

Subcommittee on Long-Term Regional Planning Processes and Business Modeling

Climate Decision-Making Framework Project Assessments

Item 3b November 20, 2024 Climate Decision-Making Framework Project Assessments



November 20, 2024

Discussion Outline

1) Context of Project Assessments

- 2) CAMP4W Assessment Methodology
 - Updated Assessment Form
 - Guidance Document NEW
- 3) Test Assessment Methodology Lessons Learned

4) Review: Four CAMP4W Test Assessments

- <u>Storage</u>: Hypothetical Central Valley Reservoir
- <u>Conveyance</u>: DVL-Rialto Delivery
- <u>Program/Supply</u>: Turf Replacement Program
- <u>Energy</u>: Weymouth Battery Energy Storage System

Subcos Working Memos Update

Which Investments will be Evaluated in the CAMP4W Process? R+R Projects (CIP Criteria Assessment)



Capital Investment Plan or Program Implementation



CAMP4W Projects and Programs (CAMP4W Criteria Assessment)

November 20, 2024

Subcommittee on Long-Term Regional Planning Processes and Business Model

Item # 3b Slide 3

Which Investments will be Evaluated in the CAMP4W Process?



November 20, 2024

Potential questions where a "yes" answer would mean a project or program will be considered through CAMP4W:

- Is the project or program providing a new core supply, flex supply, or storage, or is the project or program enabling a new core supply, flex supply, or storage?
- Is the project or program addressing a known vulnerability to an asset(s) and does it involve improvements beyond what would be required to perform traditional R&R for that asset?
- Does the project or program exceed a certain flow-based threshold (CFS or AFY) or cost threshold (capital or O&M cost)?

Potential Projects and Programs for Future CAMP4W Assessment (not exclusive)

Core Supply

- Pure Water Phase I & II
- Delta Conveyance Project
- Groundwater Desalination
- Ocean Desalination
- Conservation / Efficiency Programs

Surface Water Storage

- Sites Reservoir
- San Joaquin Phase I & II
- Castaic Lake
- In-region Storage

Groundwater Storage

- AVEK Phase II
- Central Valley Storage
- Hayfield
- Surplus Water Management

Flexibility and Resilience

- Sepulveda Pumping Phase II
- East-West Conveyance
- AVEK to West Side

Energy Sustainability

- CRA Pump Storage
- DVL Pump Storage
- In & Out of Region Solar

CAMP4W Assessment Methodology



November 20, 2024

Attribute Questions Refined

Reliability

- Considers regional and equitable supply reliability, including quantitative analysis based on IRP modeling
- Considers benefits beyond planning horizon, potential companion projects and how it integrates with existing infrastructure/programs

Resilience

Reframed questions; includes resilience co-benefits (i.e. seismic)

Financial Sustainability & Affordability

- Reframed questions; acknowledges different ways to look at different types of projects
- Considers revenue generation potential

Adaptability & Flexibility

- Focus on how it integrates with existing system/operations
- Considers phasing potential

Equity

 Clarifies what is being measured
 Subcommittee on Long-Term Regional Planning Processes and Business Model Environmental Co-Benefits

Item # 3b Slide 6

CAMP4W Assessment Methodology



Additional Form Refinements

- Provides ample space for additional information, data, considerations
- Includes justification for overall assessment determination in each criteria category
- Improves readability of colors and rankings
- Developed CAMP4W Comprehensive Assessment Guidance Document

CAMP4W Comprehensiv e Assessment Guidance Document



Guidance Document Informs Evaluation Committee

• Assigns subject matter experts to the Evaluation Committee

- Provides guidance for each attribute question and provides examples where appropriate
- Lists potential sources of data and information for each Evaluative Criteria
- Includes Glossary of Terms

CAMP4W Assessment Methodology Lessons Learned



November 20, 2024

Test Assessments

- Form generally worked for different project types
- Not all attribute questions are relevant for every type of project
 - Ex: Reliability analysis may only be relevant to water supply projects and programs
- Financial data may speak for itself (i.e. may not be appropriate to assign a "value")
- Hypothetical projects are difficult to assess in many categories
- Most projects and programs will require multiple evaluations; baseline will adjust over time with Needs Assessment updates
 Projects will be re-evaluated based on shareing conditions on project life curle

CAMP4W Assessment Methodology Member Agency Comments Nov. 12 meeting



November 20, 2024

Member Agency Feedback for Consideration

1) General support for Comprehensive Assessment Methodology that includes quantitative and qualitative measures

2)Quantitatively assess progress towards Time-Bound Targets on Summary Page

- 3)Use Additional Information sections to point to past Board actions and policies as well as relevance to Time-Bound Targets
- 4) Include reference to actions related to state or local mandates
- 5) Add cost per acre-foot to first page where available

6) Discuss companion projects where appropriate 10

CAMP4W Assessment Methodology Member Agency Comments Nov. 12 meeting



Member Agency Feedback for Consideration (cont'd)

- 7) Request that quantitative analysis under Reliability Criteria include historic dry and wet weather sequences as well as IRPSIM modeling information
- 8) Interest in seeing data for all four IRP scenarios (A, B, C, D)
- 9) Provide more specificity on how project addresses SWPDA reliability, also acknowledging that additional regional benefits depend on climate conditions / supply availability
- 10) For Turf Replacement Program, add information on summary page about the yield

11) Capture potential cost savings related to a

November 20, 2024

Test Comprehensiv e Ascensions meansider during Discussions Do the Test Assessments provide the breadth of information, and the level of detail required to make investment decisions?

Are there any key data points/questions missing?

Please share key takeaways in reviewing the Test Assessments.



November 20, 2024

Subcommittee on Long-Term Regional Planning Processes and Business Model

Test Comprehensive Assessments

- 1) <u>Storage</u>: Hypothetical Central Valley Reservoir
- 2) <u>Conveyance</u>: DVL Rialto Delivery
- 3) Program/Supply: Turf Replacement Program
- 4) <u>Energy</u>: Weymouth Battery Energy Storage System



Working Memos Update

<u>Working Memo #7</u>: Integrating Climate Adaptation

• Expanding scope to address comments

<u>Working Memo #8</u>: Signposts and Adaptive Management

• Almost final; to be distributed this month

Working Memo #9: Assessment Methodology

- Minor changes made; posted with Nov. Task Force documents
- Updated Form and Guidance Document attached

Revisions to Working Memo #7 Integrating Climate Change in Metropolitan Planning, Processes and Implementation \bullet



Examples of Comments Received on Working Memo #7

- Clarify how climate adaptation will be integrated throughout Metropolitan processes and programs
- Explain how Metropolitan will integrate needs assessments in early stages and how this will influence project identification and the need to avoid under or over developing
- Clarify how Member Agency feedback will be included in the process of project identification

Revisions to Working Memo #7 Integrating Climate Change in Metropolitan Planning, Processes and Implementation



Examples of Comments Received on Working Memo #7 (cont'd)

- Explain how portfolios will be assessed
- Better define considerations surrounding equity
- Explain the frequency and intention of updating the existing plans identified in the draft memo and how data will be incorporated into the Time-Bound Targets, Signposts, and Evaluative Criteria
- Provide more details on certain plans and how they will be used



2024-25 Schedule of CAMP4W Discussions



Review, Prioritize and Evaluate Business Model Alternatives

Subcommittee on Long-Term Regional Planning Processes and Business Model

