

THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Board Report

Engineering Services Group

• Pure Water Southern California - Quarterly Update and 2023 Cost Estimate Details

Summary

The attached memorandum provides background to the Pure Water Southern California (PWSC) Cost Update presentation delivered to the Pure Water Southern California/Regional Conveyance Subcommittee on November 28, 2023. Provided in this memorandum are the following:

- General cost estimate methodology and approach
- The cost parameters and assumptions used to develop the 2023 cost estimates
- Summary 2023 cost estimate table
- Appendices with supporting unit cost information and calculations

Purpose

Informational on Cost Estimate Details for PWSC as requested by the Directors at the November PWSC/Regional Conveyance Subcommittee Meeting

Attachments

Attachment 1: Memorandum on Pure Water Southern California - Cost Estimate Methodology

Pure Water Southern California 2023 Cost Estimate Methodology January 23, 2024

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this memorandum is to document the basis for and provide background to the Pure Water Southern California (PWSC) Cost Update presentation delivered to the Pure Water Southern California and Regional Conveyance (PWSCRC) Subcommittee on November 28, 2023. At this meeting, a request was made to provide more detailed backup for the estimated costs. This memorandum supplies the requested information, highlighting the following:

- General cost estimate methodology and approach
- Cost parameters and assumptions used to develop the 2023 cost estimates
- Basis for the 2023 construction and operation and maintenance (O&M) costs
- Appendices with supporting unit cost information and calculations

A detailed summary of the PWSC costs and backup information are provided in the Appendices for the advanced water purification facility (AWPF), the conveyance facilities, and for the upsizing for potential Operation Next flows.

Background

The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (Metropolitan), in partnership with the Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts (Sanitation Districts), is considering making a major investment in a new droughtresilient water supply with the development of the Pure Water Southern California program (PWSC or Program). The PWSC is an innovative, large-scale, regional recycled water project that has a goal of creating 155,000 acrefeet per year (AFY) of safe, reliable, and drought-resilient water supplies for the region. Long-term drought, climate change, and competing demands have impacted Metropolitan's water supply portfolio. Sustainable local water supplies are crucial to maintain the reliability of the water supply for the region's 19 million residents, reduce the stress on local groundwater supplies, increase Metropolitan's water storage, and provide operational flexibility.

Subcommittee Meeting Cost Update

On November 28, 2023, staff presented the updated PWSC costs to the PWSCRC Subcommittee. The presentation showed that the estimate cost in 2018 dollars for Phase 1 was \$2.6 billion (B) while the cost for Phase 2 was a total of \$3.4 billion. These are present worth costs (2018 cost not including escalation to the midpoint of construction) and have been cited in all of Metropolitan's documentation since 2018. From 2018 to today, considerable effort has taken place to better define the PWSC and to identify the required facilities. Figure 1 shows the PWSC facilities as currently envisioned. These are representative of the facilities used in this cost update.

Multiple factors have impacted the 2023 Program costs. Since the 2018 cost estimate was developed, costs have increased due to inflation and supply chain issues which have been reported on extensively in the news over the last couple of years. According to the Consumer Price Index (CPI), inflation alone has increased the cost of the Program approximately 24 percent since the last estimate was prepared while other indices suggest an even higher amount of inflation than the CPI. Similar cost increases have been seen resulting from supply chain issues. Additionally, the Program is much better defined now when compared to 2018 conceptual program, including the additional cost estimates for items like major treatment processes, ancillary facilities, capacity, direct

potable reuse (DPR) regulations, property acquisition, community benefits, build America/buy America requirements, and other requirements.



Figure 1. PWSC Conceptual Facilities (2023)

METHODOLOGY/APPROACH

The cost estimating methodology and approach is described below for the AWPF, conveyance facilities, upsized conveyance facilities for Operation NEXT, and mitigation measures/community benefits. It should be noted that this cost estimate is based on the planning-level information available from approximately June 2022 to July 2023 as part of the environmental planning process for the program. The estimate is intended to provide a cost range to assist with subsequent planning and decision-making efforts. Significant facility refinements that may have occurred after this timeframe will be captured in a future cost estimate update prepared towards the end of the environmental planning phase. Final Program costs will depend on actual labor and material costs, competitive market conditions, final project scope, implementation schedule and contract packaging, and other variable factors, such as market conditions.

Advanced Water Purification Facility (AWPF)

Figure 2 below shows the site plan representative of the AWPF site plan used to prepare the cost estimate. The figure shows the AWPF site for only Phase 1, with the undeveloped areas reserved for Phase 2 facilities and potential AWT DPR facilities. Processes shown in the figure include the existing Warren Facility high purity oxygen activated sludge (HPOAS) secondary treatment basins, centrate treatment, membrane bioreactor (MBR), reverse osmosis process (RO), ultra-violet-advanced oxidation (UV-AOP), chemical systems, and various other processes, water storage and pump station facilities, backbone conveyance pipeline within the plant, and existing demonstration plant. It also shows ancillary facilities including a warehouse, workshops, parking,

administration/operations building, amphitheater/visitor center, electrical substation and distribution switchyard, and electrical facilities.



Figure 2. Phase 1 AWPF Facilities

Conveyance Facilities

Figure 3 below is representative of the conceptual conveyance facilities used to prepare the cost estimate and represent the scope of the program for the environmental planning process. The figure shows the current backbone pipeline alignment concept, the approximate locations of the backbone pump stations and the proposed locations of the service connections to the injection wells, existing recycled water systems, or groundwater recharge basins.

Upsized Conveyance Facilities for Operation NEXT

Figure 4 below is representative of the conceptual conveyance facilities that would be required to upsize approximately 14-mile of the backbone conveyance pipeline to accommodate potential future flows from Los Angeles Department of Water and Power's (LADWP)Operation NEXT program. The upsized pipeline is used in the cost estimate. To convey Operation NEXT water, the pipeline diameter would need to be increased from 7 feet to 9 feet, which would double the quantity of steel. The length of trenchless construction required in this 14-mile segment would also be doubled because of the narrow right of way.

Pure Water Southern California | 2023 Cost Estimate Methodology | January 23, 2024



Figure 3. Phase 1 PWSC Conveyance Facilities



Figure 4. Upsized Conveyance Pipeline for Operation NEXT

Mitigation Measures and Community Benefits

While detailed costs are provided whenever possible, some cost amounts in the 2023 cost estimate are developed as lump sum placeholders where there is currently inadequate information to develop accurate costs. For example, lump sum estimates are used to account for Program mitigation measures and a potential community benefits program. While specific mitigation measures may be identified during the environmental review process and/or required as a condition of securing permits and approvals from the various regulatory agencies, community benefit commitments often are driven by other factors and may be different from such mitigation measures and regulatory requirements.

Regarding community benefits, staff is in the process of developing a recommendation for a potential community benefits program for the PWSC. Community benefits may be separate from the environmental mitigation measures mandated by the California Environmental Quality Act. The approach of establishing and implementing a community benefits program has precedence on other large public works programs in California including portions of the California High-Speed Rail program and the Los Angeles Metro West Santa Ana Branch Transit Corridor project. Staff intends to provide a presentation to the Board on the details of a potential community benefits program at a future PWSCRC Subcommittee meeting.

A community benefits program would be focused on outreach efforts and subsequent beneficial actions to address the goals and needs of the communities impacted by the PWSC that may be outside the scope of regulatory requirements. Currently, there are no statutory definitions for a community benefits program and the terminology and definitions for such plans vary. Community benefit plans can address a variety of objectives such as local job creation, workforce development, climate resilience, equity, and public health enhancements.

The budgets presented in the 2023 cost estimate include placeholder estimates for both environmental mitigation and the community benefits program. As staff works to evaluate the costs of mitigation measures and define community benefits, this budget will be revised for future cost estimates.

COST PARAMETERS AND ASSUMPTIONS

The assumptions made on how to calculate the costs impact the overall cost estimate. Some of the basic assumptions include the percentage allowances used for program management (5%) and design/construction management (CM)(25%). A Program contingency of 35% is included to cover unknowns. The classification of the cost estimate update varies depending on the level of design definition. Components that have a greater level of project definition are considered a Class 4 estimate, while components that have lower levels of project definition are considered a Class 5 estimate. Class 4 estimates have a level of accuracy of -30% to +50% while Class 5 estimates have a level of accuracy of -30% to +50% while Class 5 estimates have a level of accuracy of -50% to +100%. Classification levels are as defined by the Association for the Advancement of Cost Engineering, International (AACE). As the Program becomes more defined, contingencies are anticipated to be reduced and the range of level of accuracy would narrow. An updated Class 4 cost estimate will be completed at the end of the environmental planning phase.

COST ESTIMATES

Amounts are estimated for both construction and operation & maintenance (O&M) costs.

Construction Costs (2023)

The Phase 1 PWSC costs are summarized in Table 1 for the treatment, conveyance, recharge and DPR facilities. These Program costs have been prepared and refined over approximately the last year. While the update of the 2018 cost estimate began in 2022, for this estimate, the costs are considered to be in 2023 dollars. A contingency is included with the facility costs in Table 1.

Table 1. Construction Cost Summary

Description	Cost (\$M) ¹
Treatment Facilities	\$2,120
Conveyance Facilities	\$2,120
Recharge Facilities	\$180
DPR Facilities to Weymouth	\$140
Subtotal	\$4,560
Design/Construction Management (CM)	\$1,370
Property/Permitting ²	\$390
Mitigation Measures/Community Benefits ²	\$70
Total	\$6,390

Notes:

- 1. Costs are in 2023 dollars and include a 35% contingency with no escalation
- 2. Property/permitting and Mitigation measures/community benefits do not include a contingency

The subtotal for the Phase 1 facility costs is approximately \$4.6 billion, while the other miscellaneous costs (design, CM, property acquisition, etc.) make up the remaining \$1.8 billion; for a total of \$6.39 billion. Many of the costs have detailed backup for quantities, equipment, and materials, while other costs are estimates based on assumptions or experience. For example, design and CM costs are estimated based on a percentage of the construction costs while the mitigation measures and community benefits are a lump sum based on experience and discussions with other agencies. The similar costs for the treatment and conveyance facilities is coincidental. A detailed breakdown of the construction costs **without contingency** is included in the cost spreadsheet provided in Appendix A.

Advanced Water Purification Facilities

The proposed AWPF will include Warren Facility modifications and the advanced water treatment (AWT) process. The Warren Facility modifications include site modifications and other miscellaneous work that must be completed outside of the advanced AWPF project. It should be noted that the high-purity oxygen Ludzack-Ettinger (HPOLE) and MBR facilities are included in the AWPF pretreatment facilities costs. The AWT process will generally consist of the RO, UV, chemical systems, civil sitework, yard piping, and site electrical. Ancillary facilities were included in the 2018 cost estimate; however, additional required ancillary facilities have been added since the RRWP Conceptual Study Report in 2019. See Appendix B for the cost buildup of specific AWPF facilities and appurtenances.

Conveyance and Recharge Facilities

The Conveyance Facilities include the backbone pipeline, backbone pump stations, valves, service connections, and other allowances. See Appendix C for the cost of specific conveyance facilities. The costs for the recharge facilities were escalated from the 2018 estimate with few changes to the original assumptions.

Potential Integration of Operation NEXT

There is a potential synergy between the City of Los Angeles recycled water programs and the PWSC. One of the alternatives being considered is to upsize a portion of the PWSC backbone pipeline to provide the flexibility to carry Operation NEXT water to a potential East/West pipeline supplying water to State Water Project (SWP) dependent areas at some point in the future. For the purposes of this cost estimate, it was assumed that Operation NEXT would provide an additional 150 MGD of purified water flow into the backbone pipeline. The estimate also assumes that approximately 14 miles of the backbone pipeline between Whittier Narrows and the

Canyon Spreading grounds would be upsized from 7- to 9-feet in diameter to safely and reliably convey the combined flows from the PWSC and Operation NEXT. Metropolitan has included backup for the incremental cost of this upsized backbone pipeline in the estimate and has provided backup for the cost in Appendix D.

O&M Costs

O&M costs are also an important part in determining the unit cost of the purified water. O&M costs are calculated for both the treatment and conveyance facilities. Costs are identified for power, major equipment replacement, labor, and other miscellaneous items. A 15% contingency is added to the raw costs. Table 2 below highlights the estimated O&M costs and include the contingency. Details for the O&M costs are provided in Appendix A.

Table 2. PWSC O&M Costs

Facilities	Treatment (\$M) ^{1, 2}	Conveyance (\$M) ^{1.2}
Power, Chemicals, Maintenance and Consumables	115	29
Major Equipment Replacement	12	1
Labor	50	2
Other	6	13
Subtotal	183	45
Total	22	28

Notes:

- 1. Costs are in 2023 dollars and contingency is added
- 2. Rounded to nearest million dollars

Advanced Water Purification Facility O&M Costs

AWPF O&M costs are calculated for power, chemical, maintenance and consumables; equipment replacement; labor, and other costs. The O&M costs were prepared in collaboration with the Sanitation Districts and summarized in a document entitled "updated Opinion of Probably Cost for the NdN Tertiary MBR base Advanced Water Treatment Facility" (Stantec 2022). This document is provided in Appendix B.

Conveyance and Recharge Facilities O&M Costs

The conveyance and recharge facilities O&M costs include pump station O&M costs for both the backbone pipeline pump stations and the DPR pipeline pump stations. Costs are calculated for labor and spare parts, materials, and replacements. Material costs are estimated for the mechanical components of the pump stations only and do not include the backbone pipeline (shutdowns, lining repair, valve replacement, etc.).

APPENDIX A - DETAILED CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATE

Detailed Construction Cost Estimate

		Phase 1: 115 mgd	Phase 2: Additional 35 mgd	Total: Phase 1 + Phase 2
		(90 IPR + 25 DPR) with 25 mgd to	(90 IPR + 60 DPR) with 60 mgd to	
		Weymouth via Azusa pipeline for	Weymouth via Azusa pipeline & new	
		DPR treatment using UV/ClO2 at <	smaller pipeline for DPR treatment using	
Item				
AWT Production Capacity (MGD)		115	150	150
AWT Production Capacity (TAFY)		129	168	168
Average Annual Yield (TAF) at 92%	92%	118	155	155
	_			
Construction Cost w/o Soft Costs (\$Million)		\$3,380	\$956	\$4,335
Warren Facility Medifications		\$92.7	\$228.0	\$2,389
		\$33.7	3220.3	\$322.0
Packup Generator Potrofit		\$34.8	\$0.0	\$34.8
Drought Tolerant Landscaning		\$1.3	\$0.0	\$1.5
Street Beautification		\$11.8	\$0.0	\$11.8
Campus Water Recycling System		\$10.8	\$0.0	\$10.8
WAC/B&G Club Oil Well Abandonment		\$3.0	\$0.0	\$3.0
Warren Facility Warehouse		\$4.9	\$0.0	\$4.9
EV Chargers at CNG Station (Semi Trucks)		\$1.3	\$0.0	\$1.3
Warren Facility Process Modifications		\$58.9	\$228.9	\$287.8
Connection to Secondary Effluent Channel		\$2.0	\$0.0	\$2.0
HPOLE RAS Pump Station Upgrades		\$5.6	\$0.0	\$5.6
Grit Cleanings Station		\$3.0	\$0.0	\$3.0
Off-site Equalization/sMBR Flow Routing		\$48.5	\$210.0	\$210.0
		\$1.474.5	\$367.2	\$1.841.7
Site Preparation		\$38.0	\$52.2	\$90.2
Utility/Storm Drain Relocation		\$3.1	\$41.0	\$44.1
Site Grading		\$21.9	\$11.2	\$33.1
Soil/Concrete Pile Removal		\$4.0	\$0.0	\$4.0
Oil Well Abandonment		\$8.0	\$0.0	\$8.0
Abandoned Structure Removal		\$1.0	\$0.0	\$1.0
AWT Pretreatment Process Facilities		\$534.8	\$138.8	\$673.6
Drum Screens		\$15.2	\$4.6	\$19.8
		\$20.5	\$1.5	\$25.0
MBR		\$491.3	\$132.9	\$524.2
RO Equalization Tank/PS		\$570.9	\$160.4	\$731.3
RO		\$210.7	\$75.0	\$285.7
UV-AOP		\$43.9	\$12.9	\$56.8
Chemicals and Lime System		\$32.5	\$9.4	\$41.9
Electrical and I&C		\$106.1	\$31.5	\$137.6
Yard Piping		\$20.0	\$5.0	\$25.0
Finished Water Clearwell/PS/Surge tanks		\$92.0	\$20.0	\$112.0
BABA	1%	\$5.7	\$1.6	\$7.2
Required Ancillary Facilities		\$141.1	\$14.9	\$156.0
Public Outreach Facilities		\$47.6	\$11.8	\$59.4
Laboratory		\$62.3	\$0.0	\$62.3
MWD Warehouse		\$13.2	\$3.1	\$16.3
EV facilities		\$8.0	\$0.0	\$8.0
Additional Ancillary Facilities		\$189.7	\$0.9	\$190.6
Demonstration Garden		\$0.4	\$0.0	\$0.4
Amphitheater/Innovation Center		\$4.0	\$0.0	\$4.0
Tour Galleries		\$0.3	\$0.0	\$0.3
Battery Storage		\$12.3	50.0	\$12.3
Source Panels		54.6 \$2.6	50.0	54.6 ¢4.5
On-site Substation/Switchgear Facilities		\$25.0	\$0.9	\$25.0
SCE Off-site Substation/Transmission Facilities		\$130.0	\$0.0	\$130.0
Workforce Facilities (off-site)		\$9.6	\$0.0	\$9.6
DPR Facilities		\$44.5	\$180.5	\$225.0
DPR (Ph 1 at Weymouth, Ph 2 at Warren Facility)		\$44.5	\$180.5	\$225.0
TBD		\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0

Detailed Construction Cost Estimate (Continued)

CONVEYANCE AND RECHARGE FACILITIES		\$1,767.0	\$179.0	\$1,946.0
Conveyance Facilities		\$1,570.0	\$29.0	\$1,599.0
Backbone Conveyance Facilities		\$1,167.0	\$25.0	\$1,192.0
Backbone Pump Stations		\$118.0	\$10.0	\$128.0
Backbone Pipeline		\$855.0	\$0.0	\$855.0
Backbone Valves and Service Connections		\$62.0	\$0.0	\$62.0
Utility Relocation Allowance		\$20.0	\$3.0	\$23.0
Separation Requirements Allowance		\$46.0	\$6.0	\$52.0
Hazardous Soils and Groundwater Allowance		\$66.0	\$6.0	\$72.0
Additional Conveyance Facilities		\$403.0	\$4.0	\$407.0
Upsized Backbone Pipeline		\$388.0	\$0.0	\$388.0
Conveyance System Business Impacts		\$6.0	\$2.0	\$8.0
Fiber Optic		\$9.0	\$2.0	\$11.0
TBD		\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Recharge Facilities		\$135.0	\$0.0	\$135.0
Injection Wells		\$42.0	\$0.0	\$42.0
Spreading Facilities Improvements		\$41.0	\$0.0	\$41.0
Relocation of Wells at Canyon Spreading Grounds		\$18.0	\$0.0	\$18.0
Backbone Laterals by others	S.G	\$34.0	\$0.0	\$34.0
TBD		\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DPR Facilities		\$62.0	\$150.0	\$212.0
DPR Pipelines, Pump Stations, Storage		\$62.0	\$150.0	\$212.0
TBD		\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Subtotal		\$3,379.7	\$955.6	\$4,335.3
Soft Costs		\$1,013.9	\$286.7	\$1,300.6
Program Management Consultant/ Soft Costs	5%	\$169.0	\$47.8	\$216.8
Engineering/Soft Costs - AWT	25%	\$403.2	\$194.1	\$597.3
Engineering/Soft Costs - Conv & Recharge	25%	\$441.8	\$44.8	\$486.5
Subtotal		\$4,393.6	\$1,242.2	\$5,635.8
Contingency	35%	\$1,537.8	\$434.8	\$1,972.5
Subtotal		\$5,931.3	\$1,677.0	\$7,608.4
Property and Community Benefits		\$457.0	\$48.0	\$505.0
Property and Permits		\$387.0	\$18.0	\$405.0
FORCO property		\$158.0	\$0.0	\$158.0
Workforce Facilities Property (off-site)		\$6.0	\$0.0	\$6.0
Permits, Appraisals, Easement, Land Acquisition		\$173.0	\$18.0	\$191.0
Purchase Rock Pit #3		\$50.0	\$0.0	\$50.0
Mitigation Measures & Community Benefits		\$70.0	\$30.0	\$100.0
Environmental Mitigation Measures		\$30.0	\$10.0	\$40.0
Community Benefits		\$40.0	\$20.0	\$60.0
TOTAL (\$ Million)		\$6.388.3	\$1,725.0	\$8,113,4

