## Matters Received

Category
Requests Pursuant to
the Public Records

Rece
6
6
Requestor
Costin Public Outreach Group
HDR, Inc.

Mark Company
MWD Supervisors
Association

Omega Engineering Consultant
Salt Partners

Documents Requested
Solicitations for Public Outreach On-Call Services
Responses to Request for Proposal for Regional Recycled Water Program Environmental Planning Support
MWD Standard Detail Book
State Auditor's recommendations to MWD's EEO Office referenced in the General Manager's September 2022 Monthly Report
Water and sewer service letters for property being developed in Riverside MWD contract for sodium chloride, including quantity, price, and quality

Other 1
Notice of Appeal of Bid Protest Determination from Gilman Builders, Inc., appealing MWD's determination letter relating to the project for MWD Headquarters Building Exterior Physical Security Improvements

# Bay-Delta and SWP Litigation 

| Subject |
| :--- |
| Consolidated DCP Revenue Bond Validation |

Action and CEQA Case

Sierra Club, et al. v. California Department of Water Resources (CEQA, designated as lead case)

DWR v. All Persons Interested (Validation)
Sacramento County Superior Ct.
(Judge Kenneth C. Mennemeier)

## Status

## - Validation Action

- Metropolitan, Mojave Water Agency, Coachella Valley Water District, and Santa Clarita Valley Water Agency have filed answers in support
- Kern County Water Agency, Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District, Oak Flat Water District, County of Kings, Kern Member Units \& Dudley Ridge Water District, and City of Yuba City filed answers in opposition
- North Coast Rivers Alliance et al., Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association, Sierra Club et al., County of Sacramento \& Sacramento County Water Agency, CWIN et al., Clarksburg Fire Protection District, Delta Legacy Communities, Inc, and South Delta Water Agency \& Central Delta Water Agency have filed answers in opposition
- Case ordered consolidated with the DCP Revenue Bond CEQA Case for pre-trial and trial purposes and assigned to Judge Earl for all purposes
- DWR's motions for summary judgment re CEQA affirmative defenses granted; crossmotions by opponents denied
- August 25, 2022 North Coast Rivers Alliance filed motion for summary judgment on Delta Reform Act and public trust doctrine affirmative defenses; DWR filed motion for summary adjudication of all Delta Reform Act and public trust doctrine affirmative defenses; Metropolitan and other supporting water contractors joined DWR's motion; Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Assn. filed motion for summary adjudication on scope of DWR's complaint re Prop 13 applicability to future taxes that may be adopted to repay bonds
- Nov. 18, 2022 Hearing on dispositive motions
- Dec. 9, 2022 Case Management Conference
- CEQA Case
- Sierra Club, Center for Biological Diversity, Planning and Conservation League, Restore the Delta, and Friends of Stone

Lakes National Wildlife Refuge filed a standalone CEQA lawsuit challenging DWR's adoption of the bond resolutions

- Alleges DWR violated CEQA by adopting bond resolutions before certifying a Final EIR for the Delta Conveyance Project
- Cases ordered consolidated for all purposes
- DWR's motion for summary judgment granted; Sierra Club's motion denied
- Aug. 23, 2022 Sierra Club filed motion for new trial or reconsideration on prior dismissal of its CEQA case and seeking entry of summary judgment in its favor
- Nov. 18, 2022 hearing on motion for new trial or reconsideration re CEQA
- Dec. 9, 2022 case management conference


## SWP-CVP 2019 BiOp Cases

Pacific Coast Fed'n of Fishermen's Ass'ns, et al. v. Raimondo, et al. (PCFFA)

Calif. Natural Resources Agency, et al. v. Raimondo, et al. (CNRA)

Federal District Court, Eastern Dist. of California, Fresno Division
(Judge Thurston)

