action <i>DWR v. All Persons Interested</i> CEQA 17 cases CESA/Incidental Take Permit 2 cases	• Cases dismissed after DWR rescinded project approval, bond resolutions, decertified the EIR, and CDFW rescinded the CESA incidental take permit • January 10, 2020 – Nine motions for attorneys' fees and costs denied in their entirety • Parties have appealed attorneys' fees and costs rulings • Reply briefs due <u>Oct. 15, 2021</u>
COA Addendum/ No-Harm Agreement <i>North Coast Rivers Alliance v. DWR</i> Sacramento County Superior Ct. (Judge Gevercer)	• Plaintiffs allege violations of CEQA, Delta Reform Act & public trust doctrine • USBR Statement of Non-Waiver of Sovereign Immunity filed September 2019 • Westlands Water District and North Delta Water Agency granted leave to intervene • Metropolitan & SWC monitoring • Deadline to prepare administrative record extended to Sept. 17, 2021
Delta Plan Amendments and Program EIR 4 Consolidated Cases Sacramento County Superior Ct. (Judge Gevercer) <i>North Coast Rivers Alliance, et al. v. Delta Stewardship Council</i> (lead case) <i>Central Delta Water Agency, et al. v. Delta Stewardship Council</i> <i>Friends of the River, et al. v. Delta Stewardship Council</i> <i>California Water Impact Network, et al. v. Delta Stewardship Council</i> Delta Stewardship Council Cases 3 Remaining Cases (CEQA claims challenging original 2013 Delta Plan EIR) (Judge Chang) <i>North Coast Rivers Alliance, et al. v. Delta Stewardship Council</i> <i>Central Delta Water Agency, et al. v. Delta Stewardship Council</i> <i>California Water Impact Network, et al. v. Delta Stewardship Council</i>	• Cases challenge, among other things, the Delta Plan Updates recommending dual conveyance as the best means to update the SWP Delta conveyance infrastructure to further the coequal goals • Allegations relating to "Delta pool" water rights theory and public trust doctrine raise concerns for SWP and CVP water supplies • Cases consolidated for pre-trial and trial under <i>North Coast Rivers Alliance v. Delta Stewardship Council</i> • SWC granted leave to intervene • Metropolitan supports SWC • 2013 and 2018 cases to be heard separately due to peremptory challenge • SWC and several individual members, including Metropolitan, SLDMWA and Westlands have dismissed their remaining 2013 CEQA claims but remain intervenor-defendants in the three remaining <i>Delta Stewardship Council Cases</i> 2013 Cases • Hearing on merits of CEQA claims in the three remaining 2013 cases re-set for Nov. 5, 2021 2018 Cases • July 15, 2021 - Opening Briefs



	• Oct. 13, 2021 - Opposition Briefs • Nov. 22, 2021 - Reply Briefs • Dec. 10, 2021 - Case Management Conference to set hearing on the merits
SWP Contract Extension Validation Action Sacramento County Superior Ct. (Judge Culhane) <i>DWR v. All Persons Interested in the Matter, etc.</i>	• DWR seeks a judgment that the Contract Extension amendments to the State Water Contracts are lawful • Metropolitan and 7 other SWCs filed answers in support of validity to become parties • Four answers filed in opposition denying validity on multiple grounds raised in affirmative defenses • Case deemed related to the two CEQA cases below and assigned to Judge Culhane • DWR certified the administrative record for the validation action on May 3, 2021 • Parties stipulated to a revised briefing schedule in all three related cases (validation and CEQA): • Opening Briefs Sept. 17, 2021 • Opposition Briefs Nov. 15, 2021 • Reply Briefs Dec. 17, 2021 • Jan. 5, 2022 Hearing on the merits with CEQA cases, below
SWP Contract Extension CEQA Cases Sacramento County Superior Ct. (Judge Culhane) <i>North Coast Rivers Alliance, et al. v. DWR</i> <i>Planning & Conservation League, et al. v. DWR</i>	• Petitions for writ of mandate alleging CEQA and Delta Reform Act violations filed on January 8 & 10, 2019 • Deemed related to DWR's Contract Extension Validation Action and assigned to Judge Culhane • Administrative Record completed • DWR filed its answers on September 28, 2020 • Metropolitan, Kern County Water Agency and Coachella Valley Water District have intervened and filed answers in the two CEQA cases • Briefing and hearing on the merits same as for the SWP Contract Extension Validation Action, above