APPENDIX B – AWPF BACK-UP COST INFORMATION



Updated Opinion of Probable Cost for the NdN Tertiary MBR based Advanced Water Treatment Facility

Draft Final Technical Memorandum

Date: 8th July, 2022

Prepared for:

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Prepared by:

Stantec

Appendix B - Page 3

Table of Contents

1.0 1.1	INTRODUCTION PROGRAM BACKGROUND AND DRIVERS	1
1.2	PROJECT BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES	1
1.3	STUDY APPROACH	3
1.4	TM STRUCTURE AND CONTENT	5
2.0	UPDATE OF COST ESTIMATES	6
2.1	DESCRIPTION OF PROCESS TRAIN	6
2.2	COST ESCALATION	8
2.3	CONSTRUCTION AND PROJECT MARKUPS	8
2.4	LIMITATIONS OF THE APPROACH	9
2.5		10
2.6	UPDATED CAPITAL COST ESTIMATE FOR A 150-MGD IPR FACILITY AT THE	10
07		IZ
2./	JOINT SITE	14
3.0	ANCILLARY FACILITIES	15
3.1	DESCRIPTION OF FACILITIES	15
3.2	CONSTRUCTION COSTS	15
3.3	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE COSTS	17
4.0	DIRECT POTABLE REUSE FACILITIES	18
4.1	BASIS OF COST ESTIMATE	18
4.2	CONSTRUCTION COSTS	18
4.3	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE COSTS	20
5.0	COST ESTIMATES FOR INDIVIDUAL PROGRAM PHASES BASED ON CURRENT	
	PHASING PLAN	22
6.0	SUMMARY	25
7.0	REFERENCES	26
8.0	APPENDICES	27

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Construction Index Factor Comparison	8
Table 2-2: Construction Markups	9
Table 2-3: Project Markups	9
Table 2-4: O&M Cost Parameters	10
Table 2-5: Updated Capital Cost Estimate for a 150-MGD IPR Facility at the Joint	
Site	13
Table 2-6: Updated O&M Cost Estimate for a 150-MGD IPR Facility at the Joint Site	14
Table 3-1: Description of Potential Ancillary Facilities	15
Table 3-2: Ancillary Facility Capital Cost Summary	
Table 4-1: Capital Cost Summary for the 10-MGD DPR Facility at the Weymouth	
WTP	19
Table 4-2: Capital Cost Summary for the 150-MGD DPR Facilities at the Joint Site	20
Table 4-3: O&M Costs for 10 MGD DPR Facilities at the Weymouth WTP	
Table 4-4: O&M Costs for 1.50 MGD DPR Facilities at the Joint Site	
Table 5-1: Capital Costs for Individual Phases in 2022 Dollars	23
Table 5-2: O&M Costs for Individual Phases in 2022 Dollars	0
Table 6-1: Summary of Cost Estimates	25
Table 8-1 Influent Pump Station Design Criteria	20
Table 8-2 Biological Process Design Criteria for MBR	20
Table 8-3 Membrane System Design Criteria for MBR	20
Table 8-4 Ozonation System Design Criteria	30
Table 8-5 Biologically Activated Carbon Design Criteria	
Table 8-6 Microfiltration Membranes Design Criteria	32
Table 8-7 Reverse Osmosis System Design Criteria	∪∠
Table 8-8 UV/AOP System Design Criteria	
Table 8-9 Post-treatment Stabilization Design Criteria	25
Table 8-10 Chemical System Design Criteria	36
Table 8. Odor Control System Design Criteria	20
Table 8 Sidestream Centrate Treatment System Design Criteria	
Table 8-13: Site Improvements Capital Cost Comparison	
Table 8-14: Drum Screen & Influent Pump Station Capital Cost Comparison	۱ ۹ ۱. ۱۷
Table 9-14. Drom Screen & Initiating Station Capital Cost Comparison	4Z
Table 8-14: Poverse Ormesis Capital Cost Comparison	4Z
Table 8-17: UV Capital Cast Comparison	40 12
Table 8-17. 07 Capital Cost Comparison	45
Table 8-10: Lime System Capital Cast Comparison	44
Table 8-17. Little System Capital Cost Comparison	44
Table 8-21: Easterint and Cast Assumptions for the Ruildings	44
Table 8-22: Puilding: Capital Cast Comparison	45
Table 0-22. Buildings Capital Cost Companyon	45
Table 8-23: Electrical and I&C Costs Capital Cost Comparison	40
Table 8-24: Yara Piping Capital Cost Companison	46
Table 0-25: Estimating Allowances Comparison	4/
Table 0-20: INTUENT and MBK U&M COST SUMMARY	47
Table 0.201 LIV AOD OBAA Cost Summary	50
Table 8-28: UV AUP U&M Cost Summary	50
Table 8-27: Stabilization (Lime and Carbon Dioxide) U&M Cost Summary	50

Table 8-30: Effluent Chlorination O&M Cost Summary	51
Table 8-31: Balance of Chemicals, Buildings, Electrical O&M Cost Summary	51
Table 8-32: Major Equipment Replacement O&M Cost Summary	52
Table 8-33: Labor O&M Cost Summary	52
Table 8-34: JWPCP Secondary Treatment and Biosolids Processing O&M Cost	
Summary	53

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Timeline of Cost Estimates for the AWTF to Date	2
Figure 1-2: Approach to Develop the Cost Estimates for IPR and DPR Facilities	4
Figure 2-1: Process Flow Diagram for the NdN tMBR based Advanced Water	
Treatment Facility	7

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPEN	NDIX A	DESIGN CRITERIA	
APPEN	NDIX B	CONSTRUCTION COST SUMMARY	41
B.1	Site Impro	ovements	41
B.2	Drum Scre	een & Influent Pump Station	42
B.3	Biological	I Treatment	42
B.4	Reverse C	Osmosis	43
B.5	Ultraviolet	t Advanced Oxidation Process	43
B.6	Chemica	ıls	43
B.7	Lime Syste	em	44
B.8	Sidestrear	m Centrate Treatment	44
B.9	Buildings .		45
B.10	Electrical	and I&C	45
B.11	Yard Pipir	ng	46
B.12	Estimating	g Allowances	47
APPEN		O&M COST SUMMARY	
C.1	Influent a	Ind MBR	
C.2	Reverse C	Osmosis	49
C.3	Ultraviolet	t Advanced Oxidation Process	50
C.4	Stabilizatio	on	50
C.5	Effluent C	Chlorination	51
C.6	Balance o	of Chemicals, Buildings, Electrical	51
C.7	Major Equ	uipment Replacement Cost	52
C.8	Labor		52
C.9	JWPCP Se	econdary Treatment and Biosolids Processing	53

Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Definition	
ADD	Average Day Demand	
AFY	Acre Feet per Year	
APC	Advanced Purification Center	
AWT	Advanced Water Treatment	
AWTF	Advanced Water Treatment Facility	
AWTP	Advanced Water Treatment Plant	
BAC	Biological Activated Carbon	
BIM	Building Information Modeling	
DAFT	Dissolved Air Floatation Treatment	
DDW	Division of Drinking Water	
DPR	Direct Potable Reuse	
EBCT	Empty Bed Contact Time	
FAT	Full Advanced Treatment	
FORCO	Fletcher Oil and Refinery Company	
FTE	Full-time Equivalents	
GWRS	Groundwater Replenishment System	
HGL	Hydraulic Grade Line	
HPOAS	High-purity Oxygen Activated Sludge	
HRT	Hydraulic Retention Time	
1&C	Instrumentation and Controls	
IPR	Indirect Potable Reuse	
JTAP	JWPCP Technical Analysis Project	
JWPCP	Joint Water Pollution Control Plant	
LACSD	Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts	
LOX	Liquid Oxygen	
MDD	Maximum Day Demand	
MF	Membrane Filtration (Micro or Ultrafiltration)	
MGD	Million Gallons per Day	
MBR	Membrane Bioreactor	
Metropolitan	Metropolitan Water District of Southern California	
MWD	Metropolitan Water District of Southern California	
NdN (also NDN)	Nitrification	
NRCY	Nitrified Mixed Liquor Recycle	
0&M	Operations and Maintenance	

OPC	Opinion of Probable Cost
OPCC	Opinion of Probable Construction Cost
PHD	Peak Hour Demand
PWSC	Pure Water Southern California (formerly the Regional Recycled Water Program)
QTO	Quantity Take-off
RAS	Return Activated Sludge
RO	Reverse Osmosis
SCE	Southern California Edison
sMBR	Secondary MBR
трн	Total Dynamic Head
ТМ	Technical Memorandum
T&M	Testing and Monitoring
tMBR	Tertiary MBR
ТОС	Total Organic Carbon
UV/AOP	Ultraviolet Advanced Oxidation Process
VFD	Variable Frequency Drive
WAS	Waste Activated Sludge
WBMWD	West Basin Municipal Water District

1.0 INTRODUCTION

In accordance with the scope of work in Task Order No. 1, Task 6 – "Cost of Service Analysis", this technical memorandum (TM) has been prepared to summarize this task's approach and findings. This includes updated cost estimates for the full-scale advanced water treatment (AWT) facility.

1.1 PROGRAM BACKGROUND AND DRIVERS

Imported sources make up a large portion of Metropolitan Water District of Southern California's (Metropolitan) customers water supplies. The reliability of imported supplies is in question due to both water availability with the imposition of restrictions due to ongoing drought conditions as well as the potential impacts to conveyance infrastructure functionality after a major seismic event. The potential for procuring new supplies to import are very limited. Within this context, the reuse of water from the municipal wastewater facilities, including the Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts' (LACSD) Joint Water Pollution Control Plant (JWPCP), is a critical supply component necessary to provide long-term sustainable water supply sources to Metropolitan's customers.

Metropolitan and LACSD are developing Pure Water Southern California (PWSC), a large-scale regional recycled water program, to beneficially reuse water currently discharged to the Pacific Ocean. The overall program involves construction of an Advanced Water Treatment (AWT) facility to treat effluent from the LACSD's Joint Water Pollution Control Plant (JWPCP) located in the City of Carson, California, as well as a new regional conveyance system and associated infrastructure to utilize the purified water to augment regional water supplies.

PWSC is planned to purify primary or secondary wastewater effluent from LACSD's JWPCP through AWT processes for potable reuse in Southern California. Water from the program will be used to recharge groundwater basins. This system will also have the flexibility to accommodate industrial users whose needs are consistent with the quality of water produced by the advanced water treatment facility (AWTF). Finally, future use of this system for direct potable reuse (DPR) applications appears feasible once applicable regulations are established. As currently envisioned PWSC will be constructed in a phased approach with the ultimate capacity of the program considering both the availability of source water at the JWPCP and the anticipated water demands of member agencies for groundwater replenishment and raw water augmentation.

1.2 **PROJECT BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES**

Various studies have been performed by Metropolitan and LACSD on viability and cost of implementing an advanced water treatment facility (AWTF). In 2016, Metropolitan completed a feasibility study (Metropolitan Report No. 1530, 2016) that included a Class 4 opinion of probable cost (OPC) prepared by Stantec (Stantec, 2016) and used as part of an assessment of PWSC's economic viability. Stantec performed a nitrogen management evaluation (Stantec, 2018), prepared site layouts and developed cost estimates for various AWTF phasing alternatives; findings from these studies were summarized in a conceptual planning studies report (Metropolitan Report No. 1618, 2019). Stantec updated the OPC in 2018 (Stantec, 2018) to reflect then current market

conditions. Later in 2020, LACSD retained Jacobs and Hazen separately, each to conduct specific tasks for the JWPCP Technical Analysis Project (JTAP), which included preparation of Class 4 cost estimates for multiple process trains (LACSD, 2021). This TM incorporates information from the JTAP reports and provides an updated cost estimate after analyzing the differences between previous OPCs and the equivalent process train OPC in the JTAP reports (Train 1C, by Jacobs). The resulting OPC is a Class 4 estimate. The class of estimates referred to herein are based on criteria established by the Association for the Advancement of Cost Engineering International (AACEI). Class 4 cost estimates have typical expected accuracy ranges of -15% to -30% on the low side, and +20% to +50% on the high side. The timeline in **Figure 1-1** depicts the flow of work leading up to this report.



Figure 1-1: Timeline of Cost Estimates for the AWTF to Date

The objectives of this TM are to:

- 1. Review the differences between the 150-MGD IPR-only AWTF estimates prepared by Stantec (for Metropolitan) and Jacobs (for LACSD) and develop an updated 2021 estimate.
- 2. Update the 2021 150-MGD IPR-only AWTF estimate to 2022 dollars and include additional ancillary facilities identified by the Metropolitan staff.
- 3. Develop estimates for DPR facilities for each phase based on Metropolitan's program phasing plan.
- 4. Develop cost estimates for each phase including ancillary and DPR facilities.

The construction and annual O&M costs presented in this TM are costs at year zero with an assumption that Metropolitan will make appropriate adjustments based on program's construction schedule.

1.3 STUDY APPROACH

The general approach for updating the OPC is shown in **Figure 1-2** and summarized as follows:

- Stantec's previous estimates from 2016 and 2018 for a 150-MGD IPR-only AWTF were escalated to 2021 dollars so that they can be compared to Jacobs' 2021 estimates from JTAP studies.
- Each line item from these two 2021 estimates was reviewed to understand the differences and an updated estimate was developed for the overall AWTF cost.
- The 2021 updated estimate was then escalated to 2022 dollars at Metropolitan's request.
- Several new ancillary facilities were identified by Metropolitan staff to be included in the site plan and cost estimates were developed for those facilities in 2022 dollars.
- The estimates for the ancillary facilities were added to the 2022 AWTF estimate to produce the overall facility cost for a 150-MGD IPR-only facility at the Joint Site.
- Phasing plan developed by Metropolitan was used to estimate costs for each phase:
 - Phase 1: 100 MGD IPR at Joint Site + 10 MGD DPR at Weymouth WTP
 - Phase 2: Additional 50 MGD IPR at Joint Site + 150 MGD DPR at Joint Site
- Using the cost estimates for DPR facilities and applying phasing factor for additional mobilization/demobilization costs, cost estimates were developed for Phases 1 and 2.



1.4 TM STRUCTURE AND CONTENT

This TM consists of five sections:

- Section 1 Introduction: Provides background, drivers, and approach to developing the OPC.
- Section 2 Update of Cost Estimates: Provides assumptions and key parameter values used to develop the capital and O&M cost estimates along with the estimates in 2021 dollars. Line by line comparison between Stantec and Jacobs' estimates is provided in Appendices B and C; those estimates are shown in 2021 dollars since Jacobs estimates were developed in 2021.
- Section 3 Ancillary Facilities: Provides a brief description of facilities and a summary of the cost estimate for ancillary facilities.
- Section 4 DPR Facilities: Provides a brief description of facilities and a summary of the cost estimate for DPR facilities.
- Section 5 Cost Estimates for Individual Phases based on Current Program Phasing Plan: Describes the methodology to derive cost estimates for the two program phases and resulting estimates.
- Section 5 Summary: Summarizes the updated cost estimates in 2022 dollars.

2.0 UPDATE OF COST ESTIMATES

The cost estimates for each unit process/component of the full-scale AWT facility from Stantec and Jacobs were compared and the differences analyzed to develop the updated estimates for the full-scale AWTF. This section describes the key project markups and assumptions used in developing the capital and O&M costs along with the summary of these estimates. Details on differences between the estimates and the justifications for new estimates are included in **Appendices B and C** for the construction and O&M costs, respectively. The costs for the clearwell, effluent conveyance pumps and surge tanks are not included in these estimates since those will be covered by PWSC's conveyance team.

2.1 DESCRIPTION OF PROCESS TRAIN

The treatment process presented in Stantec's reports is equivalent to the Train 1C process in the JTAP reports. The process configuration (**Figure 2-1**) consists of a NdN tMBR, single pass RO, UV/AOP and stabilization. The sidestream centrate treatment has also been included in the overall treatment cost. The design criteria for the process train are included in **Appendix A**.



Figure 2-1: Process Flow Diagram for the NdN tMBR based Advanced Water Treatment Facility

2.2 COST ESCALATION

Stantec estimates developed in 2016 (and updated in 2018) were first escalated to 2021 dollars to allow comparison with Jacobs' estimates developed in 2021. Stantec's cost estimation team utilizes the TBD Consultants Bid Index, based on actual construction costs in San Francisco, CA, to provide OPCs with reasonable accuracy. Though based in San Francisco, we have found this bid index to be reliable. According to TBD Consultants bid index, an escalation of 33% on construction costs was required to update the May 2016 estimates to May 2021 dollars. A comparison of the TBD index to ENR's California Construction Cost Index is shown in **Table 2-1**. To compare the operations and maintenance (O&M) costs, Stantec used escalated equipment costs as a basis to develop the equipment replacement and maintenance costs.

	ENR CCCI ¹	TBD Consultants Bid Index ²		
May 2016	10315.44	193.1		
May 2018	11012.77	219.06		
May 2021	11989.91	257.12		
Escalation Factor	16.2%	32.8%		
1. ENR California Construction Cost Index (CCCI) is provided in the JTAP reports.				
2. TBD Consultants uses a construction bid index based off of actual bids in San Francisco, CA. <u>http://www.tbdconsultants.com/mobi/TBDBidIndex.htm</u>				

Table 2-1: Construction Index Factor Comparison

2.3 CONSTRUCTION AND PROJECT MARKUPS

The markups that differ between Stantec's estimates prepared for Metropolitan and Jacobs' estimates prepared for LACSD include:

- Construction markups (e.g. contractor overhead and profit)
- Project markups (e.g. engineering and administrative services, contingency)

Construction markups are summarized in **Table 2-2**. Based on current market conditions, the markups from Jacobs' estimates are more consistent with recent experience from Stantec's cost estimators and are recommended to be used for the updated costs.

	Stantec's Estimate from 2016 TO20	Jacobs' Estimate	Recommendation
Sales Tax	9.5% applied to 40% of subtotal, separate from Contractor markups	9.5% applied to 40% of subtotal, prior to Contractor markups	9.5% applied to 40% of subtotal, prior to Contractor markups
Contractor Overhead	Combined with Contractor Profit	7.5%	7.5%
General Conditions	5% at 4 years	7.5%	7.5%
Subcontractor General Conditions	6%	not stated	included in general conditions percentage
Contractor Profit	10% on self-perform, 4% on subs	10%	10%
Mobilization/Bonds/Insurance	2.50%	5%	5%
Total	19 ^{%1}	30%	30%

Table 2-2: Construction Markups

1. This is a blended percentage based on taking the contractor markups total divided by the cost subtotal

Project markups are summarized in **Table 2-3**. The recommended markups were obtained from Metropolitan and were applied on top of construction costs for an estimated project cost.

Table 2-3: Project Markups

	Metropolitan Conceptual Design Report	Jacobs' Estimate	Recommendation
Engineering	25%	12%	17%
Services During Construction/Startup		6%	6%
Construction Management (CM)		12%	12%
Permitting/Legal Fees		Lump sum of \$10M	Lump sum of \$10M
Administrative Fees		Lump sum of \$5M	5%
Engineering and Admin Total	25%	30% + \$15M	40% + \$10M
Contingency	35%	35% applied prior to Engineering and Administrative Costs	35% applied prior to Engineering and Administrative Costs

2.4 LIMITATIONS OF THE APPROACH

The updated OPCs presented in this TM combine two cost estimates with different underlying assumptions and basis of design at different points in time. While the basis of design for each OPC was evaluated, the unit costs the OPCs are built from were not evaluated or updated. The updated OPC considered these assumptions and generally used the more conservative estimate.

Stantec strongly recommends updating this OPC using the quantity take-offs from a BIM model prepared on the basis of a well-developed conceptual design for the selected final process train with up-to-date unit costs. With this recommendation in mind, decisions regarding economic feasibility and costs of service should recognize the limitations and potential inaccuracies of this approach.

2.5 O&M COST PARAMETERS

The approach to developing the O&M costs between Stantec's and Jacobs' estimates was similar. The parameters used in the development of the O&M costs are shown in **Table 2-4** and then discussed by category in following paragraphs.