- SWC intervened in both PCFFA and CNRA cases
- Briefing on federal defendants' motion to dismiss CNRA's California ESA claim is complete; no hearing date set and may be decided on the papers
- Federal defendants circulated administrative records for each of the BiOps
- December 18, 2020 PCFFA and CNRA filed motions to complete the administrative records or to consider extra-record evidence in the alternative
- Federal defendants reinitiated consultation on Oct 1, 2021
- On Nov. 8, 2021, Federal Defendants and PCFFA plaintiffs stipulated to inclusion of certain records in the Administrative Records and to defer further briefing on the matter until July 1, 2022
- On Nov. 12, 2021, SWC filed a motion to amend its pleading to assert cross-claims against the federal defendants for violations of the ESA, NEPA and WIIN Act; Court has yet to set a hearing date
- November 23, 2021, Federal Defendants filed a motion for voluntary remand of the 2019 Biological Opinions and NEPA Record of Decision and requesting that the Court issue an order approving an Interim Operations Plan through

September 30, 2022; that the cases be stayed for the same time period; and that the Court retain jurisdiction during the pendency of the remand. State Plaintiffs filed a motion for injunctive relief seeking judicial approval of the Interim Operations Plan.

- December 16, 2021 - NGO Plaintiffs filed a motion for preliminary injunction related to interim operations
- Motions fully briefed as of Jan. 24, 2022
- Hearing on motions held Feb. 11, 2022
- District court (1) approved the State and Federal Government's Interim Operations Plan (IOP) through September 30, 2022; (2) approved the federal defendants' request for a stay of the litigation through September 30, 2022; (3) remanded the BiOps without invalidating them for reinitiated consultation with the 2019 BiOps in place; (4) denied PCFFA's alternative request for injunctive relief; and (5) by ruling on other grounds, denied the state plaintiffs' motion for injunctive relief and the federal defendants' request for equitable relief
- September 30, 2022, Federal Defendants and State Plaintiffs filed a joint status report: 1) describing the status of the reinitiated CVP and SWP consultation; 2) recommending a plan for interim CVP and SWP operations to govern for the 2023 water year or some other interval of time, if consultation remains ongoing; and 3) requesting a continued stay or other path forward in the litigation


## CESA Incidental Take Permit Cases

Coordinated Case Name CDWR Water Operations Cases, JCCP 5117 (Coordination Trial Judge Gevercer)

Metropolitan \& Mojave Water Agency v. Calif. Dept. of Fish \& Wildlife, et al. (CESA/CEQA/Breach of Contract)

State Water Contractors \& Kern County Water Agency v. Calif. Dept. of Fish \& Wildlife, et al. (CESA/CEQA)

- All 8 cases ordered coordinated in Sacramento County Superior Court
- Stay on discovery issued until coordination trial judge orders otherwise
- All four Fresno cases transferred to Sacramento to be heard with the four other coordinated cases
- SWC and Metropolitan have submitted Public Records Act requests seeking administrative record materials and other relevant information
- Answers filed in the three cases filed by State Water Contractors, including Metropolitan's

Tehama-Colusa Canal Auth., et al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources (CEQA)

San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water Dist. v.
Calif. Dept. of Water Resources, et al.
(CEQA/CESA/ Breach of Contract/Takings)
Sierra Club, et al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources (CEQA/Delta Reform Act/Public Trust)

North Coast Rivers Alliance, et al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources (CEQA/Delta Reform Act/Public Trust)

Central Delta Water Agency, et. al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources (CEQA/Delta Reform Act/Public Trust/ Delta Protection Acts/Area of Origin)

San Francisco Baykeeper, et al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources, et al. (CEQA/CESA)