Delta Conveyance Project Soil Exploration Case <i>Central Delta Water Agency, et al. v. DWR</i> Sacramento County Superior Ct. (Judge Chang)	• Filed August 10, 2020 • Plaintiffs Central Delta Water Agency, South Delta Water Agency and Local Agencies of the North Delta • One cause of action alleging that DWR's adoption of an Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) for soil explorations needed for the Delta Conveyance Project violates CEQA • March 24, 2021 Second Amended Petition filed to add allegation that DWR's addendum re changes in locations and depths of certain borings violates CEQA • Deadline to prepare the administrative record extended to Sept. 8, 2021
Water Management Tools Contract Amendment <i>California Water Impact Network et al. v. DWR</i> Sacramento County Superior Ct. (Judge Earl) <i>North Coast Rivers Alliance, et al. v. DWR</i> Sacramento County Super. Ct. (Judge Earl)	• Filed September 28, 2020 • CWIN and Aqualliance allege one cause of action for violation of CEQA • NCRA et al. allege four causes of action for violations of CEQA, the Delta Reform Act, Public Trust Doctrine and seeking declaratory relief • Deadline to prepare the administrative record extended to Sept. 27, 2021 in <i>CWIN v. DWR</i> case and Oct. 1, 2021 in <i>NCRA v. DWR</i> case • <i>CWIN</i> case reassigned to Judge Earl so both cases will be heard together • Trial set for Jan. 14, 2022



San Diego County Water Authority v. Metropolitan, et al.		
Cases	Date	Status
2010, 2012	Aug. 13-14, 2020	Final judgment and writ issued. Transmitted to the Board on August 17.
	Aug. 28, Sept. 1	SDCWA and Metropolitan filed memoranda of costs.
	Sept. 11	Metropolitan filed notice of appeal of judgment and writ.
	Sept. 14, 16	Metropolitan filed motion to strike SDCWA's costs memorandum, and SDCWA filed motion to strike or tax Metropolitan's costs memorandum.
	Jan. 13, 2021	Court issued order finding SDCWA is the prevailing party on the Exchange Agreement, entitled to attorneys' fees and costs under the contract.
	Feb. 4	Metropolitan filed opening appellate brief regarding final judgment and writ.
	Feb. 10	Court issued order awarding SDCWA statutory costs, granting SDCWA's and denying Metropolitan's related motions.
	Feb. 16	Per SDCWA's request, Metropolitan paid contract damages in 2010-2012 cases judgment and interest. Metropolitan made same payment in Feb. 2019, which SDCWA rejected.
	Feb. 25	Metropolitan filed notice of appeal of Jan. 13 (prevailing party on Exchange Agreement) and Feb. 10 (statutory costs) orders.
	May 7	SDCWA filed responding appellate brief regarding final judgment and writ.
	June 28	Metropolitan filed reply appellate brief regarding final judgment and writ.
	Aug. 5	Metropolitan filed opening appellate brief regarding prevailing party on the Exchange Agreement and statutory costs.
	<u>Sept. 15</u>	<u>Appellate oral argument on Metropolitan's appeal regarding final judgment and writ.</u>
2014, 2016	Aug. 28, 2020	SDCWA served first amended (2014) and second amended (2016) petitions/complaints.
	Sept. 28	Metropolitan filed demurrers and motions to strike portions of the amended petitions/complaints.
	Sept. 28-29	Member agencies City of Torrance, Eastern Municipal Water District, Foothill Municipal Water District, Las Virgenes Municipal Water District, Three Valleys Municipal Water District, Municipal Water District of Orange County, West Basin Municipal Water District, and Western



		Municipal Water District filed joinders to the demurrers and motions to strike.
Cases	Date	Status
2014, 2016 (cont.)	Feb. 16, 2021	Court issued order denying Metropolitan's demurrers and motions to strike, allowing SDCWA to retain contested allegations in amended petitions/complaints.
	March 22	Metropolitan filed answers to the amended petitions/complaints and cross-complaints against SDCWA for declaratory relief and reformation, in the 2014, 2016 cases.
	March 22-23	Member agencies City of Torrance, Eastern Municipal Water District, Foothill Municipal Water District, Las Virgenes Municipal Water District, Three Valleys Municipal Water District, Municipal Water District of Orange County, West Basin Municipal Water District, and Western Municipal Water District filed answers to the amended petitions/complaints in the 2014, 2016 cases.
	April 23	SDCWA filed answers to Metropolitan's cross-complaints.
2017	July 23, 2020	Dismissal without prejudice entered.
2018	July 28	Parties filed a stipulation and application to designate the case complex and related to the 2010-2017 cases, and to assign the case to Judge Massullo's court.
	Nov. 13	Court ordered case complex and assigned to Judge Massullo's court.
	April 21	SDCWA filed second amended petition/complaint.
	May 25	Metropolitan filed motion to strike portions of the second amended petition/complaint.
	May 25-26	Member agencies City of Torrance, Eastern Municipal Water District, Foothill Municipal Water District, Las Virgenes Municipal Water District, Three Valleys Municipal Water District, Municipal Water District of Orange County, West Basin Municipal Water District, and Western Municipal Water District filed joinders to the motion to strike.
	July 14	Hearing on Metropolitan's motion to strike portions of the second amended petition/complaint.
	July 19	Court issued order denying Metropolitan's motion to strike portions of the second amended petition/complaint.
	July 29	Metropolitan filed answer to the second amended petition/complaint and cross-complaint against SDCWA for declaratory relief and reformation.
	<u>July 29</u>	<u>Member agencies City of Torrance, Eastern Municipal Water District, Foothill Municipal Water District, Las Virgenes Municipal Water District, Three Valleys Municipal Water District, Municipal Water District of Orange County, West Basin Municipal Water District, and Western</u>