	Updated/Escalated Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Recommendation
Maintenance	3% of equipment cost	3% of equipment cost	3% of equipment cost
Major equipment overhaul	Not included	5% of mechanical equipment cost, at year 10	5% of mechanical equipment cost each year
Local sales tax on replacement components	9.5%	9%	9.5%
Contingency	15%	15%	15%
Labor Costs, \$/hr	\$150/hr for 2,080 hrs	\$150/hr for 1,800 hrs	\$150/hr for 2,080 hrs
Biosolids Disposal, \$/DT	Not used	190	190
Pure Oxygen feed, \$/lb-O ₂	Not used	0.015	Not used
Replacement frequency, years			
MBR modules	10	10	10
MF modules	10	10	10
Cartridge filters	0.5	0.5	0.5
RO elements	5	5	5
UV lamps	1.6	1.6	1.6
UV ballasts	10	5	5
UV-AOP sleeves, sensors	Not included	per vendor quotes	per vendor quotes
Blowers	>20	>20	>20
Major pumping systems	>20	>20	>20
Net Present Value			
Net Present Value period, years	Not used	20	Not used
Net Present Value interest rate, %	Not used	5	Not used
Electricity			
JWPCP produced, \$/kWh	Not used	0.06 1	Not used
Purchased electricity, \$/kWh	0.15	0.15	0.15
Chemicals			

Table 2-4: O&M Cost Parameters

	Updated/Escalated Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Recommendation
Ammonium sulfate (40%), \$/gal	2.25	3.54	2.25
Antiscalant (100%), \$/gal	13.00	8.63	13.00
Carbon dioxide, \$/lb	0.085	0.08	0.09
MicroC 2000 (100%), \$/gal	3.05	3.35	3.05
Caustic soda (25%), \$/gal	1.42	1.39	1.42
Citric acid (50%), \$/gal	13.50	5.05	13.50
Hydrated lime, \$/lb	0.19	0.25	0.19
Hydrochloric acid (33%), \$/gal	Not used	1.80	Not used
Sodium bisulfite (25%), \$/gal	1.49	1.10	1.49
Sodium hypochlorite (12.5%), \$/gal	0.84	0.82	0.84
Sulfuric acid (93%), \$/gal	2.08	1.84	2.08
Sodium dodecylbenzylsulfonate, \$/lb	Not used	1.5	Not used

¹ The energy savings from energy produced at JWPCP was not factored into Jacobs' estimates. All alternatives projected using more energy than production capacity at JWPCP.

The categories of O&M parameters and how they compare between the estimates are described as follows:

- Maintenance: Both estimates used the same basis of 3% of equipment costs for maintenance.
- Major equipment replacement: Both estimates assumed major equipment such as pumps and blowers would have a useful life of greater than 20 years and therefore full replacement costs were not included. Jacobs' estimate included a 5% allowance for the cost of major equipment overhaul at year 10.
- **Contingency**: A contingency of 15% was applied in addition to all O&M costs, except for labor.
- Labor: Both estimates used the same hourly rate, though Stantec's estimate assumed this rate was applied at 40 hrs per week for 52 weeks (equal to 2,080 hours per year) compared to an average yearly total hours of 1,800 assumed for Jacobs' estimate.
- **Biosolids disposal and pure oxygen feed**: Specific unit cost parameters based on JWPCP operational costs were used by Jacobs in their estimate of costs associated with processes at the JWPCP. Stantec's estimate in 2018 was based on approximate percentages of total treatment cost for secondary treatment at JWPCP. Jacobs' estimate is based on greater specificity and more recent cost data.
- Assets requiring scheduled replacement: Both estimates included replacement costs for assets requiring schedule replacement with less than a 20-year life, such as membranes and UV lamps and ballasts. Stantec's estimate was based on the 2021 unit cost quotes per replacement part obtained by Jacobs. Sales tax was applied to the replacement costs.
- **Electricity**: Both estimates were based on \$0.15/kWh.
- **Chemicals**: Unit costs used in Jacobs' estimate were the same as from Stantec's 2018 estimate except for updated costs for MicroC 2000 (carbon) and sodium hypochlorite. Stantec

received updated costs for all chemicals in early 2022 as part of this effort except for sodium bisulfite and sodium hypochlorite, which were escalated based on the overall average increase in chemical unit costs from the quotes received.

2.6 UPDATED CAPITAL COST ESTIMATE FOR A 150-MGD IPR FACILITY AT THE JOINT SITE

The cost estimates developed in 2021 dollars to compare with Jacobs' estimates were escalated to 2022 dollars per Metropolitan's request. Escalation from Q2 2021 dollars (included in Section 2) to Q2 2022 dollars was based on a 10% escalation factor since the TBD price index has not yet been updated for 2022 at the time of this report.

The total capital cost in 2022 dollars (**Table 2-5**) is estimated to be **\$2.5 billion** based on an assumption that the entire facility will be built in a single phase. This estimate also includes additional ancillary facilities per Metropolitan's request that were not part of the previous estimates developed by Stantec; details on those facilities can be found in **Section 3**. An additional Title 22 Facility for 1.0 mgd of non-potable reuse consisting of UV disinfection and storage is included based on recent planning efforts with Metropolitan. This estimate does not include any DPR facilities and is meant to provide comparison to previous (2016 and 2018) estimates for a 150-MGD IPR-only AWTF.

Table 2-5: Updated Capital Cost Estimate for a 150-MGD IPK racility at the Joint Site	Table 2-5	: Updated	Capital Cos	t Estimate for a	150-MGD IPR	Facility at the	e Joint Site
---	-----------	-----------	--------------------	------------------	-------------	-----------------	--------------

Area	Capital Cost
Site Improvements	\$16,330,000
Drum Screen and Influent Pump Station	\$20,630,000
Biological Treatment	\$289,600,000
RO	\$209,800,000
UV-AOP	\$33,960,000
Chemicals	\$8,174,000
Lime System	\$16,930,000
Electrical and I&C	\$82,270,000
Yard Piping	\$10,460,000
Sidestream Centrate Treatment	\$75,680,000
Title 22 Facility ¹	\$7,000,000
O&M Buildings and Ancillary Facilities ²	\$126,600,000
Estimating Allowance	\$73,470,000
Subtotal	\$971,000,000
Construction Markups ³	\$339,200,000
Subtotal Construction Cost	\$1,310,200,000
Construction Cost Contingency ⁴	\$458,600,000
Engineering, Startup, Admin, Const. Mgmt. ⁵	\$707,400,000
Permitting	\$10,000,000
Capital Cost (\$)	\$2,487,000,000

¹ Title 22 Facility consists of 1.0 mgd UV disinfection and a 400,000 gallon storage tank

² The buildings and the ancillary facilities costs from Section 3 are combined in one line item here. This excludes electrical buildings which are included within process line items

³ Construction markups include sales tax of 9.5% on 40% of equipment cost, contractor overhead of 15%, contractor profit of 10%, and mobilization/bonds/insurance of 5%.

⁴Contingency is 35% of subtotal construction cost

⁵ Project markups include engineering at 17%, startup at 6%, CM at 12%, admin at 5%, applied on top of the sum of the subtotal construction cost and contingency.

2.7 UPDATED O&M COST ESTIMATE FOR THE 150-MGD IPR FACILITY AT THE JOINT SITE

A summary of the updated O&M costs is presented in **Table 2-6**. The annual O&M cost is estimated to be **\$156M** excluding biogas credit.

Table 2-6: Updated O&M Cost Estimate for a 150-MGD IPR Facility at the Joint Site

Area	Annual O&M Cost
Influent and MBR	\$49,836,000
RO	\$43,809,000
UV AOP	\$6,258,000
Stabilization	\$6,198,000
Effluent Chlorination	\$3,120,000
Balance of Chemicals, Buildings, Electrical	\$3,150,000
Major Equipment Replacement Cost	\$4,859,000
Labor	\$37,128,000
JWPCP Secondary Treatment and Biosolids ¹	\$996,000
Title 22 Facility ²	\$10,000
Ancillary Facilities	\$500,000
O&M Cost (\$)	\$155,864,000
Annual Biogas Credit ¹	\$1,243,000
Annual O&M with Biogas Credit	\$154,621,000

¹ JWPCP Secondary Treatment and Biosolids, and Biogas Credit O&M cost reflect only the differences between the tMBR train and current JWPCP operations

²Title 22 facility O&M is based on power, maintenance, and replacement parts for UV disinfection facility

3.0 ANCILLARY FACILITIES

3.1 DESCRIPTION OF FACILITIES

The ancillary facilities include facilities that assist with operating the plant as well as others that provide a space for education and demonstration to the public. A list of the facilities and their basic descriptions can be found below in **Table 3-1**.

Facility	Basic Facility Description
Operations Building	Offices, central control room, locker rooms, and full kitchen/lunchroom. Includes training room.
Laboratory	Laboratory with additional space for pilot facilities with available connections to process waters. Adjacent to Operations Building.
MWD Warehouse	Large warehouse with size split and shared with LACSD
MWD Maintenance	Includes equipment and space for any necessary maintenance for mechanical, electrical, I&C, or painting at the facility.
Electrical Buildings	Buildings housing the electrical controls for the facility.
Electrical Substation	Electrical Substation
Fueling Facilities	Gasoline and Diesel refueling station, as well as EV charging stations for fleet vehicles.
Demonstration Garden	Garden to showcase native and low water needs plants that would do best to reduce water usage.
Amphitheater	Large outdoor amphitheater to give talks or hold activities out with seating.
Innovation Center	A center to demonstrate technologies used within the facility.
Tour Galleries	Area to lead tours on to showcase the facility and both the history and future of the facility.
Stormwater capture (LID), and multiple PS	Bioswales for stormwater capture throughout the facility and a pond or potentially another water feature to showcase captured stormwater.
Battery Storage	Battery storage facilities.
Solar Power	Solar panels for energy generation
Generators	Generators for emergency power to run essential equipment in case of power outage.
Parking	Parking for both staff and public.

Table 3-1: Description of Potential Ancillary Facilities

3.2 CONSTRUCTION COSTS

Class 5 cost estimates, as defined by AACEI, for the construction of ancillary facilities were developed by Stantec. The estimates are parametric, based on unit costs per square foot and developed with reference to Metropolitan's Lake Matthews Reservoir Rehabilitation Storage Facility project bid. **Table 3-2** summarizes the ancillary facilities, anticipated footprints, and Class 5 costs for each. Sections of the facility that have already been priced in other sections are noted

accordingly in the cost estimate columns and their values are not repeated. Class 5 cost estimates have typical expected accuracy ranges of -20% to -50% on the low side, and +30% to +100% on the high side.

Facility	Gross Footprint (sf) ¹	Building Area (sf)	Cost Estimate	Cost Estimate Assumptions
Operations Building	15,000	N/A	Included elsewhere	Assuming 75 operators, and 50 on any given day. May need expansion for training rooms. Two story building.
Laboratory	47,000	50,000	\$60,500,000	Lab staff of 40 employees. Need an additional 2000 sf for pilot facilities. Separate but adjacent to the Ops building.
Warehouse	50,000	23,000	\$12,850,000	LACSD needs 13,000 sf for their part of the warehouse.
Maintenance Building	75,000	N/A	Included elsewhere	Includes parking. Indoor space is 20,000 -30,000 sf
Electrical Buildings	13,260	N/A	Included elsewhere	N/A
Electrical Substation	N/A	N/A	Included elsewhere	N/A
Fueling Facilities	10,000	N/A	\$2,000,000	Installation of underground petroleum storage tanks
Demonstration Garden	10,000	N/A	\$350,000	Meandering sidewalks, some CA native planting potential smaller bioswales. Maybe 1/2 acre landscaped.
Amphitheater	5,000	5,000	\$625,000	Outdoor, open, seating (benches), maybe electronics for presentation, no real cover
Innovation Center	1,200	N/A	Included elsewhere	Likely retain existing APC learning center. Triple-wide trailer
Tour Galleries	N/A	N/A	\$250,000	Outdoor sidewalks (4' width) and placards
Stormwater capture (LID), and multiple PS	N/A	N/A	Included elsewhere	Bioswales around parking lot. Sewer that runs under the disposal pit. Set up grading that water can go through bioswales to a sump and pump into sewer.
Battery Storage	3,830	N/A	\$12,000,000	Assumed to be 2 MW, based off of previous MWD battery storage average of \$6 million per MW

Table 3-2: Ancillary Facility Capital Cost Summary
Solar Power	10 acres	N/A	\$4,500,000	1.5 MW estimated at \$3/watt, based on recent costs with conservatism for unknowns of mounting and electrical infrastructure
Generators	13,150	N/A	Included elsewhere	N/A
Parking	139,368	N/A	\$3,484,000	Next to innovation center. Canopies over with Solar, EV, etc.
Subtotal Ancillary Facility Construction Cost		Cost	\$96,600,000	Includes Contractor Markups
Construction Cost Contingency (35%)			\$33,800,000	
Engineering, Startup, Admin, Const. Mgmt. (40%)		mt. (40%)	\$52,200,000	
Total			\$182,600,000	

¹ Gross footprint includes parking, landscaping, and facility

3.3 OPERATION & MAINTENANCE COSTS

The O&M cost estimate is based on the total ancillary facilities estimated costs. It uses an estimate of 0.5% of the total cost of the facility of \$96,600,000, leaving O&M estimated costs at about \$500,000 dollars per year.

4.0 DIRECT POTABLE REUSE FACILITIES

4.1 BASIS OF COST ESTIMATE

The location of DPR processes within the process train for PWSC is still under evaluation. Metropolitan's planned potential approaches to implement DPR and research needs associated with each approach are discussed in the two TMs generated by the Stantec Team (Stantec, 2022a and b).

Amongst the proposed DPR implementation approaches, those that place ozone/BAC processes upstream of RO have been stipulated in the draft DPR regulations. The process design criteria for ozone/BAC processes for such implementation are well defined and therefore, process sizing and cost estimation can be completed. For the DPR implementation approaches that place ozone/BAC processes downstream of RO, several treatment questions have yet to be addressed and Metropolitan plans to do so over the next few years.

For the Phase 1 of the program, Metropolitan plans to install UV and chlorine dioxide processes at the Weymouth WTP to further treat 10 MGD of advanced treated water (ATW). Adding these processes to the treatment train and limiting the ATW's contribution as a percentage of the total feed water supply to Weymouth WTP to less than 10% during Phase 1 allows Metropolitan to meet the draft DPR regulatory requirements. The preliminary estimate for such treatment concept is provided in **Table 4-1**.

For the Phase 2, Metropolitan plans to produce up to 60 MGD of DPR quality water. Since that flow would result in ATW making up more than 10% of the total feed water supply to the Weymouth WTP, ozone, BAC and UV (or MF) processes will have to be included in the process train and will likely be located at a satellite facility somewhere between the Joint site and the Weymouth WTP. The Stantec Team has been tasked to develop the design basis, site layout and cost estimates for such treatment concept, which will be included in a separate TM.

Another DPR treatment approach under consideration places ozone, BAC and MF processes at the Joint site upstream of the RO. Under this treatment concept, the entire plant flow (150 MGD product water) will be treated to DPR standards. Although this approach is less likely to be implemented, it provides the most conservative cost estimate for DPR implementation and is included in this TM (**Table 4-2**).

4.2 CONSTRUCTION COSTS

The cost estimate for the DPR treatment is a Class 5 Opinion of Probable Construction Cost based on criteria established by AACEI. The costs are based on the following assumptions:

- Design criteria as shown in Appendix A
- For the 10 MGD of DPR treatment at the Weymouth WTP:
 - Costs are based on vendor quotes, with parametric estimates for balance of plant construction

- Costs for chlorine facilities are not included; this estimate assumes existing on-site chlorine systems at Weymouth WTP will be utilized
- No other ancillary facilities are included in the estimate based on an assumption that the existing Weymouth facilities will be used for maintenance and storage
- For the 150 MGD of DPR treatment at the Joint site:
 - Liquid oxygen (LOX) storage and supply for the ozone process will be required and is included in this estimate
 - Ozone & BAC costs are based on a scaled bid price for the 34-MGD Pure Water San Diego, North City Pure Water Facility (NCPWF), which has the same design criteria as PWSC
 - NCPWF bid was in Oct 2020 and was escalated to Q2 2021 dollars using TBD index, with an additional 10% escalation between Q2 2021 and Q2 2022 (TBD index is not yet updated for 2022). The estimate to account for capacity scaling to 150-mgd was done using power factor scaling equation with coefficient of 0.75 (Dysert, 2003):
 - \$B = \$A * (Capacity B / Capacity A)^e, where:

\$B = cost of construction for Project B, unknown
\$A = cost of construction for Project A, known
Capacity B = capacity of Project B (in our case, flow rate of facility in mgd)

Capacity A = capacity of Project A (in our case, flow rate of facility in mgd) e = power factor exponent (in our case, 0.75 based on comparison to other facility costs)

- MF cost is based on JTAP Train 4 estimate, escalated from Q2 2021 to Q2 2022 dollars by using 10% escalation factor
- The DPR line items for ozone, BAC and MF include proportional adders for contractor mobilization, electrical and I&C, site work, yard piping, testing, building enclosure for equipment, sales tax on equipment, and associated support facilities (LOX storage and feed system, BAC backwash tank/MF feed tank, CIP system)
- No additional ancillary facilities were included assuming use of IPR facilities for operations, maintenance, and storage

Table 4-1: Capital Cost Summary for the 10-MGD DPR Facility at the Weymouth WTP

Area/Item	Cost
UV 1	\$1,103,000
CIO ₂ ¹	\$500,000
Chemical Storage ¹	\$76,000
Tank for Contact Time ¹	\$7,573,000
Building and Pad ¹	\$3,360,000

Subtotal	\$12,612,000
Contractor Markups	\$3,800,000
Construction Subtotal	\$16,412,000
Construction Cost Contingency (35%)	\$7,100,000
Engineering, Startup, Admin, Const. Mgmt. (40%)	\$9,400,000
Capital Cost (\$)	\$33,000,000

¹Each item includes electrical, I&C, civil site work, and installation costs

Table 4-2: Capital Cost Summary for the 150-MGD DPR Facilities at the Joint Site

Area/Item	Cost
Ozone 1	\$166,540,000
BAC ¹	\$123,420,000
MF ¹	\$236,800,000
Subtotal	\$526,760,000
Contractor Markups	\$158,000,000
Construction Subtotal	\$684,760,000
Construction Cost Contingency (35%)	\$239,666,000
Engineering, Startup, Admin, Const. Mgmt. (40%)	\$369,800,000
Capital Cost (\$)	\$1,294,000,000
Capital Cost (\$M)	\$1,294

¹Each item includes electrical, I&C, civil site work, building, tanks, LOX system, and installation costs

4.3 OPERATION & MAINTENANCE COSTS

O&M costs were developed using the same unit costs as in Section 2.5, where applicable (e.g. electricity unit cost of \$0.15/kWh) and are based on the following assumptions:

- For the 10-MGD of DPR treatment at the Weymouth WTP (Table 4-3):
 - O&M costs for UV and CIO₂ processes include power, maintenance, replacement, and chemicals (CIO₂).
 - Additional maintenance and replacement costs are included for the chlorine contact tank and building, labeled in **Table** as "Other".

- Unit cost for chlorine gas is \$0.93/lb and sodium chlorite is \$1.00/lb.
- Labor 0.5 FTEs, assuming \$150/hr, 2090 hr/FTE, no contingency.

• For the 150 MGD of DPR treatment at the Joint site (Table 4-4):

- Ozone & BAC costs are based on quantities scaled from the 34-MGD Pure Water San Diego, North City Pure Water Facility which has the same design criteria as PWSC. Unit costs for energy and chemical are then applied to these quantities. No activated carbon replacement is assumed to be needed for the analysis period.
- Liquid oxygen (LOX) storage and supply for the ozone process is included in this estimate
- MF cost is based on JTAP Train 4 estimate, escalated from Q2 2021 to Q2 2022 dollars by using 10% escalation factor.
- Labor additional 10 FTEs in addition to IPR staff, assuming \$150/hr, 2080 hr/FTE, no contingency.

Table 4-3: O&M Costs for 10 MGD DPR Facilities at the Weymouth WTP

Area	Annual O&M Cost
UV	\$100,000
CIO ₂	\$147,000
Other	\$299,000
Labor	\$156,000
O&M Cost (\$)	\$700,000

Table 4-4: O&M Costs for 150 MGD DPR Facilities at the Joint Site

Area	Annual O&M Cost
Ozone	\$14,850,000
BAC	\$1,890,000
MF	\$11,000,000
Labor	\$3,120,000
O&M Cost (\$)	\$30,900,000

5.0 COST ESTIMATES FOR INDIVIDUAL PROGRAM PHASES BASED ON CURRENT PHASING PLAN

The program implementation plan developed by the Metropolitan includes two phases:

- Phase 1 100 mgd of IPR treatment (MBR, RO, UV-AOP, Stabilization) at the Joint Site, 10 mgd of UV and chlorine dioxide treatment at the Weymouth WTP
- Phase 2 Add 150 MGD of DPR treatment (Ozone, BAC, MF) and expand IPR capacity to 150 mgd total

The proposed DPR treatment concept for Phase 2 is conservative and Metropolitan may choose to implement DPR at a satellite facility, which will require treating only 60 MGD of water to DPR standards. Such concept may result in lower capital and O&M costs for Phase 2.