- Draft administrative records produced on Sept. 16, 2021
- Certified administrative records lodged March 4, 2022
- State Water Contractors et al. granted leave to intervene in Sierra Club, North Coast Rivers Alliance, Central Delta Water Agency, and San Francisco Baykeeper cases by stipulation
- Sept. 9, 2022 fifth Case Management Conference
- Sept. 9, 2022 Court ordered DWR and CDFW to produce privilege logs to the State Water Contractors et al. by Sept. 30, 2022 showing the basis for withholding hundreds of records from the administrative records on the deliberative process and official information privileges, then meet and confer; State Water Contractors et al. may renew their motion to augment if disputes remain
- Sept. 29, 2022 State Water Contractors, et al.'s motion to intervene as petitioners in the Tehama-Colusa Canal Auth., et al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources CEQA case denied without prejudice to re-filing a motion to intervene as respondents
- Cases dismissed after DWR rescinded project approval, bond resolutions, decertified the EIR, and CDFW rescinded the CESA incidental take permit
- January 10, 2020 - Nine motions for attorneys' fees and costs denied in their entirety
- Parties have appealed attorneys' fees and costs rulings
- May 11, 2022, court of appeal reversed the trial court's denial of attorney fees and costs in an unpublished opinion
- Opinion ordered published
- Coordinated cases remitted to trial court for re-hearing of fee motions consistent with the court of appeal's opinion
- Plaintiffs allege violations of CEQA, Delta Reform Act \& public trust doctrine
- USBR Statement of Non-Waiver of Sovereign Immunity filed September 2019
- Westlands Water District and North Delta Water Agency granted leave to intervene

|  | - $\quad$ Metropolitan \& SWC monitoring |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | - |
|  | Deadline to prepare administrative record |
|  | extended to Nov. 18, 2022 |


| SWP Contract Extension Validation Action Court of Appeal for the Third App. Dist. Case No. C096316 <br> DWR v. All Persons Interested in the Matter, etc. | - DWR seeks a judgment that the Contract Extension amendments to the State Water Contracts are lawful <br> - Metropolitan and 7 other SWCs filed answers in support of validity to become parties <br> - Jan. 5-7, 2022 Hearing on the merits held with CEQA cases, below <br> - Final statement of decision in DWR's favor filed March 9, 2022 <br> - Final judgment entered and served <br> - C-WIN et al., County of San Joaquin et al. and North Coast Rivers Alliance et al. filed notices of appeal |
| :---: | :---: |
| SWP Contract Extension CEQA Cases Court of Appeal for the Third App. Dist. Case Nos. C096384 \& C096304 <br> North Coast Rivers Alliance, et al. v. DWR Planning \& Conservation League, et al. v. DWR | - Petitions for writ of mandate alleging CEQA and Delta Reform Act violations filed on January 8 \& 10, 2019 <br> - Deemed related to DWR's Contract Extension Validation Action and assigned to Judge Culhane <br> - Administrative Record completed <br> - DWR filed its answers on September 28, 2020 <br> - Metropolitan, Kern County Water Agency and Coachella Valley Water District have intervened and filed answers in the two CEQA cases <br> - Final statement of decision in DWR's favor denying the writs of mandate filed March 9, 2022 <br> - Final judgments entered and served <br> - North Coast Rivers Alliance et al. and PCL et al. filed notices of appeal <br> - Nov. 1, 2022 Planning \& Conservation League's Opening Brief and Appendix Due |

Delta Conveyance Project Soil Exploration Cases<br>Central Delta Water Agency, et al. v. DWR Sacramento County Superior Ct.<br>(Judge Chang)<br>Central Delta Water Agency, et al. v.. DWR (II), Sacramento County Super. Ct. (Judge Acquisto)

- Original case filed August 10, 2020; new case challenging the second addendum to the CEQA document filed Aug. 1, 2022
- Plaintiffs Central Delta Water Agency, South Delta Water Agency and Local Agencies of the North Delta
- One cause of action alleging that DWR's adoption of an Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) for soil explorations needed for the Delta Conveyance Project violates CEQA
- March 24, 2021 Second Amended Petition filed to add allegation that DWR's addendum re changes in locations and depths of certain borings violates CEQA
- Deadline to prepare the administrative record extended to April 22, 2022
- DWR's petition to add the 2020 CEQA case to the Department of Water Resources Cases, JCCP 4594, San Joaquin County Superior Court denied
- Hearing on the merits held Oct.13, 2022
- Ruling on the merits pending


## Water Management Tools Contract Amendment

California Water Impact Network et al. v. DWR
Sacramento County Superior Ct.
(Judge Aquisto)
North Coast Rivers Alliance, et al. v. DWR
Sacramento County Super. Ct.
(Judge Aquisto)