		<u>Municipal Water District filed answers to the second amended petition/complaint.</u>
	<u>Aug. 31</u>	<u>SDCWA filed answer to Metropolitan's cross-complaint.</u>
Cases	Date	Status
2014, 2016, 2018	June 11	Metropolitan lodged administrative records.
	June 11, 21	Deposition of non-party witness.
	Aug. 25	Hearing on Metropolitan's motion for further protective order regarding deposition of non-party witness.
	<u>Aug. 25</u>	<u>Court issued order consolidating the 2014, 2016, and 2018 cases for all purposes, including trial.</u>
	<u>Aug. 30</u>	<u>Court issued order granting Metropolitan's motion for a further protective order regarding deposition of non-party witness.</u>
	<u>Aug. 31</u>	<u>SDCWA filed consolidated answer to Metropolitan's cross-complaints in the 2014, 2016, and 2018 cases.</u>
	Sept. 17	Next Case Management Conference.
All Cases	April 15, 2021	Case Management Conference on 2010-2018 cases. Court set trial in 2014, 2016, and 2018 cases on May 16-27, 2022.
	April 27	SDCWA served notice of deposition of non-party witness.
	May 13-14	Metropolitan filed motions to quash and for protective order regarding deposition of non-party witness.
	June 3	Hearing on motions to quash and for protective order regarding deposition of non-party witness.
	June 4	Ruling on motions to quash and for protective order.



Outside Counsel Agreements				
Firm Name	Matter Name	Agreement No.	Effective Date	Contract Maximum
Andrade Gonzalez LLP	MWD v. DWR, CDFW and CDNR Incidental Take Permit (ITP) CESA/CEQA/Contract Litigation	185894	07/20	\$250,000
Aleshire & Wynder	Oil, Mineral and Gas Leasing	174613	08/18	\$50,000
Atkinson Andelson Loya Ruud & Romo	Employee Relations	59302	04/04	\$1,214,517
	MWD v. Collins	185892	06/20	\$60,000
	MWD Drone and Airspace Issues	193452	08/20	\$50,000
	Equal Employee Opportunity Commission Charge	200462	03/21	\$20,000
	Public Employment Relations Board Charge No. LA-CE-1441-M	200467	03/21	\$30,000
	Representation re the Shaw Law Group's Investigations	200485	05/20/21	\$50,000
	<u>DFEH Charge (DFEH Number 202102-12621316)</u>	<u>201882</u>	<u>07/01/21</u>	<u>\$25,000</u>
	<u>AFSCME Local 1902 in Grievance No. 1906G020 (CSU Meal Period)</u>	<u>201883</u>	<u>07/12/21</u>	<u>\$30,000</u>
Best, Best & Krieger	Navajo Nation v. U.S. Department of the Interior, et al.	54332	05/03	\$185,000
	Iron Mountain SMARA (Surface Mining and Reclamation Act)	158043	07/17	\$250,000
	Bay-Delta Conservation Plan/Delta Conveyance Project (with SWCs)	170697	08/17	\$500,000
	Environmental Compliance Issues	185888	05/20	\$50,000
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Dickens, Duffy & Prendergast, LLP	FCC and Communications Matters	110227	11/10	\$100,000
Buchalter, a Professional Corp.	Union Pacific Industry Track Agreement	193464	12/07/20	\$50,000



Firm Name	Matter Name	Agreement No.	Effective Date	Contract Maximum
Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP	Real Property - General	180192	01/19	\$100,000
	Labor and Employment Matters	180207	04/19	\$50,000
	General Real Estate Matters	180209	08/19	\$100,000
	Delta Conveyance Project Validation Action	185893	07/20	\$100,000
Law Office of Alexis S.M. Chiu*	Bond Counsel	174595	07/18	N/A
	Bond Counsel	200468	07/21	N/A
Cislo & Thomas LLP	Intellectual Property	170703	08/17	\$75,000
Curis Bartling P.C.*	Bond Counsel	174596	07/18	N/A
	Bond Counsel	200470	07/21	N/A
Duane Morris LLP	SWRCB Curtailment Process	138005	09/14	\$615,422
Duncan, Weinberg, Genzer & Pembroke PC	Power Issues	6255	09/95	\$3,175,000
Ellison, Schneider, Harris & Donlan	Colorado River Issues	69374	09/05	\$175,000
	Issues re SWRCB	84457	06/07	\$200,000
Haden Law Office	Real Property Matters re Agricultural Land	180194	01/19	\$50,000
Hanson Bridgett LLP	SDCWA v. MWD	124103	03/12	\$1,100,000
	Finance Advice	158024	12/16	\$100,000
	Deferred Compensation/HR	170706	10/17	\$ 400,000
	Food and Water Watch v. MWD	174612	09/18	\$200,000
	Tax Issues	180200	04/19	\$50,000
Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP*	Bond Counsel	174601	07/18	N/A
	Bond Counsel	193469	07/21	N/A