During Phase 1, a majority of the infrastructure for the ultimate capacity of 150 mgd would be constructed including all buildings and the ancillary facilities and most of the treatment process piping and structural infrastructure (buildings/canopies, basins, etc.). During Phase 2, the IPR treatment process equipment and remaining necessary infrastructure for an additional 50 mgd capacity would be added, along with all facilities associated with the DPR treatment processes as described in Section 4. The phased costs were developed based on following assumptions:

- Phase 1 costs were estimated per-line item based on an assumed percentage of the 150 mgd construction cost for the infrastructure that would be built during Phase 1. The total cost of Phase 1 is approximately 84% of the 150 mgd IPR construction cost.
- A phasing factor of 10 % was applied to Phase 2 costs to account for additional contractor mobilization/demobilization activities and inefficiencies of constructing the facility in two phases.
- The DPR line items for ozone, BAC and MF include proportional adders for contractor mobilization, electrical and I&C, site work, yard piping, testing, building enclosure for equipment, sales tax on equipment, and associated support facilities (LOX storage and feed system, BAC backwash tank/MF feed tank, CIP system).
- All costs are in 2022 dollars and do not account for the time-value of money based on when the construction of Phase 1 and Phase 2 occur.

The capital and O&M costs for each phase are summarized in **Table 5-1** and **Table 5-2**, respectively.

	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 1 + 2
	100 mgd IPR at Joint Site and 10 mgd DPR at Weymouth WTP	Additional 50 mgd IPR and 150 mgd DPR at Joint Site	
Area	Capital Cost	Capital Cost	Capital Cost
Site Improvements	\$16,330,000	\$0	\$16,330,000
Drum Screen and Influent Pump Station	\$15,472,500	\$5,157,000	\$20,630,000
Biological Treatment (including Carbon Addition)	\$231,680,000	\$57,920,000	\$289,600,000
Ozone	\$0	\$166,540,000	\$166,540,000
BAC	\$0	\$123,420,000	\$123,420,000
MF	\$0	\$236,800,000	\$236,800,000
RO	\$157,350,000	\$52,450,000	\$209,800,000
UV-AOP	\$25,470,000	\$8,490,000	\$33,960,000
Chemicals	\$6,130,500	\$2,043,500	\$8,174,000
Lime System	\$12,697,500	\$4,232,500	\$16,930,000
Title 22 Facility	\$7,000,000	\$0	\$7,000,000
Electrical and I&C	\$74,043,000	\$8,227,000	\$82,270,000
Yard Piping	\$10,460,000	\$0	\$10,460,000
Sidestream Centrate Treatment	\$75,680,000	\$0	\$75,680,000
O&M Buildings and Ancillary Facilities	\$126,600,000	\$0	\$126,600,000
Estimating Allowance	\$55,102,500	\$18,367,500	\$73,470,000
10-MGD UV and ClO ₂ at Weymouth WTP	\$12,612,000	\$O	\$12,612,000
Phasing Factor (additional mob/de- mob)	\$0	\$15,690,000	\$15,690,000
Subtotal	\$826,700,000	\$699,400,000	\$1,526,000,000
Sales Tax ¹	\$31,420,000	\$6,570,000	\$37,980,000
Contractor Markups ¹	\$257,450,000	\$211,800,000	\$469,194,000
Subtotal Construction Cost	\$1,115,600,000	\$917,800,000	\$2,033,200,000
Construction Cost Contingency ²	\$390,460,000	\$321,230,000	\$711,620,000
Engineering, Startup, Admin, Const. Mgmt. ³	\$602,424,000	\$495,612,000	\$1,097,928,000
Permitting	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$10,000,000
Capital Cost (\$)	\$2,114,000,000	\$1,740,000,000	\$3,854,000,000
Capital Cost (\$M)	\$2,114	\$1,740	\$3,854
Capital Cost per gpd (\$/gpd)	\$21.1	n/a	\$25.7

Table 5-1: Capital Costs for Individual Phases in 2022 Dollars

¹ Construction markups include sales tax of 9.5% on 40% of equipment cost; contractor markups consist of contractor overhead of 15%, contractor profit of 10%, and mobilization/bonds/insurance of 5%.

²Contingency is 35% of subtotal construction cost

³ Project markups include engineering at 17%, startup at 6%, CM at 12%, admin at 5%, applied on top of the sum of the subtotal construction cost and contingency.

	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 1 +2
Annual O&M Costs	100 mgd IPR at Joint Site + 10 MGD DPR at Weymouth WTP	Additional 50 mgd IPR and 150 mgd DPR at Joint Site	
Area	O&M Cost	O&M Cost	O&M Cost
Influent and MBR ¹	\$33,224,000	\$16,612,000	\$49,836,000
Ozone	\$0	\$14,850,000	\$14,850,000
BAC	\$0	\$1,890,000	\$1,890,000
MF	\$0	\$11,000,000	\$11,000,000
RO	\$29,206,000	\$14,603,000	\$43,809,000
UV AOP	\$4,172,000	\$2,086,000	\$6,258,000
Stabilization	\$4,132,000	\$2,066,000	\$6,198,000
Effluent Chlorination	\$2,080,000	\$1,040,000	\$3,120,000
10-MGD UV and ClO ₂ at Weymouth WTP	\$700,000	\$0	\$700,000
Balance of Chemicals, Buildings, Electrical	\$2,100,000	\$1,050,000	\$3,150,000
Major Equipment Replacement Cost	\$3,239,333	\$1,619,667	\$4,859,000
Labor	\$35,568,000	\$4,680,000	\$40,248,000
JWPCP Secondary Treatment and Biosolids	\$664,000	\$332,000	\$996,000
Ancillary Facilities	\$500,000	\$O	\$500,000
Total	\$115,700,000	\$71,900,000	\$187,600,000
Annual Biogas Credit	\$828,667	\$414,333	\$1,243,000
Annual O&M with Biogas Credit	\$114,900,000	\$71,500,000	\$186,400,000

Table 5-2: O&M Costs for Individual Phases in 2022 Dollars

¹ Influent and MBR O&M cost includes sidestream treatment, odor control, equalization, biological process chemicals, influent pumps/screens and MBR costs.

6.0 SUMMARY

Stantec was tasked by Metropolitan to update the full-scale AWTF cost estimates to 2022 dollars and include additional ancillary and DPR facilities in these estimates. Using Metropolitan's program phasing plan, Stantec also developed estimates for each phase of the Program. **Table 6-1** summarizes the 2022 estimates. The Phase 2 estimates were developed based on an assumption that all 150 MGD of product water will meet DPR water quality requirements. However, Metropolitan is considering use of a satellite DPR facility that will allow Metropolitan to treat only 60 MGD of water to DPR standards – the flow that is expected to be used for DPR application. Therefore, the Phase 2 estimates presented in this TM are expected to be conservative. Stantec Team is in the process of developing the estimates for a satellite DPR facility, which will be included in a separated TM.

Table 6-1: Summary of Cost Estimates

Program Treatment Components	Capital Costs (\$M)	Annual O&M Costs (\$M/yr)
150-MGD IPR-only AWTF ¹	\$2,487	\$156
Additional Ancillary Facilities	\$183	\$0.5
10-MGD DPR Facilities at Weymouth WTP	\$33	\$0.7
150-MGD DPR Facilities at Joint Site	\$1,294	\$30.9
Program Phases	Capital Costs (\$M)	Annual O&M Costs (\$M/yr)
Phase 1 (100 MGD IPR + 10 MGD DPR)	\$2,114	\$116
Phase 2 (50 MGD IPR + 150 MGD DPR)	\$1,740	\$72
Phase 1 + 2	\$3,854	\$188

¹ Assumes the whole facility is built in a single phase and includes essential ancillary facilities as indicated in Table 3-2

7.0 **REFERENCES**

- Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts (2021). Technical Analysis of Biological and Advanced Water Treatment Processes at the Joint Water Pollution Control Plant - Analysis of Train 1C-1F: Nitrification and Denitrification Tertiary Membrane Bioreactor + Reverse Osmosis.
- Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (2019). Regional Recycled Water Program Conceptual Planning Studies Report – Report No. 1618.
- Stantec, Carollo Engineers and Trussell Technologies Inc. (2016). Conceptual Design of the Fullscale AWT Facility for the Potential Regional Water Supply Program.
- Stantec, Carollo Engineers and Trussell Technologies Inc. (2018). Cost Estimate Update of the Full-Scale Advanced Water Treatment Facility.
- Stantec (2018). Nitrogen Management Evaluation for Full-scale Advanced Water Treatment Facility.
- Stantec (2022a). Approach to Generate Data for Environmental Impact Studies for Direct Potable Reuse Facilities for the Regional Recycled Water Program.
- Stantec, Carollo Engineers and Trussell Technologies Inc. (2022b). Roadmap to Address the Direct Potable Reuse Research Needs for the Regional Recycled Water Program.
- TBD Consultants Price Index, http://www.tbdconsultants.com/mobi/TBDBidIndex.htm

8.0 **APPENDICES**

Appendix A DESIGN CRITERIA

Table 8-1 Influent Pump Station Design Criteria

Parameter	Unit	Value
General		
JWPCP Secondary Effluent Required	MGD	180
Influent Pump Station		
Number of Pumps (Duty + Standby)	-	3+1
Design Capacity, Each	MGD	86
Motor Power, Each	HP	770
Influent Fine Screens		
Туре	-	Center-Fed Drum Screen
Size of Perforations	mm	2
Number of Screens (Duty + Standby)	-	5+1
Capacity, Each	MGD	50
Power, Each	HP	3.0
Washer/compactor (Duty + Standby)		1+1

Table 8-2 Biological Process Design Criteria for MBR

Parameter	Unit	Value
General		
MBR Average Flow	mgd	180
MBR Peak Flow	mgd	234
MCRT	days	>10
Waste Activated Sludge (WAS)		
MBR WAS Flow	% of Influent	1.83%
WAS Flow Rate	MGD	3.30
WAS Solids Content	%	3.4 to 3.8
WAS Pumps (Duty + Standby)		1 + 1
WAS Pump Capacity, Each	gpm	2,300
WAS Pump Power, Each	HP	40
Return Activated Sludge (RAS)		
RAS Flow Setpoint	% of Q	150%
RAS Flow Rate, Total	MGD	351
RAS Flow Pumps, (Duty + Standby)	-	5 + 1
RAS Flow Pump Capacity, Each	MGD	80
RAS Flow Pump Power, Each	HP	300
Nitrified Mixed Liquor Recycle (NRCY)		
NRCY Flow Setpoint	% of Q	150%
NRCY Flow Rate, Total	MGD	351
NRCY Flow Pumps, (Duty + Standby)	-	12 + 0

NRCY Flow Pump Capacity, Each	MGD	33.3
NRCY Flow Pump Power, Each	HP	40
Bioreactor		
Number of Trains	-	6
Anoxic Basins		
Number of Basins per Train	-	2
Number of Basins Total	-	8
Wet Volume, Each Basin	gal	1,670,000
Total Volume	gal	13,360,000
HRT (Excluding Recycle Flow)	hours	1.8
Mixer Type	-	Top mounted
Mixer Motor	HP	30
Number of Mixers	-	16
Mixing Power, Total	HP	480
Aeration Basins		
Number of Basins per Train	-	3
Number of Basins Total	-	12
Wet Volume, Each Basin	gal	1,380,000
Total Volume	gal	16,560,000
HRT (Excluding Recycle Flow)	hours	2.2
Process Air Capacity	cfm	72,000
Process Air Blowers, (Duty + Standby)	-	3 + 1
Process Air Blower Capacity, Each	cfm	24,000
Process Air Blower Power, Each	HP	1,430

Table 8-3 Membrane System Design Criteria for MBR

Parameter	Unit	Value
General		
Membrane System Influent	MGD	180
Number of Membrane Basins Total	-	18
Maximum MLSS Concentration	mg/L	3,820
Design Permeate Flux	gfd	17
Number of Cassettes Per Basin (Duty + Standby)	-	26 + 4
Membrane Area per Cassette	ft²	22,360
Membrane Air Scour		
Membrane Air Scour Rate per Cassette	cfm	208
Membrane Air Scour Rate, Total	cfm	97,200
Membrane Air Blowers, (Duty + Standby)	-	7+1
Membrane Air Blowers Capacity, Each	cfm	17,500
Membrane Air Blower Power, Each	HP	800
Filtrate Pumping		
Filtrate Pumps, Total	-	17+1
Filtrate Pump Flow, Each	MGD	14

Filtrate Pump Power, Each	HP	285
MBR Air Compressor System		
Туре	-	Rotary screw
Number of Compressors (Duty + Standby)] +]
Motor Power for Compressor, Each	HP	75
Air Flow, Each	cfm	360
Design Pressure, Each	psi	125

Table 8-4 Ozonation System Design Criteria

Parameter	Unit	Value
General		
Process Influent Flow	mgd	180
Number of Trains		5
Ozone Contactors		
Maximum Applied Dose ^b	mg/L	14
CxT Value	mg-min/L	3.9
Contact Time (T10)	min	6
Contactor Baffling Factor		0.6
Total Contactor Residence Time	min	10
Number of Ozone Contactors	-	5
Volume per Contactor	gal	259,000
Injection System		
Injection Type		Side Stream
Number of Injectors (Duty)		10
Number of Injectors (Standby)		5
Ozone Transfer Efficiency, Minimum	%	95%
Ozone Generators		
Minimum Generator Capacity at 10% (each)	lb/day	2,287
Total Ozone Production	lb/day	22,870
Number of Ozone Generators (Duty)		10
Number of Ozone Generators (Standby)		2
Power Supply Unit per Generator		1
Power per Generator	kWh/day	10,292
Ozone Destruct System		
Number of Destruct Units (Duty)		10
Number of Destruct Units (Standby)		5
LOX System		
LOX Usage (pounds per day)	lb/day	228,697
LOX Usage (standard cubic ft per hour)	scfh	115,111
LOX Usage (gallons per day)	gpd	24,013
Oxygen Supply		LOX System
LOX Tank Orientation		Horizontal
LOX Tank Volume (each)	gal	80,000

Parameter	Unit	Value
Number of LOX Tanks		2
LOX Storage at Peak Flow and Dose	days	5
Minimum Vaporizer Capacity	scfh	115,200
Number of Vaporizers		5

Table 8-5 Biologically Activated Carbon Design Criteria

Parameter	Unit	Value
General		
Process Influent Flow	mgd	180
Number of Trains		5
BAC Filters		
Type of Filter		Gravity
Surface Area, Each Filter	ft²	700
Filter Length, Each	ft	35
Filter Width, Each	ft	20
Media Bed Depth	ft	10
Number of Filters (Duty)		35
Number of Filters (Standby)	-	5
Flow per Filter (Duty)	mgd	5.31
Flow per Filter (Duty + Standby)	mgd	4.65
Filter Loading Rate (Duty)	gpm/ft ²	5.3
Filter Loading Rate (Duty + Standby)	gpm/ft ²	4.6
EBCT (Duty)	min	14.2
EBCT (Duty + Standby)	min	16.2
L/d Ratio		2,345
Feed Pumps (Duty + Standby)		4 + 1
Feed Pump Flow, each	mgd	46.5
Feed Pump Power, each	HP	200
Activated Carbon Media		
Mesh Size		8x16 or 8x20
Effective Size	mm	1.3
Uniformity Coefficient		1.4 to 1.5
lodine Number	mg/g	900
Trace Capacity Number, Min	mg/cm ³	9
Abrasion Number, Min		75
Density, Apparent	g/cm ³	0.56
Specific Gravity, Wetted		1.4
Backwash System		
Backwashes per Week, Each Filter		1
Total Backwash Loss	%	0.5%
Backwash Supply Source		MBR Filtrate

Parameter	Unit	Value
Design Backwash Velocity	gpm/ft ²	23
Design Backwash Flow Rate	gpm	16,100
Backwash Time	min	10
Backwash Volume	gal	161,000
Backwash Pumps (Duty + Standby)		1 + 1
Backwash Pump Flow, each	mgd	23.2
Backwash Pump Power, each	HP	150
Design Air Scour Velocity	cfm/ft ²	4
Design Air Scour Flow Rate	scfm	2,800
Air Scour Blower (Duty + Standby)	-]+]
Air Scour Blower Capacity, each	cfm	2,800
Air Scour Blower Power, each	HP	150

Table 8-6 Microfiltration Membranes Design Criteria

Parameter	Unit	Value
General		
Influent Flow	MGD	186
Filtrate Flow	MGD	177
Membrane System Sizing		
Recovery	%	95%
Number of Sub-Systems		4
Cells per Sub-system		5
Cells in Operation		18
Cells in Standby/Available		2
Cells, Total		20
Membrane Type		PVDF Hollow Fiber
Modules per Cell		1,000
Available Module Space per Cell		1,100
Total Number of Modules		20,000
Membrane Surface Area per Module	ft²	375
Membrane Surface Area per Cell		375,000
Instantaneous Flux (18 cells operating)	gfd	33
Average Flux (18 cells operating)	gfd	26
Net Filtrate Flow, per train	MGD	9.8
Backwash Requirements		
Backwash Frequency	mins	22
Backwashes per Cell per Day		60
Backwash Filtrate Volume per Cell	gal	15,210
Backwash Waste per Day	MG	13.5
Maintenance Wash Requirements		
Chlorine Maintenance Wash Frequency	hrs	24
Acid Maintenance Wash Interval	hrs	72

Appendix B - AWPF Back-up Cost Information

Volume of Chemical Waste per Wash	gal	56,000
Full CIP Interval	days	30.0
Volume of Chemical Waste per CIP	gal	120,000
Total Volume of CIP Waste per Day	gal	80,000

Table 8-7 Reverse Osmosis System Design Criteria

Parameter	Unit	Value
RO Break Tank		
Total Volume	MG	10
Number		1
Length	feet	683
Width	feet	108
Sidewater Depth	feet	19
Freeboard	feet	2
Hydraulic Residence Time	min	81.49
RO Feed Transfer Pump Station		
Flow, Total	MGD	177
RO Feed Pumps, (Duty + Standby)	-	7 (6+1)
RO Feed Pump Flow, Each	MGD	29.5
Power, Each	HP	2,500
Total dynamic head	feet	381
Туре		vertical turbine
Efficiency	%	82%
Drive		variable frequency
Wetted end material		316 SS
Cartridge Filters		
Total flow	mgd	177
Maximum Design Loading Rate (per cartridge)	gpm	20 (5 per 10-inch length)
Average Design Loading Rate (per cartridge)	gpm	16 (5 per 10-inch length)
Maximum Design Capacity (per housing)	gpm / mgd	5,660 / 8.2
Average Design Capacity (per housing)	gpm / mgd	4,528 / 6.5
Number of Housing		29 (28+1)
Cartridge filters per housing		283
Pressure rating	psi	150
Flange size	inches	20
Element filter pore size	microns	5
Element length	inches	40
Element diameter	inches	2.5
Element type		string-wound
RO Feed Booster Pump Station		
Flow, Total	MGD	177
RO Feed Pumps, (Duty + Standby)	-	7 (6+1)

Parameter	Unit	Value
RO Feed Pump Flow, Each	MGD	29.5
Power, Each	HP	1,250
Total dynamic head	feet	196
Туре		vertical turbine
Efficiency	%	82%
Drive		constant speed
Wetted end material		316 SS
General		
Total Feed Flow	MGD	177
Total Permeate Flow	MGD	150
Total Concentrate Flow	MGD	27
System Recovery	%	85%
RO Skids		
Number of Skids (Duty + Standby)		30 + 3
Skid feed capacity	MGD	5.9
Skid permeate capacity	MGD	5.0
Vessels per skid		147
Total Elements per Vessel		7
Membrane Area per Module / Element Area	ft²	400
Element area per skid	ft²	411,600
Vessel configuration		11 high, 14 wide
Spacer	mil	34
Average design flux	gfd	12.1
Pressure Vessel Array, Each Skid (Stage 1 : Stage 2 : Stage 3)	-	84:42:21
Second Stage Booster Pumps		
Flow, Total	MGD	88.5
Pumps, (Duty + Standby)	-	30 + 3
Pump Flow, Each	MGD	3.0
Power, Each	HP	50
Third Stage Booster Pumps		
Flow, Total	MGD	44.3
Pumps, (Duty + Standby)	-	30 + 3
Pump Flow, Each	MGD	1.5
Power, Each	HP	20