- Filed September 28, 2020
- CWIN and Aqualliance allege one cause of action for violation of CEQA
- NCRA et al. allege four causes of action for violations of CEQA, the Delta Reform Act, Public Trust Doctrine and seeking declaratory relief
- Parties have stipulated to production of a draft administrative record by April 1, 2022 and to a timeline to attempt to resolve any disputes over the contents
- SWC motion to intervene in both cases granted


| Cases | Date | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2014, 2016 |  |  |
| (cont.) |  |  | Sept. 28-29 \(\left.\begin{array}{l}Member agencies City of Torrance, Eastern Municipal Water District, <br>

Foothill Municipal Water District, Las Virgenes Municipal Water District, <br>
Three Valleys Municipal Water District, Municipal Water District of <br>
Orange County, West Basin Municipal Water District, and Western <br>
Municipal Water District filed joinders to the demurrers and motions to <br>
strike.\end{array}\right\}\)

| Cases Date |  | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 (cont.) | July 19 | Court issued order denying Metropolitan's motion to strike portions of the second amended petition/complaint. |
|  | July 29 | Metropolitan filed answer to the second amended petition/complaint and cross-complaint against SDCWA for declaratory relief and reformation. |
|  | July 29 | Member agencies City of Torrance, Eastern Municipal Water District, Foothill Municipal Water District, Las Virgenes Municipal Water District, Three Valleys Municipal Water District, Municipal Water District of Orange County, West Basin Municipal Water District, and Western Municipal Water District filed answers to the second amended petition/complaint. |
|  | Aug. 31 | SDCWA filed answer to Metropolitan's cross-complaint. |
|  | April 11, 2022 | Court entered order of voluntary dismissal of parties' WaterFix claims and cross-claims. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2014, 2016, } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 11, } \\ & 2021 \end{aligned}$ | Deposition of non-party witness. |
|  | Aug. 25 | Hearing on Metropolitan's motion for further protective order regarding deposition of non-party witness. |
|  | Aug. 25 | Court issued order consolidating the 2014, 2016, and 2018 cases for all purposes, including trial. |
|  | Aug. 30 | Court issued order granting Metropolitan's motion for a further protective order regarding deposition of non-party witness. |
|  | Aug. 31 | SDCWA filed consolidated answer to Metropolitan's cross-complaints in the 2014, 2016, and 2018 cases. |
|  | Oct. 27 | Parties submitted to the court a joint stipulation and proposed order staying discovery through Dec. 8 and resetting pre-trial deadlines. |
|  | Oct. 29 | Court issued order staying discovery through Dec. 8 and resetting pretrial deadlines, while the parties discuss the prospect of settling some or all remaining claims and crossclaims. |
|  | Jan. 12, 2022 | Case Management Conference. Court ordered a 35 -day case stay to allow the parties to focus on settlement negotiations, with weekly written check-ins with the court; and directed the parties to meet and confer regarding discovery and deadlines. |
|  | Feb. 22 | Court issued order resetting pre-trial deadlines as proposed by the parties. |
|  | Feb. 22 | Metropolitan and SDCWA each filed motions for summary adjudication. |
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| Cases | Date | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2014, 2016, |  |  |
| 2018 (cont.) |  |  | April 13 $\quad$| Hearing on Metropolitan's and SDCWA's motions for summary |
| :--- |
| adjudication. |