Firm Name	Matter Name	Agreement No.	Effective Date	Contract Maximum
Horvitz & Levy	SDCWA v. MWD	124100	02/12	\$900,000
	General Appellate Advice	146616	12/15	\$100,000
	Food and Water Watch v. MWD Appeal	185862	09/19	\$60,000
Hunt Ortmann Palffy Nieves Darling & Mah, Inc.	Construction Contracts/COVID-19 Emergency	185883	03/20	\$40,000
Internet Law Center	HR Matter	174603	05/18	\$60,000
	Cybersecurity and Privacy Advice and Representation	200478	04/13/21	\$100,000
	Systems Integrated, LLC v. MWD	201875	05/17/21	\$40,000
Amira Jackmon, Attorney at Law*	Bond Counsel	200464	07/21	N/A
Jackson Lewis P.C.	Employment: Department of Labor Office of Contract Compliance (OFCCP)	137992	02/14	\$45,000
Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation*	Bond Counsel	200465	07/21	N/A
Kegel, Tobin & Truce	Workers' Compensation	180206	06/19	\$100,000
Lesnick Prince & Pappas LLP	Topock/PG&E's Bankruptcy	185859	10/19	\$30,000
Liebert Cassidy Whitmore	Labor and Employment	158032	02/17	\$201,444
	EEO Investigations	180193	01/19	\$100,000
	FLSA Audit	180199	02/19	\$50,000
LiMandri & Jonna LLP	Bacon Island Subrogation	200457	03/21	\$50,000
Manatt, Phelps & Phillips	In Re Tronox Incorporated	103827	08/09	\$540,000
	SDCWA v. MWD rate litigation	146627	06/16	\$2,900,000



Firm Name	Matter Name	Agreement No.	Effective Date	Contract Maximum
Meyers Nave Riback Silver & Wilson	OCWD v. Northrop Corporation	118445	07/11	\$2,300,000
	IID v. MWD	185900	08/20	\$ 410,000
	IID v. MWD (Contract Litigation)	193472	02/21	\$100,000
Miller Barondess, LLP	SDCWA v. MWD	138006	12/14	\$600,000
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius	SDCWA v. MWD	110226	07/10	\$8,750,000
	Project Labor Agreements	200476	04/21	\$100,000
Musick, Peeler & Garrett LLP	Colorado River Aqueduct Electric Cables Repair/Contractor Claims	193461	11/20	\$300,000
Nixon Peabody LLP*	Bond Counsel	174600	07/18	N/A
Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP*	Bond Counsel	200466	07/21	N/A
Olson Remcho LLP	Government Law	131968	07/14	\$200,000
	Ethics Office	170714	01/18	\$350,000
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan	Appellate	174598	04/18	\$100,000
Ryan & Associates	Leasing Issues	43714	06/01	\$100,000
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	HR Litigation	185863	12/19	\$250,000
Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth*	Bond Counsel	174599	07/18	N/A
	Bond Counsel	200471	07/21	N/A
	Financial Disclosure	185880	06/21	N/A
Theodora Oringher PC	OHL USA, Inc. v. MWD	185854	09/19	\$900,000
	Construction Contracts - General Conditions Update	185896	07/20	\$50,000
Thomas Law Group	MWD v. DWR, CDFW, CDNR – Incidental Take Permit (ITP) CESA/CEQA/Contract Litigation	185891	05/20	\$250,000



Firm Name	Matter Name	Agreement No.	Effective Date	Contract Maximum
Thompson Coburn LLP	FERC Representation re Colorado River Aqueduct Electrical Transmission System	122465	12/11	\$100,000
	NERC Energy Reliability Standards	193451	08/20	\$25,000
Van Ness Feldman, LLP	General Litigation	170704	07/18	\$50,000
	Colorado River MSHCP	180191	01/19	\$50,000
	Bay-Delta and State Water Project Environmental Compliance	193457	10/15/20	\$50,000
Western Water and Energy	California Independent System Operator Related Matters	193463	11/20/20	\$100,000

*Expenditures paid by Bond Proceeds/Finance