Table 8-8 UV/AOP System Design Criteria

Parameter	Unit	Value
General		
Total Flow	MGD	150
Type of UV System		Low Pressure High Output
Minimum UV Transmittance	%	95%
UV Reactor		

Parameter	Unit	Value
Reactor Make		Trojan/Xylem-Wedeco
Reactor Model		UVFlex 200 / K143 12-40 600W
UV Dose	mJ/cm2	>1600 / 1,680
Flow Per Reactor Train	MGD	25 / 15
Number of Reactor Trains		7 (6+1) / 11 (10+1)
Ballasts per Reactor		192 / 240
Lamps per Reactor		384 / 480
Lamp Power	kWh/kgal	1 / 0.6
Reactor Power, Each	kWh/kgal	310
Total Connected Load	kWh/kgal	3,024/3,413
Advanced Oxidation		
Oxidant		NaOCI
Maximum Oxidant Dose	mg/L	5
Minimum Removal of 1,4-dioxane	log	0.5
Minimum Removal of NDMA	log	1.2
Minimum Removal of Cryptosporidium/Giardia/Virus	log	6

Table 8-9 Post-treatment Stabilization Design Criteria

Parameter	Unit	Value
General		
Target Finished Water pH Range	pH units	7.5 to 8.5
Target Finished Water LSI	-	0 to +0.5
Alkalinity	mg/L as CaCO3	>50
Stabilization Process	-	Lime Addition
Lime Stabilization		
Lime Dose	mg/L as Ca(OH) ₂	30 to 50
Lime Clarifiers	-	3
Lime Clarifier Drive Power, each	HP	10
Lime System Solution Water Pumps	-	5 (4+1)
Lime Silos		8
Storage Time	days	14
Total Storage Volume	ton	350
Carbon Dioxide Stabilization		
CO2 dose	mg/L	4 to 5
Carbon Dioxide Storage, Total	ton	90
Number of Tanks		1.0
Storage Time	days	30.0
Carbon Dioxide Storage Tank, Each	ton	90

Table 8-10	Chemical	System	Design	Criteria
------------	----------	--------	--------	----------

Parameter	Unit	Value
Phosphoric Acid		
Injection Location/Purpose		Secondary Effluent (Biomass
Strenath	%	85%
Target Residual	mg-P/L	0.2
Target Dose	mg/L	
Total Storage Volume	gal	12,000.0
Storage Volume, Each Tank	gal	6,000
Number of Tanks	-	2
Carbon Source (Micro C 2000)		
Injection Location/Purpose		MBR Anoxic Tank (Denitrification)
Strength	%	100%
Target Dose - Anoxic Basin	mg/L COD	130.1
Total Storage Volume	gal	360,000.0
Storage Volume, Each Tank	gal	20,000
Number of Tanks		18
Sodium Hypochlorite		
Injection Locations/Purpose		RO feed (for biofouling control), UV-AOP feed (oxidant), Final Effluent (Disinfection)
Strength	%	12.5%
Target Dose	mg/L	1 to 5
Storage Time	days	30
Storage Unit		Tanks
Number of Units (ALL NaOCI)		16
Unit Volume	gal	15,400
Unit Volume	cu ft	2,059
Total Storage Volume	cu ft	33,000
Unit Diameter	ft	14
Unit Height	ft	16
Liquid Ammonium Sulfate		
Injection Location/Purpose		RO feed (for chloramine formation / biofouling control)
Strength	%	40%
	rng/L	110.8
Storage Unit	aays	JU
Storage Unit		
		4
	gai	13,500
Unit Volume	CU II	1,805
	II	14
Unit Height	tt	14

Parameter	Unit	Value
Sulfuric Acid		
Injection Location/Purpose		RO feed (for scaling control)
Strength	%	93%
Target Dose	mg/L	7 to 70
Storage Time	days	10
Storage Unit		Tanks
Number of Units		3
Unit Volume	gal	10,600
Unit Volume	cu ft	1,417
Unit Diameter	ft	14
Unit Height	ft	11
Antiscalant		
Injection Location/Purpose		RO feed (for scaling control)
Strength	%	100%
Target Dose	mg/L	2 to 4
Storage Time		30
Storage Unit		Tanks
Number of Units		1
Unit Volume	gal	13,500
Unit Volume	cu ft	1,805
Unit Diameter	ft	14
Unit Height	ft	14
Sodium Hypochlorite		
Strength	%	12.5%
Dose, Maintenance Clean	gal/tank/clean	50
MBR CIP		
Injection Location/Purpose		MBR Backwash (Membrane Cleaning)
Frequency, Maintenance Clean	frequency/tank	2 times per week
Dose, Recovery Clean	gal/tank/clean	972
Frequency, Recovery Clean	frequency/tank	2 times per year
Storage Time	days	47/10
MF CIP		
Injection Location/Purpose		MF Backwash (Membrane Cleaning)
Dose, Recovery Clean	gal/tank/clean	972
Frequency, Recovery Clean	frequency/tank	1 time per month
Storage Time	days	30
Total Storage		
Storage Unit		Tanks
Number of Units		5, FRP
Unit Volume	gal	6,000
Total Storage Volume	gal	30,000
Citric Acid		

Parameter	Unit	Value
Strength	%	50%
MBR CIP		
Injection Location/Purpose		MBR Backwash (Membrane Cleaning)
Dose, Maintenance Clean	gal/tank/clean	97
Frequency, Maintenance Clean	frequency/tank	1 time per week
Dose, Recovery Clean	gal/tank/clean	377
Frequency, Recovery Clean	frequency/tank	2 times per year
Storage Time	days	48/20
MF CIP		
Injection Location/Purpose	<u>_</u>	MF Backwash (Membrane Cleaning)
Dose, Recovery Clean	gal/tank/clean	377
Frequency, Recovery Clean	frequency/tank	1 time per month
Storage Time	days	30
Total Storage		
Storage Unit		Tanks
Number of Units		4
Unit Volume	gal	4,700
Total Storage Volume	gal	18,800
Citric Acid		
Injection Location/Purpose		RO feed (for CIP)
Strength	%	50%
Target Dose	%	0.1%
Storage Criteria		1 CIP event
Storage Unit		Silo
Number of Units		6
Unit Volume	gal	8,600
Sodium Hydroxide		
Injection Location/Purpose		RO feed (for CIP and neutralization)
Strength	%	25%
Target Dose	%	0.2%
Storage Criteria		1 CIP event
Storage Unit		Tanks
Number of Units		1
Unit Volume	gal	7,700
Unit Volume	cu ft	1,029
Unit Diameter	ft	14.0
Unit Height	ft	8.0
Sodium Tripolyphosphate		
Injection Locations		RO feed (for CIP)
Strength	%	85%
Target Dose	%	1.0%

Parameter	Unit	Value
Storage Criteria		1 CIP event
Storage Unit		Silo
Number of Units		4
Unit Volume	gal	6,100
Unit Volume	cu ft	816
Unit Diameter	ft	12.0
Unit Height	ft	8.6
Sodium Dodecilsulphonate		
Injection Locations	-	RO feed (for CIP)
Strength	%	80%
Target Dose	%	0.5%
Storage Criteria		1 CIP event
Storage Unit	-	Silo
Number of Units		4
Unit Volume	gal	3,600
Unit Volume	cu ft	481
Unit Diameter	ft	10.0
Unit Height	ft	5.0

Table 8-11 Odor Control System Design Criteria

Parameter	Unit	Value
General		
Service		Primary Effluent Pump Station, Fine Screen Facility, Bioreactors
Carbon Adsorbers		
Туре		Dual-Bed Carbon
Capacity, each	cfm	40,000
Quantity		3 (2+1)
Fans		
Туре		FRP Centrifugal
Capacity, each		40,000
Quantity		3 (2+1)

Table 8-12 Sidestream Centrate Treatment System Design Criteria

Parameter	Unit	Value
Number of Basins		4
Basin Sidewater Depth	ft	21
Basin Dimensions	ft x ft	86 x 73
Total Volume per Basin	MG	0.98
Total Volume	MG	3.9
Design SARR	NH ₃ -N/m ² /d	2.1
Design Fill	%	50%

Appendix B - Page 49

Appendix B CONSTRUCTION COST SUMMARY

The escalated OPC from Task Order 20 (herein referred to as Stantec's estimate) is approximately \$51.1M less than the Train 1C OPC in the JTAP report (herein referred to as Jacobs' estimate). Jacobs' estimate included additional items such as odor control, larger filtrate flow equalization basin, and MBR building instead of a canopy, a higher assumption for building unit costs, a different approach to yard piping costs, and other key differences that are explained in the following sections. Another reason for the cost difference is in the differing underlying assumptions for a certain process area. Stantec compared the line items in each OPC to identify the reason for cost differences. The major areas identified with significant capital cost differences were the biological treatment equipment and facilities, reverse osmosis equipment and facilities, and the buildings on site. The cost estimates were examined to understand the differences and to provide Metropolitan an updated OPC for budgeting purposes. The following principles were employed in the adjustment of costs when comparing the two OPCs:

- For line items with a difference of less than 10% or less than \$1 million, the higher cost was selected to be conservative. For the others, a revised cost was developed with justification provided.
- If a greater level of detail or precision could be determined based on the information used for one of the estimates compared to the other, that estimate was used

Revised cost estimates and associated justifications are discussed in the following sections.

B.1 SITE IMPROVEMENTS

For the general site development costs, Jacobs used 3% of the construction cost for sitework and 1% of the construction cost for demolition. Stantec's estimate used QTOs from the full-scale AWT facility BIM model. Since the general site development cost from Stantec's estimate was developed with greater detail in the BIM model, it is expected to be more precise than a blanket percentage (4%) cost applied to the total construction cost and therefore, Stantec's estimate was used in the updated cost. Stantec included costs for the Joint Site improvements, but this was not included in Jacobs' scope. The Stantec cost consisted of relocation of 10" gas line, 72" sewer line, 10'x12' storm drain culvert, and other utilities. It is not included in this analysis and assumed to be outside of the program scope. A summary of the costs, differences, and updated cost is shown in **Table 8-13**.

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
General Site Development	\$14,840,000	\$18,010,000	\$3,170,000	21%	\$14,840,000
Improvements at the Joint Site	\$10,510,000	n/a-	n/a	n/a	n/a

 Table 8-13: Site Improvements Capital Cost Comparison

Subtotal for	¢05 240 000	¢04.010.000	(\$1.240.000)	E 07	¢14940000
Site Improvements	φ 2 5,340,000	ֆZ4,010,000	(\$1,340,000)	-3%	\$14,040,000

B.2 DRUM SCREEN & INFLUENT PUMP STATION

The overall OPCs for screening and the influent pump station were similar between the two estimates. Jacobs' estimate used a horizontal, rotating center-fed drum screen with 2-mm opening while Stantec's estimate used a perforated in-channel rotary drum screen. The influent pump station in Jacobs' estimate applied a 1.3 peak flow factor resulting in larger pumps and a higher cost. The updated cost (**Table 8-14**) uses Jacobs' estimate because it accounted for the drum screen and larger influent pump station.

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
Drum Screen and Influent Pump Station	\$15,940,000	\$18,750,000	\$2,810,000	18%	\$18,750,000
Subtotal for Drum Screen and Influent Pump Station	\$15,940,000	\$18,750,000	\$2,810,000	18%	\$18,750,000

B.3 BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT

Stantec's estimate for the MBR included the MBR membrane tanks, blower structure, and carbon addition facilities. Jacobs' estimate included enclosing the MBR equipment within a building, while Stantec assumed the equipment is housed under a canopy. An odor control system (concrete covers and carbon vessels) was included in Jacobs' estimate. Due to the updated quotes Jacobs received from vendors as well as the inclusion of a building and odor control, the updated cost (**Table 8-15**) uses the costs from Jacobs' estimate. The cost estimates from Stantec and Jacobs for the carbon addition facility were very close in terms of cost and associated assumptions. Stantec's estimate used 14 tanks with 18,000-gallon volume each, while Jacobs' estimate used 12 tanks with 20,000-gallon volume. Since the difference in cost was less than \$1 million, the greater cost was used in the updated cost.

Table 8-15: Biological Treatment Capital Cost Comparison

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference	Updated Cost
				(/•)	
Aeration, Anoxic, and Membrane Tanks for MBR & Blowers Structure	\$164,820,000	\$218,970,000	\$54,160,000	33%	\$218,970,000
MBR Equipment Building	n/a	\$35,950,000	n/a	n/a	\$35,950,000
Odor Control for Bioreactors	n/a	\$4,690,000	n/a	n/a	\$4,690,000

MicroC 2000 Storage & Dosing ¹	\$2,700,000	\$3,640,000	\$940,000	35%	\$3,640,000
Subtotal for Biological Treatment	\$167,510,000	\$263,260,000	\$95,750,000	57%	\$263,260,000

B.4 REVERSE OSMOSIS

The RO process area includes the RO feed tank, RO cartridge filters, RO facility, and RO flush tank. This process train utilizes single-pass RO. Stantec's estimate included a building for RO, while Jacobs' used a canopy. Jacobs' estimate also includes a filtrate equalization tank (10 MG), RO pretreatment and cleaning chemicals, and applies a safety factor on the high-pressure pump size. Jacobs' estimate also used fewer number of larger pieces of equipment, reflecting updated vendor configurations. Due to the updated RO equipment sizes and more conservative equalization tank volume used in Jacobs' estimates, the updated cost (**Table 8-16**) used Jacobs' estimates.

				•	
	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
RO Feed Tank	\$4,780,000	\$13,030,000	\$8,250,000	173%	\$13,030,000
RO Cartridge Filters	\$17,370,000	\$12,050,000	(\$5,330,000)	-31%	\$12,050,000
RO Facility	\$136,470,000	\$165,580,000	\$29,110,000	21%	\$165,580,000
RO Flush Tank	\$4,090,000	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

\$190,660,000

\$27,940,000

17%

\$190,660,000

Table 8-16: Reverse Osmosis Capital Cost Comparison

B.5 ULTRAVIOLET ADVANCED OXIDATION PROCESS

\$162,720,000

Stantec and Jacobs estimates were within 10% of each other. The vendor equipment utilized was similar and includes a pre-engineered building. The higher estimate was used in the updated cost (**Table 8-17**) to be conservative.

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
UV AOP Facility	\$29,120,000	\$30,870,000	\$1,750,000	6%	\$30,870,000
Subtotal for UV AOP Facility	\$29,120,000	\$30,870,000	\$1,750,000	6%	\$30,870,000

B.6 CHEMICALS

Subtotal for RO

Jacobs' estimate uses a canopy in the chemical storage line instead of a full chemical facility. In terms of chemical storage, Jacobs used lower chemical dosages but longer storage durations;

the end result was a lower estimate than Stantec's. Jacobs' chemical storage & dosing cost was used because it was based on updated modeling. Since Jacobs' chemical storage & dosing cost was used, the chemical facility was not included (**Table 8-18**).

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
Chemical Facility	\$3,890,000	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chemical Storage & Dosing	\$10,510,000	\$7,430,000	(\$3,080,000)	-29%	\$7,430,000
Subtotal for Chemicals	\$17,100,000	\$7,4300,000	(\$6,969,000)	-48%	\$7,430,000

Table 8-18: Carbon Addition and Chemicals Cost Comparison

B.7 LIME SYSTEM

The lime system includes lime storage, pumping, and clarifiers. One key difference in assumptions between Stantec's and Jacobs' estimates was the storage volume provided for chemicals and lime. Volumes were based on dosages and the duration between chemical deliveries. For the lime system, Stantec's estimate used a 7-day storage while Jacobs' estimate used a 14-day storage; both estimates use the same lime dose. Stantec used three transfer pumps while Jacobs used five transfer pumps. The updated cost (**Table 8-19**) was based on 14-day storage and five transfer pumps, coupled with the higher lime system clarifier cost.

Table 8-19: Lime System Capital Cost Comparison

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
Lime System	\$4,760,000	\$12,020,000	\$7,260,000	153%	\$12,020,000
Lime System Clarifiers	\$3,370,000	\$1,680,000	(\$1,700,000)	-50%	\$3,370,000
Subtotal for Lime System	\$8,130,000	\$13,690,000	\$5,560,000	68%	\$15,390,000

B.8 SIDESTREAM CENTRATE TREATMENT

Sidestream centrate treatment cost estimates appeared to be based on the same vendor for Annamox treatment with other applicable equipment and facilities included. Stantec's estimate applied an escalation factor. Hazen's estimate is based on more recent vendor information and is therefore used in the updated cost (**Table 8-20**).

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate ¹	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
Sidestream Annamox	\$90,320,000	\$68,800,000	(\$21,520,000)	-24%	\$68,800,000
Subtotal for Sidestream Annamox	\$90,320,000	\$68,800,000	(\$21,520,000)	-24%	\$68,800,000

Table 8-20: Sidestream Centrate Capital Cost Comparison

¹This cost was developed by Hazen

B.9 BUILDINGS

The buildings anticipated at the future facility are subject to significant additional refinement. Both estimates utilized similar building footprints, but the probable unit costs assumed significant differences in building types. Stantec's estimate applied a unit cost for a basic warehouse type building, whereas Jacobs' estimate applied a unit cost for a building with substantial architectural features. Jacobs' estimate did not include an electrical building. The design assumptions for the buildings are shown in **Table 8-21**.

	Stantec's Estimate		Jacobs' l	Estimate	Updated Estimate	
	Footprint (sq ft)	Unit Cost	Footprint (sq ft)	Unit Cost	Footprint (sq ft)	Unit Cost
Maintenance Building	225 x 85	\$93/sf	230 x 88	\$1,005/sf	230 x 88	\$400/sf
Electrical Building	233 x 72	\$115/sf	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Administrative Building	225 x 85	\$373/sf	200 x 75	\$1,017/sf	225 x 85	\$1,000/sf

Table 8-21: Footprint and Cost Assumptions for the Buildings

A summary of the OPCs for each building is provided in **Table 8-22**. To reconcile the building costs, the larger footprint for each is used along with unit costs of \$400/sf for the maintenance building (higher than a basic warehouse) and \$1,000/sf for the administrative building to account for a laboratory and other features. The electrical building cost is not included since Jacobs' costs for process areas are used and those included electrical buildings per information provided by Jacobs.

Table 8-22: Buildings Capital Cost Comparison

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
Maintenance Building	\$1,970,000	\$20,340,000	\$18,380,000	935%	\$8,100,000
Electrical Building	\$1,940,000	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Administrative Building	\$7,730,000	\$15,260,000	\$7,530,000	97%	\$19,130,000
Subtotal for Buildings	\$11,640,000	\$39,800,000	\$28,160,000	242%	\$33,930,000

B.10 ELECTRICAL AND I&C

The electrical and I&C items included the onsite electrical substation, the electrical substation for SCE, emergency generators, slabs for a generator building, and overall electrical and I&C costs. The difference in the site electrical substation and the electrical substation for SCE was the escalation factor. Jacobs' estimate for substations was the same as Stantec's 2018 estimate

whereas Stantec's estimate included escalation to 2021 dollars. Stantec's estimate for emergency generators was based on five generators, each costing \$250,000. Jacobs' estimate was not specific to generator sizing, it used a factor applied to a demand with a conservative factor of safety. Stantec's estimate was used in the updated cost due to greater degree of precision. The significant difference between Stantec's and Jacobs estimated "Electrical & I&C" line item resulted from a difference in approach to cost allocation. Stantec's Electrical and I&C line item included all anticipated electrical & I&C costs at the AWPF. Jacobs' estimate incorporated these electrical & I&C costs for each process into the process area line items not the Electrical and I&C line item. Since Jacobs' estimates were used in the process area updated costs (**Table 8-23**), they were also used for the Electrical & I&C line item to avoid double counting of those costs. The slabs for the generator building were included in the updated cost.