| Cases | Date | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2014, 2016, } \\ & 2018 \text { (cont.) } \end{aligned}$ | May 26 , June 24 | Court denied SDCWA's motions in limine. |
|  | June 3, June 24, July 1 | Trial continued, concluding on July 1. |
|  | June 24 | SDCWA filed motion for partial judgment. |
|  | July 15 | Metropolitan filed opposition to motion for partial judgment. |
|  | Aug. 19 | Post-trial briefs filed. |
|  | Sept. 14 | Court issued order granting in part and denying in part SDCWA's motion for partial judgment (granting motion as to Metropolitan's dispute resolution, waiver, and consent defenses; denying motion as to Metropolitan's reformation cross-claims and mistake of fact and law defenses; and deferring ruling on Metropolitan's cost causation crossclaim). |
|  | Sept. 21 | Metropolitan filed response to order granting in part and denying in part SDCWA's motion for partial judgment (requesting deletion of Background section portion relying on pleading allegations). |
|  | Sept. 22 | SDCWA filed objection to Metropolitan's response to order granting in part and denying in part SDCWA's motion for partial judgment. |
|  | Sept. 27 | Post-trial closing arguments. |
|  | Oct. 20 | Court issued order that it will rule on SDCWA's motion for partial judgment as to Metropolitan's cost causation cross-claim simultaneously with the trial statement of decision. |
|  | Dec. 16 | Parties' proposed trial statements of decision due. |
| All Cases | April 15, 2021 | Case Management Conference on 2010-2018 cases. Court set trial in 2014, 2016, and 2018 cases on May 16-27, 2022. |
|  | April 27 | SDCWA served notice of deposition of non-party witness. |
|  | May 13-14 | Metropolitan filed motions to quash and for protective order regarding deposition of non-party witness. |
|  | June 4 | Ruling on motions to quash and for protective order. |


| Outside Counsel Agreements |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Name | Matter Name | Agreement No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effective } \\ & \text { Date } \end{aligned}$ | Contract Maximum |
| Andrade Gonzalez LLP | MWD v. DWR, CDFW and CDNR Incidental Take Permit (ITP) CESA/CEQA/Contract Litigation | 185894 | 07/20 | \$250,000 |
| Aleshire \& Wynder | Oil, Mineral and Gas Leasing | 174613 | 08/18 | \$50,000 |
| Atkinson Andelson Loya Ruud \& Romo | Employee Relations | 59302 | 04/04 | \$1,214,517 |
|  | MWD v. Collins | 185892 | 06/20 | \$100,000 |
|  | Delta Conveyance Project Bond Validation-CEQA Litigation | 185899 | 09/21 | \$100,000 |
|  | MWD Drone and Airspace Issues | 193452 | 08/20 | \$50,000 |
|  | Equal Employee Opportunity Commission Charge | 200462 | 03/21 | \$20,000 |
|  | Public Employment Relations Board Charge No. LA-CE-1441-M | 200467 | 03/21 | \$30,000 |
|  | Representation re the Shaw Law Group's Investigations | 200485 | 05/20/21 | \$50,000 |
|  | DFEH Charge- (DFEH <br> Number 202102-12621316) | 201882 | 07/01/21 | \$25,000 |
|  | AFSCME Local 1902 in Grievance No. 1906G020 (CSU Meal Period) | 201883 | 07/12/21 | \$30,000 |
|  | AFSCME Local 1902 v. MWD, PERB Case No. LA-CE-1438-M | 201889 | 09/15/21 | \$20,000 |
|  | MWD MOU Negotiations** | 201893 | 10/05/21 | \$100,000 |
|  | DFEH Charge- (DFEH <br> Number 202106-13819209) | 203439 | 12/14/21 | \$15,000 |
|  | DFEH Charge- (DFEH Number 202109-14694608) | 203460 | 02/22 | \$15,000 |
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| Firm Name | Matter Name | Agreement No. | Effective Date | Contract Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Best, Best \& Krieger | Navajo Nation v. U.S. Department of the Interior, et al. | 54332 | 05/03 | \$185,000 |
|  | Bay-Delta Conservation Plan/Delta Conveyance Project (with SWCs) | 170697 | 08/17 | \$500,000 |
|  | Environmental Compliance Issues | 185888 | 05/20 | \$100,000 |
|  | Public Records Act Requests | 203462 | 04/22 | \$30,000 |
| Blooston, Mordkofsky, Dickens, Duffy \& Prendergast, LLP | FCC and Communications Matters | 110227 | 11/10 | \$100,000 |
| Brown White \& Osborn LLP | HR Matter | 203450 | 03/22 | \$50,000 |
| Buchalter, a Professional Corp. | Union Pacific Industry Track Agreement | 193464 | 12/07/20 | \$50,000 |
| Burke, Williams \& Sorensen, LLP | Real Property - General | 180192 | 01/19 | \$100,000 |
|  | Labor and Employment Matters | 180207 | 04/19 | \$50,000 |
|  | General Real Estate Matters | 180209 | 08/19 | \$100,000 |
| Law Office of Alexis S.M. Chiu* | Bond Counsel | 200468 | 07/21 | N/A |
| Cislo \& Thomas LLP | Intellectual Property | 170703 | 08/17 | \$75,000 |
| Cummins \& White, LLP | Board Advice | 207941 | 05/22 | \$10,000 |
| Curls Bartling P.C.* | Bond Counsel | 174596 | 07/18 | N/A |
|  | Bond Counsel | 200470 | 07/21 | N/A |
| Duane Morris LLP | SWRCB Curtailment Process | 138005 | 09/14 | \$615,422 |
| Duncan, Weinberg, Genzer \& Pembroke PC | Power Issues | 6255 | 09/95 | \$3,175,000 |
| Ellison, Schneider, Harris \& Donlan | Colorado River Issues | 69374 | 09/05 | \$175,000 |
|  | Issues re SWRCB | 84457 | 06/07 | \$200,000 |
| Greines, Martin, Stein \& Richland LLP | SDCWA v. MWD | $\underline{207958}$ | 10/22 | \$100,000 |
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| Firm Name | Matter Name | Agreement No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effective } \\ & \text { Date } \end{aligned}$ | Contract Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haden Law Office | Real Property Matters re Agricultural Land | 180194 | 01/19 | \$50,000 |
| Hanson Bridgett LLP | SDCWA v. MWD | 124103 | 03/12 | \$1,100,000 |
|  | Finance Advice | 158024 | 12/16 | \$100,000 |
|  | Deferred Compensation/HR | 170706 | 10/17 | \$ 400,000 |
|  | Tax Issues | 180200 | 04/19 | \$50,000 |
| Hausman \& Sosa, LLP | MOU Hearing Officer Appeal | 201892 | 09/21 | \$95,000 |
|  | MOU Hearing Officer Appeal | 207943 | 05/22 | \$25,000 |
|  | MOU Hearing Officer Appeal | 207949 | 07/22 | \$25,000 |
| Hawkins Delafield \& Wood LLP* | Bond Counsel | 193469 | 07/21 | N/A |
| Horvitz \& Levy | SDCWA v. MWD | 124100 | 02/12 | \$900,000 |
|  | General Appellate Advice | 146616 | 12/15 | \$100,000 |
|  | Colorado River | 203464 | 04/22 | \$100,000 |
| Internet Law Center | Cybersecurity and Privacy Advice and Representation | 200478 | 04/13/21 | \$100,000 |
|  | Systems Integrated, LLC v. MWD | 201875 | 05/17/21 | \$65,000 |
| Amira Jackmon, Attorney at Law* | Bond Counsel | 200464 | 07/21 | N/A |
| Jackson Lewis P.C. | Employment: Department of Labor Office of Contract Compliance (OFCCP) | 137992 | 02/14 | \$45,000 |
| Jones Hall, A Professional Law Corporation* | Bond Counsel | 200465 | 07/21 | N/A |
| Kegel, Tobin \& Truce | Workers' Compensation | 180206 | 06/19 | \$250,000 |
| Lesnick Prince \& Pappas LLP | Topock/PG\&E's Bankruptcy | 185859 | 10/19 | \$30,000 |