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
Electrical Substation	\$2,960,000	\$2,460,000	(\$500,000)	-17%	\$2,960,000
Electrical Substation for SCE	\$26,570,000	\$22,360,000	(\$4,210,000)	-16%	\$26,570,000
Emergency Generator	\$1,760,000	\$10,940,000	\$9,180,000	521%	\$10,940,000
Generator Building (slabs only)	\$200,000	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$200,000
Electrical & I&C	\$104,940,000	\$34,110,000	(\$70,820,000)	-67%	\$34,110,000
Subtotal for Electrical & I&C	\$136,420,000	\$69,870,000	(\$66,550,000)	-49%	\$74,780,000

Table 8-23: Electrical and I&C Costs Capital Cost Comparison

B.11 YARD PIPING

Stantec's and Jacobs' approach to estimating the yard piping costs were substantially different. Stantec's BIM model included the yard piping and QTOs were used to develop the OPC. Jacobs applied a blanket percentage at 10% of the construction cost to calculate the yard piping costs. This cost includes drainage and is a parametric estimate based on a recent facility designed by Jacobs. The updated cost (**Table 8-24**) uses Stantec's estimate with an update on the mechanical installation crew (increase from 31 to 400 days). At \$4,500/day with the escalation factor applied, the increase is approximately \$2.2 million.

Table 8-24: Yard Piping Capital Cost Comparison

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
Yard Piping	\$7,300,000	\$60,020,000	\$52,720,000	722%	\$9,500,000
Subtotal for Yard Piping	\$7,300,000	\$60,020,000	\$52,720,000	722%	\$9,500,000

B.12 ESTIMATING ALLOWANCES

Stantec's estimate included an allowance for startup, commissioning, and owner training as well as for estimating accuracy and unlisted items. This line item is not a contingency; it covers known items that may not be estimated accurately and small items that may be left out and therefore, this allowance is included in the updated cost (**Table 8-25**). Examples of unlisted items include details, finishes, and amenities.

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
Startup/Commissioning/Owner Training	\$460,000	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$460,000
Estimating Accuracy, Unlisted Items Allowance	\$66,790,000	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$66,790,000
Subtotal for Estimating Allowances	\$67,250,000	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$67,250,000

Table 8-25: Estimating Allowances Comparison

Appendix C O&M COST SUMMARY

The escalated and updated annual operation and maintenance (O&M) OPC from the 2018 studies by Stantec is approximately \$22M per year more than the Train 1C O&M OPC in the JTAP report. This amounts to an approximate difference of ~16% as compared to the total annual estimated O&M cost of \$136M per year, excluding costs for existing JWPCP processes. Stantec compared the line items in each OPC to identify and assess significant cost differences. The major areas identified with substantive O&M cost differences are the influent and biological treatment equipment, biological process chemicals, chloramine addition, treated water chlorination, buildings on site, and labor. Additionally, labor was reevaluated and updated based on planning discussions with Metropolitan. The following principles were used when deciding which cost between the two OPCs should be recommended:

- For line items with a difference less than 10% or less than \$1 million annual O&M cost, the higher cost was selected to be conservative.
- For line items with a difference greater than 10% or more than \$1 million annual O&M cost, a revised recommended cost was developed with an explanation.
- If a greater level of detail or precision could be determined based on the information used for one of the estimates compared to the other, that estimate was used

Cost differences and reconciliation are discussed in the sections that follow.

C.1 INFLUENT AND MBR

Stantec's estimate for influent and MBR equipment consisted of costs for power, maintenance, and replacement of consumables (membranes). The power cost was based on equipment capacity instead of an operational average for the blowers and pumps and therefore is more conservative. The Jacobs' estimate for influent and MBR equipment did not detail quantities between power, maintenance, and replacement of consumables but likely was lower for power costs, given similar basis for maintenance and consumables. Jacobs' estimate was used for the updated cost for the influent and MBR equipment since Stantec's estimate overestimated power consumption.

Biological process chemical costs included carbon addition for Stantec's whereas carbon and phosphoric acid addition for Jacobs'. Stantec's estimate was used for carbon as it was based on a higher dose (more conservative). The cost of phosphorus acid was based upon the demand experienced at the APC testing program.

The O&M costs for sidestream centrate treatment differed by 36% but the difference was less than \$1M/year. The costs in Jacobs' estimate were prepared by Hazen and were recommended since they were more recent and was prepared after research done by LACSD on sidestream centrate treatment.

The following additional costs were included in Jacobs' estimate:

- Odor control: odor control is required at the future facility and is included in the updated cost.
- Equalization: This is a minor cost based on the maintenance of valves and gates associated with the equalization tank in Jacobs' process design for this train; this cost was included in the updated cost.

A summary of costs, differences, and updated cost is shown in Table 8-26.

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
Influent and MBR Facilities	\$20,150,000	\$14,380,000	(\$5,770,000)	-29%	\$14,380,000
Biological Process Chemicals	\$31,780,000	\$28,650,000	(\$3,130,000)	-10%	\$32,580,000
Sidestream Centrate	\$1,870,000	\$2,540,000	\$670,000	36%	\$2,540,000
Odor Control	n/a	\$330,000	\$330,000	n/a	\$330,000
Equalization	n/a	\$8,000	\$8,000	n/a	\$8,000
Subtotal for Influent & MBR	\$53,790,000	\$45,910,000	(\$7,880,000)	-15%	\$49,840,000

Table 8-26: Influent and MBR O&M Cost Summary

C.2 REVERSE OSMOSIS

Stantec's estimate for chloramine addition was based on a conservative chloramine dose of approximately 4 mg/L as chlorine. Jacobs' estimate was slightly lower and was recommended since testing at the APC has typically required a lower dose than in Stantec's estimate. The RO equipment costs including power, chemicals (antiscalant and sulfuric acid), maintenance, and replacement parts were similar and within \$1 million total cost difference. Therefore, Stantec's cost was used in the updated cost (**Table 8-27**).

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
Chloramine Addition	\$6,240,000	\$4,460,000	(\$1,780,000)	-29%	\$4,460,000
RO System	\$39,350,000	\$38,390,000	(\$960,000)	-2%	\$39,350,000
Subtotal for RO	\$45,590,000	\$42,850,000	(\$2,740,000)	-6%	\$43,810,000

	Table	8-27:	RO	O&M	Cost	Summary
--	-------	-------	----	-----	------	---------

C.3 ULTRAVIOLET ADVANCED OXIDATION PROCESS

Stantec's and Jacobs' estimates were based on similar assumptions for equipment, chemical dose and unit cost, and replacement of consumables. Jacobs' used the latest reactor types and considered multiple products (Wedeco K, Trojan Flex) that have fewer lamps and other components, while Stantec used the Wedeco K reactor since the Trojan Flex was yet not available at the time. The costs were within 10% and \$1 million total difference. Stantec's cost was used as the updated cost (**Table 8-28**) as it was slightly more conservative.

Table 8-28: UV AOP O&M Cost Summary

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
UV AOP System	\$6,260,000	\$5,770,000	(\$490,000)	-8%	\$6,260,000
Subtotal for UVAOP	\$6,260,000	\$5,770,000	(\$490,000)	-8%	\$6,260,000

C.4 STABILIZATION

Stantec's and Jacobs' estimates were based on similar assumptions for equipment, chemical dose and unit cost for lime and carbon dioxide addition for water quality stabilization. The costs were within \$1 million of each other. Stantec's cost was used as the updated cost to be conservative. An additional cost was included by Jacobs' and added to the updated cost (**Table 8-29**), for hauling of residual sludge from lime clarifiers, a cost not included in the Stantec estimate.

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
Stabilization	\$6,160,000	\$5,450,000	(\$710,000)	-12%	\$6,160,000
AWT residuals handling	n/a	\$34,000	\$34,000	n/a	\$34,000
Subtotal for Stabilization	\$6,160,000	\$5,480,000	(\$680,000)	-11%	\$6,200,000

C.5 EFFLUENT CHLORINATION

Stantec's estimate in 2016 included chemical costs for additional chlorination downstream of UV AOP. Stantec's estimate in 2018 and Jacobs' estimates did not include effluent chlorination. There will be some free chlorine and chloramine residual downstream of UV AOP since it uses chlorine as an oxidant. However, it is conservative to assume some additional chlorine dosing or formation of chloramines prior to product water conveyance. To be conservative, it is recommended effluent chlorination be included in the updated cost (**Table 8-30**) and that any residual chlorine from the UV AOP process is assumed to be zero.

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
Effluent Chlorination	\$3,260,000	n/a	(\$3,260,000)	n/a	\$3,120,000
Subtotal for Effluent Chlorination	\$3,260,000	n/a	(\$3,260,000)	n/a	\$3,120,000

Table 8-30: Effluent Chlorination O&M Cost Summary

C.6 BALANCE OF CHEMICALS, BUILDINGS, ELECTRICAL

The balance of AWT plant O&M costs includes the following components and corresponding recommendations:

- Chemical systems power & maintenance: Stantec's estimate included a separate chemical pump power cost and an equipment maintenance cost. Jacobs' estimate included this within each process line item. Stantec's cost is included in the updated cost since many of Stantec's process line-item costs are used.
- Administration and maintenance buildings: Stantec's estimate was based on HVAC power costs on similar AWT process building design estimates. Jacobs' estimate used a percentage applied to the building costs. Stantec's estimate was included in the updated cost since it is based on similar facilities.
- **Electrical maintenance**: Stantec's estimate includes only general electrical maintenance. Jacobs' estimate was based on an emergency generator. Jacobs' estimate was included for the updated cost to account for emergency generator cost and because it is more conservative.

A summary of costs, differences, and updated cost is shown in Table 8-31.

Table 8-31: Balance of Chemicals, Buildings, Electrical O&M Cost Summary

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
Chemical Systems Power & Maintenance	\$240,000	n/a	(\$240,000)	n/a	\$240,000

Administration and Maintenance Buildings	\$2,470,000	\$93,000	(\$2,380,000)	-96%	\$2,470,000
Electrical Maintenance	\$50,000	\$440,000	\$400,000	869%	\$440,000
Subtotal for Balance of Chemicals, Buildings, Electrical	\$2,750,000	\$540,000	(\$2,210,000)	-80%	\$3,150,000

C.7 MAJOR EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT COST

Major equipment replacement cost was not included in either estimates for equipment such as influent screens, blowers, and pumps. To account for the eventual replacement of this equipment over time, an average annual cost of 5% of equipment was included in the updated cost (**Table 8-32**) estimate; this assumes that equipment will be replaced every 20 years on an average. This cost excludes major process equipment replacements, such MBR and RO equipment.

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
Major Equipment Replacement	Not included	Not included	n/a	n/a	\$4,860,000
Subtotal Major Equipment Replacement	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$4,860,000

Table 8-32: Major Equipment Replacement O&M Cost Summary

C.8 LABOR

Stantec's estimate for labor was based on costs for the AWT only (i.e. excluded costs for JWPCP labor) and 52 full-time equivalents (FTEs), \$150 per hour, and 2,080 hours per FTE per year, with 15% contingency. Jacobs' estimate was based on staffing estimate of 52 FTEs, \$150 per hour and 1,800 hours per FTE per year without a contingency. An updated estimate for the updated cost was developed using the information from Orange County Water District's (OCWD's) Groundwater Replenishment System (GWRS) with additional factors considered to be more accurate. OCWD's GWRS staff (64 FTEs) was scaled to 79 FTEs to account for additional plant size and complexity for PWSC (100 mgd for GWRS vs 150 mgd for PWSC), plus an additional 40 FTEs for laboratory staffing, assuming \$150 per hour, 2,080 hours per FTE per year without contingency. This results in a total of 119 FTEs for 150 mgd IPR facility. A summary of costs, differences, and updated cost is shown in **Table 8-33**.

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
Labor	\$18,660,000	\$14,040,000	(\$4,620,000)	-25%	\$37,128,000
Subtotal Labor	\$18,660,000	\$14,040,000	(\$4,620,000)	-25%	\$37,128,000

Table 8-33: Labor O&M Cost Summary
C.9 JWPCP SECONDARY TREATMENT AND BIOSOLIDS PROCESSING

The cost of O&M associated with JWPCP secondary treatment and biosolids was included in both Stantec's and Jacobs' estimates to account for differences between AWT process trains that impact the treatment at JWPCP. For the updated estimate, the JWPCP costs were revised to reflect only the differences between the tMBR train and current JWPCP operations. The components and recommendations are as follows:

- High purity oxygen activated sludge (HPOAS) treatment: Stantec's estimate in 2018 was based on approximate percentages of total treatment cost for secondary treatment at JWPCP. Jacobs' estimate was based on greater detail and more recent cost data. For the updated estimate, neither is included since current HPAOS treatment is not impacted by the tMBR AWT train. If sMBR was to be implemented instead, a portion of the HPOAS flow will be treated with sMBR and therefore, a portion of the current HPOAS O&M cost should be credited.
- Biosolids disposal: Stantec's estimate in 2018 was based on approximate percentages of total treatment cost for secondary treatment at JWPCP and was escalated to 2021 dollars. Jacobs' estimate was based on more recent cost data although both estimates are similar. Both estimates are shown in Table 8-34 as tMBR biosolids only (excludes biosolids from HPOAS). Jacobs' estimate for the increase in biosolids disposal from the tMBR process is included in the updated cost.
- Dissolved air flotation treatment (DAFT) and dewatering energy costs: Stantec's estimate in 2018 was based on approximate percentages of total treatment cost for secondary treatment at JWPCP. Jacobs' estimate was based on greater detail and more recent cost data. For the updated estimate, neither is included since current treatment is not substantially impacted by the tMBR.
- **Biogas credit**: Jacobs' estimate included a biogas energy credit based on biosolids production and cogeneration of methane produced from anaerobic digesters. The basis for this credit includes biosolids production from existing HPOAS processes to compare with other trains such as sMBR. For the updated estimate, Jacobs' estimate was used for the biogas credit for solids from tMBR process only.

A summary of costs, differences, and updated cost is shown in Table 8-34.

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
HPOAS Treatment	\$17,110,000	\$11,680,000	(\$5,430,000)	-32%	\$O
Biosolids Disposal (†MBR solids only)	\$790,000	\$1,000,000	\$200,000	25%	\$1,000,000
DAFT Energy Cost	n/a	\$240,000	\$240,000	n/a	\$O

	Stantec's Estimate	Jacobs' Estimate	Difference (\$)	Difference (%)	Updated Cost
Dewatering Energy Cost	n/a	\$350,000	\$350,000	n/a	\$O
Subtotal for JWPCP	\$17,900,000	\$13,270,000	(\$4,840,000)	-27%	\$1,000,000
Biogas credit	n/a	\$8,440,000	n/a	n/a	\$1,240,000
Subtotal for JWPCP with biogas credit	n/a	\$4,820,000	n/a	n/a	(\$250,000)

Appendix B - Page 64



Opinion of Probable Construction Cost for the PWSC's Phase 1 Advanced Water Treatment Facilities

November 30, 2023

Prepared for:

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Prepared by:

Stantec



OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST FOR THE PWSC'S PHASE 1 ADVANCED WATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

Revision	Description	Autho	r	Quality	v Check	Independent Review		
0	11/30/2023	J. Loucks		Z. Hirani	J. Borchardt	D. Bassett		

			PWSC F	Phase 1 AWT				
Estimated Construction Cost	nated Construction Cost		Fa	cilities	Notes			
AREA	UNIT COST	UNIT	OUANTITY (COST				
1 Other Facilities			LO					
Required Ancillary Facilities								
Storage Warehouse								
Building Slab	\$ 75	50 \$/cubic vard	725	\$ 543.750				
Building Walls	\$ 1.25	50 \$/cubic vard	303	\$ 378.750				
Building Roof Slab	\$ 1.75	50 \$/cubic vard	223	\$ 390.250				
CSI 1-15 Less Div 3	\$ 25	50 \$/square foot	23,999	\$ 5,999,750				
Fueling Facilities	\$ 1,500,00	00 lump sum	1	\$ 1,500,000				
Electrical Buildings		·						
Electrical Building 1	\$ 600.0	00 \$/square foot	21,609	\$ 12,965,400				
Electrical Building 2	\$ 600.0	00 \$/square foot	10,976	\$ 6,585,600				
Electrical Building 3	\$ 600.0	00 \$/square foot	12,025	\$ 7,215,000				
Electrical Building 4	\$ 600.0	00 \$/square foot	8,400	\$ 5,040,000				
Clearwell, Pump Station & Electrical Building Concrete								
Slab	\$ 75	60 \$/cubic yard	6,826	\$ 5,119,500				
Columns & Beams	\$ 1,75	0 \$/cubic yard	1,592	\$ 2,786,000				
Walls	\$ 1,25	0 \$/cubic yard	1,934	\$ 2,417,500				
Elevated Slab	\$ 1,75	60 \$/cubic yard	2,812	\$ 4,921,000				
CSI 1-15 Less Div 3	\$ 20	00 \$/square foot	55,000	\$ 11,000,000				
Finished Water Surge Tanks	\$ 75,00	00 \$/tank	5	\$ 375,000	6,000 cf each, horizontal tanks at 12 ft diameter			
Mechanical/Process for Surge Tanks	50	0% % of Subtotal Cost	1	\$ 187,500				
Generator Area	\$ 35	60 \$/square foot	27,004	\$ 9,451,400				
Generators	\$ 1,000,00	00 \$/generator	7	\$ 7,000,000				
Slab	\$ 75	60 \$/cubic yard	1,709	\$ 1,281,750				
CSI 1-15 Less Div 3	\$ 25	60 \$/square foot	30,400	\$ 7,600,000				
Battery Storage Area	\$ 50	00 \$/square foot	3,830	\$ 1,915,000				
Battery Packs	\$ 1,000,00	00 \$/MW	4	\$ 4,000,000				
Maintenance Building								
Building Slab	\$ 75	50 \$/cubic yard	500	\$ 375,000				
Building Walls	\$ 1,25	50 \$/cubic yard	775	\$ 968,750				
Building Roof Slab	\$ 1,75	50 \$/cubic yard	499	\$ 873,250				
CSI 1-15 Less Div 3	\$ 35	50 \$/square foot	23,999	\$ 8,399,650				
Workforce Training Center								
Building Slab	\$ 75	50 \$/cubic yard	889	\$ 666,750				
Building Walls	\$ 1,25	50 \$/cubic yard	542	\$ 677,500				
Building Roof Slab	\$ 1,75	50 \$/cubic yard	889	\$ 1,555,750				
CSI 1-15 Less Div 3	\$ 50	00 \$/square foot	26,000	\$ 13,000,000				
Additional Ancillary Facilities								
Administration/Operations/Laboratory/Classrooms Building	\$ 1,00	00 \$/square foot	31,360	\$ 31,360,000				
Amphitheater/Innovation Center	. ,		,	. , ,	Full public outreach building/theater-like structure. Indoor 2/3 floors. includes			
Amphitheater/Innovation Center Building	\$ 1.20	0 Ś/square foot	15.200	\$ 18.240.000	demonstration gardens and tour galleries			
Amphitheater/Innovation Center Outdoor	\$ 75	50 \$/square foot	15,200	\$ 11,400,000				
Parking Structures (P1, P2, P3)	\$ 15	50 \$/square foot	106,700	\$ 16,005,000				
Solar Panels	\$ 2	LO \$/sf	479,105	\$ 4,791,050	To be added on top of available roof area			
Subtotal				\$ 206,985,850				
2 Chemical Systems								
Chemical Dosing and Storage Slab	\$ 75	50 \$/cubic yard	809	\$ 606,750				
Chemical Dosing and Storage Walls	\$ 1,25	50 \$/cubic yard	729	\$ 911,250				
Chemical Dosing and Storage Roof	\$ 1,50	00 \$/cubic yard	83	\$ 124,500				