| Firm Name | Matter Name | Agreement No. | Effective Date | Contract Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liebert Cassidy Whitmore | Labor and Employment | 158032 | 02/17 | \$201,444 |
|  | FLSA Audit | 180199 | 02/19 | \$50,000 |
| Manatt, Phelps \& Phillips | SDCWA v. MWD rate litigation | 146627 | 06/16 | \$4,400,000 |
|  | Raftelis - Subcontractor of Manatt, Phelps \& Phillips Agreement No. 146627: Pursuant to 05/02/22 Engagement Letter between Manatt, Phelps \& Phillips and Raftelis Financial Consultants, Inc., Metropolitan Water District paid Raftelis Financial Consultants, Inc. | Invoice No. 23949 |  | \$56,376.64 <br> for expert services and reimbursable expenses in SDCWA v. MWD |
| Meyers Nave Riback Silver \& Wilson | OCWD v. Northrop Corporation | 118445 | 07/11 | \$2,300,000 |
| Miller Barondess, LLP | SDCWA v. MWD | 138006 | 12/14 | \$600,000 |
| Morgan, Lewis \& Bockius | SDCWA v. MWD | 110226 | 07/10 | \$8,750,000 |
|  | Project Labor Agreements | 200476 | 04/21 | \$100,000 |
| Musick, Peeler \& Garrett LLP | Colorado River Aqueduct Electric Cables Repair/Contractor Claims | 193461 | 11/20 | \$900,000 |
|  | Arvin-Edison v. Dow Chemical | 203452 | 01/22 | \$90,000 |
|  | Semitropic TCP Litigation | $\underline{207954}$ | 09/22 | \$75,000 |
| Nixon Peabody LLP* | Bond Counsel | 193473 | 07/21 | N/A |
| Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP* | Bond Counsel | 200466 | 07/21 | N/A |
| Olson Remcho LLP | Government Law | 131968 | 07/14 | \$200,000 |
|  | Executive Committee/Ad Hoc Committees Advice | 207947 | 08/22 | \$60,000 |
|  | Public Records Act | 207950 | 08/22 | \$20,000 |
| Renne Public Law Group, LLP | ACE v. MWD (PERB Case No. LA-CE-1574-M) | 203466 | 05/22 | \$50,000 |
|  | MOU Hearing Officer Appeal | 203948 | 07/22 | \$25,000 |
| Ryan \& Associates | Leasing Issues | 43714 | 06/01 | \$200,000 |
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| Firm Name | Matter Name | Agreement No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Effective } \\ & \text { Date } \end{aligned}$ | Contract Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seyfarth Shaw LLP | HR Litigation | 185863 | 12/19 | \$250,000 |
|  | Claim (Contract \#201897) | 201897 | 11/04/21 | \$200,000 |
|  | Claim (Contract \#203436) | 203436 | 11/15/21 | \$350,000 |
|  | Claim (Contract \#203454) | 203454 | 01/22 | \$160,000 |
|  | Claim (Contract \#203455) | 203455 | 10/21 | \$175,000 |
| Sheppard Mullin Richter \& Hampton LLP | Rivers v. MWD | 207946 | 07/22 | \$100,000 |
| Stradling Yocca Carlson \& Rauth* | Bond Counsel | 200471 | 07/21 | N/A |
| Theodora Oringher PC | OHLUSA, Inc. V. MWD | 185854 | 09/19 | \$1,100,000 |
|  | Construction Contracts - General Conditions Update | 185896 | 07/20 | \$100,000 |
| Thomas Law Group | MWD v. DWR, CDFW, CDNR Incidental Take Permit (ITP) CESA/CEQA/Contract Litigation | 185891 | 05/20 | \$250,000 |
|  | Iron Mountain SMARA (Surface Mining and Reclamation Act) | 203435 | 12/03/21 | \$100,000 |
| Thompson Coburn LLP | FERC Representation re Colorado River Aqueduct Electrical Transmission System | 122465 | 12/11 | \$100,000 |
|  | NERC Energy Reliability Standards | 193451 | 08/20 | \$100,000 |
| Van Ness Feldman, LLP | General Litigation | 170704 | 07/18 | \$50,000 |
|  | Colorado River MSHCP | 180191 | 01/19 | \$50,000 |
|  | Bay-Delta and State Water Project Environmental Compliance | 193457 | 10/15/20 | \$50,000 |
| Western Water and Energy | California Independent System Operator Related Matters | 193463 | 11/20/20 | \$100,000 |

[^0]
[^0]:    *Expenditures paid by Bond Proceeds/Finance
    **Expenditures paid by another group