AREA	UNI	T COST	UNIT	QUANTITY	COST		
Ammonium Sulfate							
Chemical Tanks		\$100,000	\$/15,000-gallon tank	2	\$	200,000	RO feedwater pretreatment; chloramine formation
Dosing System		\$500,000	\$/system	1	\$	500,000	
Sodium Hydroxide							
, Chemical Tanks		\$100,000	\$/15,000-gallon tank	9	\$	900,000	RO membrane cleaning
Dosing System		\$500.000	\$/system	1	Ś	500.000	
Citric Acid		+	+, -,	_	+	,	
Chemical Tanks		\$100.000	\$/15.000-gallon tank	1	Ś	100.000	MBR and RO membrane cleaning
Dosing System		\$500,000	\$/system	-	Ś	500,000	
Sulfuric Acid		<i>2000,000</i>	<i>y</i> , system	-	Ŷ	500,000	
Chemical Tanks		\$100.000	\$/15 000-gallon tank	3	Ś	300 000	RO feedwater pretreatment
Dosing System		\$500,000	\$/system	3	ć	500,000	no recumuler pretreatment
Antiscalant		<i>Ş</i> 500,000	<i>y</i> /system	1	Ŷ	500,000	
Chemical Tanks		\$100.000	\$/15 000-gallon tank	1	¢	100 000	RO feedwater pretreatment
Dosing System		\$500,000	\$/system	1	¢	500,000	no recuwater pretreatment
Carbon Diavida Suctom		Ş300,000	System	1	ې د	500,000	
Carbon Dioxide System	ć	1 750	c (subia yard	4 5 00	ې د	7,975,000	
	Ş	1,750	s/cubic yaru	4,500	Ş	7,875,000	
Lime Process Area			Lunan Cum	1	ć	2 700 000	
		750.00	Lump Sum	1	Ş	3,700,000	Quicklime storage, batch slaking, and slurry system. Includes quicklime slios, slakers,
Concrete Slab	Ş	/50.00	S/cubic yard	133	Ş	99,750	control system, grit separation tanks, lime slurry feed tanks, lime slurry pump skids.
Concrete Walls	Ş	1,250.00	S/cubic yard	114	Ş	142,500	
Concrete Elevated Slab	Ş	1,750.00	\$/cubic yard	101	Ş	176,750	
Lime Clarifiers							\$/300,000-gallon clarifier, 65 ft diameter, 12 ft depth
Concrete Slab	Ş	750.00	\$/cubic yard	586	Ş	439,800	
Concrete Walls	\$	1,250.00	\$/cubic yard	307	\$	383,750	
Chlorine Storage Building							
Building Slab	\$	750	\$/cubic yard	427	\$	320,250	
Building Walls	\$	1,250	\$/cubic yard	464	\$	580,000	
Building Roof Slab	\$	1,750	\$/cubic yard	244	\$	427,000	
Sodium Hypochlorite Tanks							
Chemical Tanks		\$100,000	\$/15,000-gallon tank	8	\$	800,000	
Dosing System		\$500,000	\$/system	2	\$	1,000,000	RO feedwater and UV/AOP oxidant
Installation			% of Chemical Systems Cost	25%	\$	4,025,000	
Subtotal					\$	32,212,300	
3 RO System							
RO Transfer Pumps	\$	565,000	\$/pump	7	\$	3,955,000	
RO High Pressure Feed pumps	\$	566,000	\$/pump	13	\$	7,358,000	
RO System		varies	Lump Sum	1	\$	62,400,000	Includes CIP/flush systems, chemical dosing skids and interstage booster pumps
Cartridge Filters		varies	Lump Sum	1	\$	1,500,000	
RO Building/Feed Tank Slab	\$	750	\$/cubic yard	3,287	\$	2,465,250	6 in x 40 in filters, 38 cartridges per vessel
RO Building/Feed Tank Walls	\$	1,250	\$/cubic yard	328	\$	410,000	
RO Building/Feed Tank Elevated Slab & Canopy	\$	1,500	\$/cubic yard	6,018	\$	9,027,000	
CSI 1-15 Less Div 3	Ś	150	\$/square foot	105.465	Ś	15.819.750	
RO Feed Tank Concrete Slab	Ś	750	\$/cubic vard	1.328	Ś	996.000	10 MG- below grade concrete tank
RO Feed Tank Concrete Walls	Ś	1.250	\$/cubic vard	1.094	Ś	1.367.500	
BO Feed Tank Elevated Slab	Ś	1 750	\$/cubic yard	1 296	Ś	2 268 000	
RO Feed Pump Electrical Building	Ŷ	1,750		1,250	Ŷ	2,200,000	
Slah	¢	750	\$/cubic vard	0	¢	_	
Walls	ć	1 250	\$/cubic yard	401	¢	501 250	
Flevated Slab	ې د	1 750	\$/cubic yard	401 501	ې د	Q11 7E0	
CSI 1-15 Locs Div 2	ڊ خ	150	¢/cause foot	2/ 521	ې د	5 224 800	
COLLECTO LCCC DUV O	Ş	130	y square ioor	54,032	ې	3,224,000	
NO DOUSLEI PUITIP Electrical Bulluitig	ć	750	¢/cubic yard	140	ć	224 500	
Malle	ç	1 250	s/cubic yard	440	ې د	334,300	
waiis	Ş	1,250	s/cubic yard	870	Ş	1,087,500	

	AREA	UN	T COST	UNIT	QUANTITY C	OST	1	
	Elevated Slab	\$	1,750	\$/cubic yard	446 \$	5	780,500	
	CSI 1-15 Less Div 3	\$	350	\$/square foot	6,500 \$	5	2,275,000	
	Process Piping			% of RO Equipment Cost	25% \$	5	18,803,250	
	Installation			% of RO Equipment Cost	20% \$	5	15,042,600	
	Subtotal				\$	\$	152,527,650	
4	UV AOP System							
	UV AOP System			Lump Sum	1 \$	5	29,300,000	Includes reactors, instrumentation, automated control, ballast enclosures, monitors
	CSI 1-15 Less Div 3	\$	100	\$/square foot	31,800 \$	5	3,180,000	and analyzers.
	Isolation Valve (48 in)	\$	46,400	\$/valve	16 \$	5	742,400	2 per reactor
	Magnetic Flow Meters (48 in)	\$	55,000	\$/magmeter	8\$	5	440,000	1 per reactor
	Building Slab w/ Rebar	\$	750	\$/cubic yard	3,533 \$	5	2,649,750	
	System Canopy Cover	\$	150	\$/square foot	31,800 \$	5	4,770,000	
	Process Piping			% of UV AOP Equipment Cost	20% \$	5	5,860,000	
	Installation			% of UV AOP Equipment Cost	20% \$	5	5,860,000	
	Subtotal				\$	5	52,802,150	
5	Yard Piping							
	Yard Piping			% of Process Subtotal	10% \$	5	20,532,980	
	Subtotal				\$	5	20,532,980	
6	Civil				·		.,,	
	Site Preparation							
	Excavation	Ś	35	\$/cubic vard	760.000 Ś	5	26.600.000	Total excavation volume
	Removals/Site Work	Ś	2	\$/cubic vard	152.000 S	5	304.000	20% of the excavation volume
	Asphalt Paving, Driveways and Fencing Repairs	Ś	500.000	Lump Sum	1 \$	5	500.000	
	Landscaping Allocation	Ś	150.000	Lump Sum	1 \$	5	150.000	
	Site Earthworks Allowance	Ś	500.000	Lump Sum	1 \$	5	500.000	
	Bldg Pad Development/Equating Exc	Ś	2.500.000	Lump Sum	1 \$	5	2.500.000	
	Miscellaneous Site Improvements	Ś	2.500.000	Lump Sum	1 \$	5	2.500.000	
	Civil Subtotal	+	_, ,		Ś	5	33.054.000	
	Equipment and Materials Subtotal				Ś	5	465.623.430	
	Process Equipment Electrical and I&C		0.35	35% of Equipment Subtotal	Ś	5	46.675.265	
	Sales Tax		0.095	9.5% of Equipment Subtotal	Ś	5	12.669.001	
							,,.	
	EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND CIVIL TOTAL				\$	5	558,021,696	
				25% of Equipment, Materials,				
	Contractor Overheads and Profit & Insurance/Permits		0.25	and Civil Subtotal	Ś	5	139,505,424	
				10% of Equipment, Materials,				
	Contractor General Conditions		0.1	and Civil Subtotal	Ś	5	55,802,170	
	Construction Subtotal				Ś	5	754,000,000	
7	Additional Site Preparation							
	Oil Well Closures			Lump Sum	\$	5	8,000,000	From Stantec's TM; total construction cost
	Storm Drain Relocation			Lump Sum	\$	5	40,000,000	From LACSD; total construction cost
	Utility Relocation			Lump Sum	\$	5	2,000,000	Total construction cost
8	Wastewater Processes			· ·				
	Sidestream Centrate Treatment			Lump Sum	\$	5	48,350,000	From LACSD / Hazen; total construction cost
	Influent Pumping, Fine Screening, MBR System, Odor Control			Lump Sum	\$	5	562,818,000	From LACSD / Jacobs; total construction cost
9	Power Infrastructure							
	Distribution SwitchYards/ Substation			Lump Sum	\$	5	25,000,000	From AECOM/B&C total construction cost
	SCE Offsite 66 kV Facilities and Poles near AWPF			Lump Sum	ŝ	5	47,000,000	From AECOM/B&C total construction cost
	Electrical Substation			Lump Sum	Ś	5	160,000,000	From AECOM/B&C total construction cost
10	DPR Facilities at Weymouth WTP						,,	· · ·
	Phase 1 DPR Facility at Weymouth WTP			Lump Sum	Ś	5	44,500,000	Total construction cost
	CONSTRUCTION TOTAL				Ś	5	1,692,000,000	
11	Soft Costs							
	Admin, Engineering, Project and Construction Management		0.3	30% of Construction Total	\$	5	507,600,000	

AREA	UNIT COST	UNIT	QUANTITY	COST	г
Program Management Consultant	0.0	05 5% of Construction Total		\$	84,600,000
Subtotal				\$	2,284,200,000
Contingency	0.3	35 35% of Above Subtotal		\$	799,470,000
TOTAL CAPITAL COST				\$	3,083,670,000
Low Range (-20%)		-20	%	\$	2,467,000,000
High Range (+40%)		40	6	\$	4,318,000,000
Construction Mid-point Escalation		0	%		

DRAFT

BASIS OF ENGINEER'S PRELIMINARY OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST FOR THE PURE WATER CONVEYANCE FACILITIES (PHASE 1)

B&V PROJECT NO. 410259

PREPARED FOR

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

20 DECEMBER 2023



1.0 Basis of Engineer's Preliminary Opinion of Probable Construction Cost (OPCC)

The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (Metropolitan) tasked Black & Veatch with providing a preliminary update to the Engineer's OPCC previously prepared in 2018 for the Pure Water Southern California (Pure Water) conveyance system. This preliminary update was developed for the major facilities of the conveyance system based on the conceptual-level design as envisioned in June 2022, primarily using escalated unit rates. An updated Class 4 OPCC will be completed at the end of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) planning phase. All cost opinion classification levels discussed in this memorandum are as defined by the Association for the Advancement of Cost Engineering, International (AACE).

This preliminary Engineer's OPCC is comprised of direct and indirect construction costs. Direct costs are intended to include the contractor's cost for labor, materials, and equipment estimates. Direct costs were developed using the industry resources discussed below. Indirect costs cover the contractor's general conditions, overhead, profit, building permits, insurance, and bonding. Indirect costs were estimated based on a percentage of the direct costs, as is typical for this level of study. The following industry resources were used in developing this cost opinion:

- Black & Veatch Historical Cost Data
- RS Means Construction Cost Data
- Mechanical Contractors Association Labor Manual
- Vendor quotes on equipment and materials from prior projects
- Material quotes obtained for cement and mortar lined steel pipe from Northwest Pipe on 07/19/2018

All prices are presented in June 2022 dollars and have not been escalated to the mid-point of construction.

1.1 Methodology

The Engineer's OPCC previously developed for the conveyance system as part of the Feasibility Level Design Report (FLDR) in 2018 served as the basis for this preliminary cost update and was updated as follows:

- The 2018 Engineer's OPCC utilized typical unit costs for the following construction methods: construction in paved streets, construction in easements, pipe jacking, microtunneling, and traditional tunneling. These unit costs were escalated to June 2022 dollars using the Engineering News Record (ENR) Construction Cost Indices for Los Angeles, California with the following revisions:
 - a. Trenchless construction costs were revised to separate the shaft costs and mobilization from the mining costs.

- b. The dimensions for the launching and receiving pits were revised for all trenchless methods. In general, the length of the launching pits decreased for pipe jacking and microtunneling, while the length of the launching pits increased for traditional tunneling.
- 2. The 2018 Engineer's OPCC also utilized costs for non-typical features that may be encountered. These include features and work methods which were not included in the typical unit costs because they were not consistently required or uniformly found along each segment. Consistent with this level of study, these adders are items which are readily discernable and measurable from the desktop analysis, visual observations made in the field, review of readily available utility information, analysis of traffic control requirements, desktop study of geotechnical and groundwater conditions, and so on. These costs were escalated to June 2022 dollars using the ENR Construction Cost Indices for Los Angeles, California.
- 3. For items not included in the 2018 Engineer's OPCC, estimates were generally developed using parametric values.
- 4. Some items had not been studied and do not lend themselves to parametric values, such as the presence of hazardous soils. For this preliminary cost update, 5 percent of the construction cost of the pipeline was assumed as an allowance for the removal, remediation, and/or disposal of contaminated soils and groundwater.
- 5. Costs for the long tunnel from the 105 Freeway to Washington Blvd were estimated separately by McMillan Jacobs Associates (now Delve Underground) as part of the FLDR. The cost of the long tunnel was escalated to June 2022 dollars using the ENR Construction Cost Indices for Los Angeles, California.
- 6. A high-level quantity take-off was performed for the Pure Water conveyance system based on the measured lengths and typical construction sections, as described herein.
- This preliminary Engineer's OPC was based upon the unit costs and quantity take off. See Attachment A for details.
- 8. Following the completion of the preliminary Engineer's OPCC in June 2022, a rough order of magnitude OPCC for increasing the pipe size from 84-inches to 108-inches from Whittier Narrows to the San Gabriel Canyon Spreading Grounds was developed and documented in the memorandum entitled *"Conceptual Cost Comparison to Upsize the Backbone Pipeline to 9 Feet,"* which is included as Attachment B. The rough order of magnitude cost to increase the pipe size was then applied as a cost adder for this revised preliminary Engineer's OPCC.

It should be noted that this preliminary Engineer's OPCC is based on the planning-level information available in June 2022 and is intended to provide a cost range to assist with future planning efforts. Any

pipeline alignment refinements that occurred after this cost update will be captured in the future OPCC update at the end of the CEQA planning phase. Final costs for the project will depend on actual labor and material costs, competitive market conditions, final project scope, implementation schedule and contract packaging, and other variable factors, such as market conditions.

1.2 OPCC Classification Level

The OPCC classification level varies for the major components of the conveyance system based on the level of design definition as of June 2022. Components that had a greater level of project definition received a Class 4 estimate, while components that had lower levels of project definition received a Class 5 estimate. Class 4 estimates have a level of accuracy of -30% to +50%. Class 5 estimates have a level of accuracy of -30% to +50%. Class 5 estimates have a level of accuracy of -50% to +100%.

Table 1-1 presents the OPCC classification level for each major component of the conveyance system.

Description	AACE Classification Level
84-inch Diameter Backbone Pipeline	Class 4
Backbone Pump Stations	Class 4
Backbone Isolation Valves and Service Connections	Class 5
Cost Adder to Increase to 108-inch from Whittier Narrows to the Canyon Spreading Grounds	Class 5
Fiber Optics for Conveyance System	Class 5
Direct Potable Reuse (DPR) Pipelines, Pump Stations, and Storage, Including Improvements to the Devil Canyon-Azusa Pipeline	Class 5

Table 1-1. OPCC Classification Levels

1.3 Cost Parameters and Assumptions

The following general parameters and key assumptions apply to the preparation of the OPCC:

- Pipeline unit prices were developed based on the typical construction cross-sections depicted in the FLDR. The typical cross-sections assumed excavation with vertical trench shoring.
- Pipeline lengths were obtained using GIS and confirmed using Google Earth Pro.
- As noted earlier, an allowance of 5 percent of the construction cost of the pipeline was provided to account for hazardous soils. It is intended that this value be updated once better information becomes available.
- At the time this preliminary Engineer's OPCC was completed, Metropolitan's separation requirements for the Pure Water pipeline had not been established and there is a wide range of

potential costs based on the final requirements. An allowance of 5 percent of the construction cost of the pipeline was provided to address this issue. It is intended that this value be updated once better information becomes available.

- An allowance was provided for utility relocations. The allowance was developed by reviewing available utility information and making assumptions on the size and length of relocations anticipated. Parametric values were then applied to the size and length of relocations assumed. The allowance includes some contingency for unknown utilities based on experience from similar projects. However, limited utility information is available at this time, so the allowance was based upon the best available information and experience with similar projects.
- At the time this preliminary Engineer's OPCC was completed, the fiber optic requirements for the project had not yet been established. Costs were included for a fiber optic communication system based on typical unit costs for similar projects. It is expected that these costs will be updated as the fiber optic design is progressed.
- An allowance was provided for potential impacts to businesses along the pipeline alignment that may be directly impacted by construction of the conveyance system. This allowance value was estimated by Metropolitan based on experience with other pipeline projects.
- Permitting, appraisals, and land acquisition costs for conveyance facilities were estimated by Metropolitan based on market conditions in 2022.

1.3.1 Conveyance Facilities – Backbone Pipeline, Pump Stations, Isolation Valves, and Service Connections

The following general parameters and key assumptions apply to the preparation of the OPCC for the Backbone conveyance facilities:

- While the Backbone system is assumed to include three pump stations, the first pump station would be located on the Advanced Water Purification (AWP) Facility. Therefore, the cost for that pump station is included with the AWP Facility and not with this conveyance estimate.
- This preliminary Engineer's OPCC for the conveyance system includes two pump stations, one at Whittier Narrows and one at the Santa Fe Spreading Grounds.
 - The cost for the pump station at Whittier Narrows (Whittier Narrows PS) was based on the layout developed in the FLDR. Costs are based on the buildout capacity of 150 MGD.
 - The next pump station is assumed to be near the Santa Fe Spreading Grounds (SFSG PS) and would have a similar layout as the Whittier Narrows PS. The SFSG PS is assumed to pump up to 75 MGD at 200 feet of head at full buildout. Costs are based on the full buildout capacity.
- Pipeline materials are assumed to be cement mortar lined and coated welded steel pipe (WSP).
 - 108-inch diameter pipe is assumed to have a wall thickness of 3/4-inch.
 - 84-inch diameter pipe is assumed to have a wall thickness of 1/2-inch.

- Pipes less than 84-inches in diameter are assumed to have a minimum wall thickness of 3/8-inch.
- 9 service connections are assumed. For the purposes of this cost update, each service connection is assumed to include a flow meter and isolation valve located in below grade vaults.
- 7 sectionalizing valves are assumed, spaced approximately every 6 miles. For the purposes of this cost update, sectionalizing valves are assumed to be located in below grade vaults.

1.3.2 Direct Potable Reuse Facilities – DPR Pipelines, Pump Stations, and Storage

The following general parameters and key assumptions apply to the preparation of the OPCC for the DPR pipelines, pump stations, and storage facilities:

- The existing Devil Canyon-Azusa Pipeline owned by San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District would be repurposed to convey up to 25 MGD of advanced treated water from the Canyon Spreading Grounds to the F.E. Weymouth Water Treatment Plant (WTP). No structural improvements to the existing pipeline were assumed.
 - Improvements to the Devil Canyon-Azusa Pipeline would be required at Big Dalton Pressure Reducing Station to bypass the facility. The improvements were assumed to include two new tees on the existing pipeline, 1,000 feet of new 30-inch WSP, and an isolation valve located in a below grade vault.
 - New isolation and control valving would be required at the connection to the La Verne Pipeline.
- 7,100 feet of new 30-inch WSP was assumed to connect the Backbone alignment to the existing Devil Canyon-Azusa Pipeline.
- Two 25 MGD pump stations with approximately 370 feet of lift (each) would be required to reverse flow in the Devil Canyon-Azusa Pipeline.
- It is assumed that the La Verne Pipeline would convey flow from the Devil Canyon-Azusa Pipeline to the Weymouth WTP via the existing Junction Structure and that no improvements are required beyond those stated above.
- A storge reservoir would be provided near Weymouth WTP for operational flexibility. The reservoir would provide up to 5 million gallons of active storage.

1.4 Items Excluded from the Preliminary OPCC

The following items are not accounted for in the OPCC:

- Pipeline laterals and other infrastructure downstream of the Backbone service connections
- Construction permits, including but not limited to excavation permits, encroachment permits, overweight vehicle special permits, and South Coast Air Quality Management District permits to construct
- Contingency for potential tariffs or material fluctuation
- Soft costs

• Improvements to existing or new recharge facilities

1.5 Key Issues Still to be Evaluated

The following are key issues that still need to be worked through, which could impact this cost assessment:

- No geotechnical field investigations have been completed. The geotechnical data available for this cost assessment was limited to desktop information only. Field information is required to provide greater cost certainty.
- Further coordination is required with the United States Army Corps of Engineers and Southern California Edison (SCE) to fully understand and confirm their requirements, including separation from existing levees and transmission tower foundations. Recent feedback received from SCE indicates that they desire a greater depth of cover over the pipeline within their property than previously assumed, which could impact this assessment.
- This initial assessment made assumptions regarding the proximity the pipeline excavation could be from the visible extents of existing transmission towers for open cut construction before trenchless construction would be required. As foundation information is obtained on the existing towers, these assumptions could likely be refined.

1.6 Contingency

Project contingencies are included to account for unknown or unforeseen costs at the time the estimate was developed. The amount of contingency applied to an estimate is typically based on the level of project definition. For this cost comparison, a contingency of 35 percent was applied.

Soft costs were not included in this Preliminary Engineer's OPCC. For the Pure Water program, Metropolitan has assumed 30 percent of the estimated construction costs to account for these additional services which will be applied at the program level.

1.7 Engineer's Preliminary Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

The preliminary Engineer's OPCC is included as Attachment A. All values are presented in June 2022 dollars.

Attachment A - Preliminary OPCC for PWSC Phase 1 Conveyance/Distribution System

BLACK & VEATCH

550 S. Hope Street, Suite 2250, Los Angeles, California 90071

B&V Project 410259

PRELIMINARY ENGINEERS OPCC

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Los Angeles County, CA

Conceptual-Level Design of Conveyance/Distribution System for Pure Water Southern California

June 2022

SUMMARY

Values provided by B&V Values provided by Metropolitan

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Size</u>	<u>U</u> <u>C</u>	nit Rate w/o_ Contingency		<u>Cost</u>
Conveyance Facilities - Phase 1						
Packbone Dump Stations						
Dackbolle Fullip Stations	1		¢	88 000 000	¢	88 000 000
Pump Station at Santa Ee Spreading Crounds	1		φ ¢	30,000,000	φ Q	30,000,000
Fullip Station at Santa re Spreading Grounds	1		ψ	30,000,000	ψ	30,000,000
Subtotal					\$	118,000,000
Backbone Pipeline						
Initial Delivery Project through Cities of Carson and Long Beach						
AWTF to East Side of LA River	34,706	84			\$	148,800,000
Remainder of Backbone Alignment to Canyon Spreading Grounds						
East Side of LA River to Palo Verde Ave	28,800	84			\$	106,100,000
Palo Verde Ave to North of 91 Freeway	11,550	84			\$	48,000,000
North of 91 Freeway to South of 105 Freeway	12,575	84			\$	28,400,000
River Tunnel	25,750	84			\$	180,300,000
North of Washington Blvd to Rose Hills Road/Shepherd St	19,900	84			\$	78,700,000
Rose Hills Road/Shepherd St to South of Valley Blvd	21,165	84			\$	83,100,000
South of Valley Blvd to Live Oak Ave	24,595	84			\$	74,900,000
Adders to Backbone (Additional to FLDR)						
Alignment East Around Santa Fe Dam	24,200	84			\$	80,000,000
From Foothill Blvd to Canyon Spreading Grounds	10,400	48			\$	12,000,000
IPR Laterals (Additional to FLDR)						
From Santa Fe Lateral to United Rock Pit 3	5,275	Varies			\$	12,500,000
From Backbone to San Gabriel Coastal Spreading Grounds	500	Varies			\$	2,400,000
Subtotal					\$	855,000,000
Backhone Values and Service Connections						
loolation Volves and Voults (Additional to ELDB)						
Initial Dalivary	1	01	¢	5 000 000	¢	5 000 000
Initial Delivery	I G	04	φ Φ	5,000,000	¢ ¢	3,000,000
	0	04	φ	5,000,000	Ф	30,000,000
Service Connections	0		¢	2 000 000	¢	0.000.000
	3		Э Ф	3,000,000	Þ	9,000,000
Remainder of Backbone	6		\$	3,000,000	\$	18,000,000
Subtotal					\$	62 000 000
					Ψ.	5_,000,000

Item Description Utility Relocation Allowance Separation Requirements Allowance Hazardous Soils and Groundwater Allowance Hazardous Soils and Groundwater Allowance - Increase to 9' Pipe	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Size</u> 5% 5% 5%	<u>Unit Rate w/o</u> <u>Contingency</u>	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	<u>Cost</u> 20,000,000 46,000,000 46,000,000 20,000,000
Backbone Conveyance Facilities - Phase 1 Subtotal				\$	1,167,000,000
Additional Conveyance Facilities Increase to 9' Diameter Pipeline Conveyance System Business Impacts Fiber Optics	1	108	\$ 388,000,000	\$ \$ \$	388,000,000 6,000,000 9,000,000
Subtotal				\$	403,000,000
Conveyance Facilities (Backbone and Additional) - Phase 1 Subtotal				\$	1,570,000,000
Contingency		35%		\$	550,000,000
TOTAL CONVEYANCE FACILITIES - PHASE 1 PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION O	COST AND CO	NTINGENC	١	\$	2,120,000,000
DPR Facilities - Phase 1 (Conveyance Only)					
Pipeline and Pump Station Improvements Operational Storage at Weymouth				\$ \$	52,000,000 10,000,000
DPR Facilities - Phase 1 (Conveyance Only) Subtotal				\$	62,000,000
Contingency		35%		\$	22,000,000
TOTAL DPR FACILITIES - PHASE 1 (CONVEYANCE ONLY) COST AND CONTI	NGENCI			\$	84,000,000
Permitting/Property - Phase 1 Conveyance Permits, Appraisals, and Easement - Pipeline Land Acquisition - Pump Stations				\$ \$	145,000,000 28,000,000
Permitting/Property - Phase 1 Subtotal				\$	173,000,000
Contingency		35%		\$	61,000,000
TOTAL PERMITTING/PROPERTY ACQUISITION - PHASE 1 (CONVEYANCE OF	NLY) COST AN	D CONTIN	GENCI	\$	234,000,000

Cost Details for 7' Diameter Pipe Segments and Facilities



550 S. Hope Street, Suite 2250, Los Angeles, California 90071

B&V Project 410259

FINAL DRAFT SUBMITTAL

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA

Pure Water Feasibility Study

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

June, 2022

FROM AWP FACILITY TO LOS ANGELES RIVER SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	Total Cost
Direct Costs - Open Cut		\$ 58,978,020
General Requirement - Open Cut	15%	\$ 8,846,703
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut	15%	\$ 8,846,703
Contingencies - Open Cut	35%	\$ 26,834,999
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut	3.6%	\$ 3,705,936
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT		\$ 107,200,000
Direct Costs - Trenchless		\$ 50,236,274
General Requirement - Trenchless	15%	\$ 7,535,441
General Contractor OH&P - Trenchless	15%	\$ 7,535,441
Contingencies - Trenchless	35%	\$ 22,857,504
Bonds & Insurance - Trenchless	3.6%	\$ 3,156,641
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS		\$ 91,300,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST - WITHOUT CONTIGENCY		\$ 148,800,000

AFFENDIA C BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

FROM AWP FACILITY TO LOS ANGELES RIVER SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>		Unit Cost		Total Cost
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 84" 60" 54"	28,106	LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	1,839.36 1,367.30 1,341.71	\$\$\$	51,697,190 - -
Subtotal -					\$	51,697,190
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	1,308.72 843.89 793.47	\$\$\$	- -
Subtotal -					\$	-
Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	1,317.74 835.56 786.09	\$ \$ \$	- - -
Subtotal -					\$	-
Construction Method 3B - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Earthen Channel) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	2,159.54 1,533.17 1,438.70	\$ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Construction Method 3C - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Concrete Lined Channel) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	2,352.47 1,685.24 1,585.59	\$ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore (Trenchless) < 200 Feet 84" 60" 54"	682	LF LF LF	\$\$\$	4,496.12 4,383.72 4,271.32	\$\$\$	3,066,356 - -
200 - 2000 Feet 84" 60" 54" Shafts (84") Mob(Demob (84")	881	LF LF EA	\$	4,496.12 4,459.03 4,248.84 374,625.47	\$	3,961,084 - - 6,743,259
	9	EA	¢	200,000.00	¢	1,000,000
Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling (Trenchless) < 200 Feet, No Boulders					φ	13,570,099
84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	5,620.15 6,069.77 5,957.36	\$\$\$	- - -
< 200 Feet, with Boulders 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	6,182.17 6,069.77 5,957.36	\$ \$ \$	- - -
200 - 2000 Feet, No Boulders 84" 60" 54"	5,037	LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	5,620.15 4,796.24 4,586.05	\$ \$ \$	28,308,714 - -
200 - 2000 Feet, With Boulders 84" 60" 54" Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")	11 5	LF LF EA EA	\$\$\$\$\$	5,921.39 4,964.84 4,754.65 394,124.69 400,000.00	\$\$\$\$	- - 4,335,372 2,000,000
Subtotal -					\$	34,644,086

BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

FROM AWP FACILITY TO LOS ANGELES RIVER SUMMARY

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>		<u>Unit Cost</u>		Total Cost
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling (Trenchless)						
EPBM						
84"			\$	5,365.56	\$	-
50 54"			ф 2	5,121.94	Ф Э	_
Slurry TBM			Ψ	0,100.00	Ψ	
84"		LF	\$	4,864.13	\$	-
60"		LF	\$	3,474.38	\$	-
54"		LF	\$	3,126.94	\$	-
Shafts (84")		EA	\$	539.599.50	\$	-
Mob/Demob (84")		EA	\$	3,500,000.00	\$	-
					¢	
Subiotal -					Φ	-
Added Sitework Costs	6	E۸	¢	79 500 00	¢	520 419
Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchless)	2	ΕA	φ \$	12 500.00	φ \$	28 101
Landscaped Median (demo & replace)	1,150	LF	\$	214.44	\$	246,608
Raised Median (demo & replace)	5,300	LF	\$	202.94	\$	1,075,566
Subtotal -					\$	1,879,693
Added Pipeline Costs						
Major Utility Crossings						
84"	14	EA	\$	134,883.69	\$	1,888,372
60		EA	\$ ¢	131,511.60	\$ ¢	-
Maior Intersection Crossings		LA	φ	120,139.31	φ	-
84"	2	EA	\$	899,224.60	\$	1,798,449
60"		EA	\$	891,806.00	\$	-
54"		EA	\$	849,767.25	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	3,686,821
Geotechnical Added Costs						
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones						
84"	1	EA	\$	1,199,973.51	\$	1,199,974
50" 54"		EA EA	1 4	380 208 12	¢ ¢	-
Dewatering		L/(ų	000,200.12	Ψ	
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	11,106	LF	\$	30.87	\$	342,895
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	0	LF	\$	6.17	\$	-
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	0	LF	\$	6.17	\$	-
Construction Method 3B & C - River Channel	0		¢	8.8Z	\$ ¢	-
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	406	LI	\$	35 29	\$	- 14 326
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	\$	44.11	\$	-
Permeable Soils						
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	11,106	LF	\$	15.44	\$	171,447
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement			\$	3.09	\$	-
Construction Method 3B & C - River Channel			ф Э	5.09 4.41	ф S	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	0	LF	\$	24.99	\$	-
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	406	LF	\$	17.64	\$	7,163
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	\$	22.05	\$	-
Total Open Cut Direct Costs					\$	58,978.020
Total Trenchless Direct Costs					\$	50,236,274



550 S. Hope Street, Suite 2250, Los Angeles, California 90071

B&V Project 410259

FINAL DRAFT SUBMITTAL

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA

Pure Water Feasibility Study

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

June, 2022

LOS ANGELES RIVER TO PALO VERDE AVE SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Total Cost</u>
Direct Costs - Open Cut		\$ 60,192,999
General Requirement - Open Cut	15%	\$ 9,028,950
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut	15%	\$ 9,028,950
Contingencies - Open Cut	35%	\$ 27,387,815
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut	3.6%	\$ 3,782,280
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT		\$ 109,400,000
Direct Costs - Trenchless		\$ 17,644,275
General Requirement - Trenchless	15%	\$ 2,646,641
General Contractor OH&P - Trenchless	15%	\$ 2,646,641
Contingencies - Trenchless	35%	\$ 8,028,145
Bonds & Insurance - Trenchless	3.6%	\$ 1,108,694
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS		\$ 32,100,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST - WITHOUT CONTIGENCY		\$ 106,100,000

AFFENDIA C BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

LOS ANGELES RIVER TO PALO VERDE AVE SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Cost</u>		<u>Total Cost</u>
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 84" 60" 54"	27,031	LF LF LF	5 1,839.36 5 1,367.30 5 1,341.71	\$ \$ \$	49,719,873 - -
Subtotal -				\$	49,719,873
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	5 1,308.72 5 843.89 5 793.47	\$ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	5 1,317.74 5 835.56 5 786.09	\$ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 3B - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Earthen Channel) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	2,159.54 1,533.17 1,438.70	\$ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 3C - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Concrete Lined Channel) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	2,352.47 1,685.24 1,585.59	\$ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore (Trenchless) < 200 Feet 84" 60"	172	LF S	4,496.12 4,383.72	\$ \$	773,333 -
54" 200 - 2000 Feet 84" 60"	628	LF : LF : LF :	 4,271.32 4,496.12 4,459.03 	\$ \$ \$	- 2,823,565 -
54" Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")	8 4	LF EA EA	4,248.84 374,625.47 200,000.00	\$ \$ \$	2,997,004 800,000
Subtotal -				\$	7,393,902
Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling (Trenchless) < 200 Feet, No Boulders 84" 60" 54"	126	LF LF LF	5,620.15 6,069.77 5,957.36	\$ \$ \$	708,139 - -
< 200 Feet, with Boulders 84" 60" 54" 2000 Feet Ne Beulders		LF LF LF	6,182.17 6,069.77 5,957.36	\$ \$ \$	
200 - 2000 Feet, No Boulders 84" 60" 54" 2000 Feet With Roulders	843	LF LF LF	5,620.15 4,796.24 4,586.05	\$ \$ \$	4,737,790 - -
84" 60" 54" Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")	8 4	LF LF EA EA	5,921.39 4,964.84 4,754.65 394,124.69 400.000.00	\$\$\$\$\$	- - 3,152,998 1,600.000
Subtotal -			,	\$	10,198,926

BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

LOS ANGELES RIVER TO PALO VERDE AVE SUMMARY

Item Description	Quantity	Unit		Unit Cost		Total Cost
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling (Trenchless)						
EPBM			•	5 005 50	•	
84" 60"			¢	5,365.56	\$ ¢	-
54"		LF	ф \$	5,121.94	ф \$	-
Slurry TBM			Ŷ	0,100100	Ŷ	
84"		LF	\$	4,864.13	\$	-
60" 5.4"		LF	\$	3,474.38	\$	-
54"		LF	\$	3,126.94	\$	-
Shafts (84")		EA	\$	539.599.50	\$	-
Mob/Demob (84")		EA	\$	3,500,000.00	\$	-
					•	
Subtotal -					\$	-
Added Sitework Costs						
Intersection Traffic Control (Open Cut)	13	EA	\$	78,500.00	\$	1,147,073
Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchless)	3	EA	\$	12,500.00	\$ ¢	42,151
Raised Median (demo & replace)	1,145	LF	э \$	202.94	э \$	- 245,555
Subtotal -					\$	1,434,760
Added Pineline Costs						
Major Utility Crossings						
84"	21	EA	\$	134,883.69	\$	2,832,557
60"		EA	\$	131,511.60	\$	-
54" Major Intersection Crossings		EA	\$	128,139.51	\$	-
84"	6	FA	\$	899 224 60	\$	5 395 348
60"	· ·	EA	\$	891,806.00	\$	-
54"		EA	\$	849,767.25	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	8,227,905
Geotechnical Added Costs						
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones						
84"		EA	\$	1,199,973.51	\$	-
60" 54"		EA	5	5/4,284.19	\$ ¢	-
Dewatering		LA	4	5500,200.12	φ	-
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	17,500	LF	\$	30.87	\$	540,308
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	0	LF	\$	6.17	\$	-
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	0	LF	\$	6.17	\$	-
Construction Method 3B & C - River Channel	0		¢ ¢	8.82	\$ ¢	-
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	972	LF	\$	35.29	φ \$	34.297
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	\$	44.11	\$	-
Permeable Soils						
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	17,500	LF	\$	15.44	\$	270,154
Construction Method 2 - SUE Easement	0		\$ ¢	3.09	\$ ¢	-
Construction Method 3B & C - River Channel	0	LF	ф \$	4.41	գ Տ	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	0 0	LF	\$	24.99	\$	-
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	972	LF	\$	17.64	\$	17,149
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	\$	22.05	\$	-
Total Open Cut Direct Costs					\$	60 192 999
Total Trenchless Direct Costs					ŝ	17.644.275



550 S. Hope Street, Suite 2250, Los Angeles, California 90071

B&V Project 410259

FINAL DRAFT SUBMITTAL

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA

Pure Water Feasibility Study

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

June, 2022

PALO VERDE AVE TO NORTH OF 91 FREEWAY SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Total Cost</u>
Direct Costs - Open Cut		\$ 19,036,415
General Requirement - Open Cut	15%	\$ 2,855,462
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut	15%	\$ 2,855,462
Contingencies - Open Cut	35%	\$ 8,661,569
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut	3.6%	\$ 1,196,170
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT		\$ 34,600,000
Direct Costs - Trenchless		\$ 16,150,742
General Requirement - Trenchless	15%	\$ 2,422,611
General Contractor OH&P - Trenchless	15%	\$ 2,422,611
Contingencies - Trenchless	35%	\$ 7,348,587
Bonds & Insurance - Trenchless	3.6%	\$ 1,014,846
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS		\$ 29,400,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST - WITHOUT CONTIGENCY		\$ 48,000,000

AFFENDIA C BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

PALO VERDE AVE TO NORTH OF 91 FREEWAY SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	Unit Cost		Total Cost
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 84" 60" 54"	9,122	LF \$ LF \$ LF \$	1,839.36 1,367.30 1,341.71	\$ \$ \$	16,778,687 - -
Subtotal -				\$	16,778,687
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) 84" 60" 54"		LF \$ LF \$ LF \$	1,308.72 843.89 793.47	\$ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank) 84" 60" 54"		LF \$ LF \$ LF \$	1,317.74 835.56 786.09	\$ \$ \$	- - -
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 3B - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Earthen Channel) 84" 60" 54"		LF \$ LF \$ LF \$	2,159.54 1,533.17 1,438.70	\$ \$ \$	- -
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 3C - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Concrete Lined Channel) 84" 60" 54"		LF \$ LF \$ LF \$	2,352.47 1,685.24 1,585.59	\$ \$ \$	- -
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore (Trenchless) < 200 Feet		LF \$ LF \$ LF \$ LF \$ LF \$ EA \$	4,496.12 4,383.72 4,271.32 4,496.12 4,459.03 4,248.84 374,625.47 200,000.00	***	
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling (Trenchless) < 200 Feet, No Boulders 84" 60" 54" < 200 Feet, With Boulders		LF \$ LF \$ LF \$	5,620.15 6,069.77 5,957.36	\$\$\$	- -
84" 60" 54"		LF \$ LF \$ LF \$	6,182.17 6,069.77 5,957.36	\$ \$ \$	- - -
200 - 2000 Feet, NU boulders 84" 60" 54" 2000 Feet With Baulders	2,428	LF \$ LF \$ LF \$	5,620.15 4,796.24 4,586.05	\$ \$ \$	13,645,733 - -
200 - 2000 Feet, with Boulders 84" 60" 54" Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84") Subtatal	4 2	LF \$ LF \$ LF \$ EA \$ EA \$	5,921.39 4,964.84 4,754.65 394,124.69 400,000.00	\$\$\$\$\$	- 1,576,499 800,000
Subiotal -				Ф	16,022,232

BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

PALO VERDE AVE TO NORTH OF 91 FREEWAY SUMMARY

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>		Unit Cost		Total Cost
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling (Trenchless)						
EPBM			۴		۴	
84 ° 60"			¢ 2	5,305.50	¢	-
54"		LF	\$	5.109.65	\$	-
Slurry TBM			·	-,	·	
84"		LF	\$	4,864.13	\$	-
60"		LF	\$	3,474.38	\$	-
54"		LF	\$	3,126.94	\$	-
Shafts (84")		FA	\$	539 599 50	\$	-
Mob/Demob (84")		EA	\$:	3,500,000.00	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Added Sitework Costs						
Intersection Traffic Control (Open Cut)	2	EA	\$	78,500.00	\$	176,473
Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchless)	3	EA	\$	12,500.00	\$	42,151
Landscaped Median (demo & replace)		LF	\$	214.44	\$	-
Raised Median (demo & replace)	145	LF	\$	202.94	\$	29,426
Subtotal -					\$	248,050
Added Pipeline Costs						
Major Utility Crossings						
84"		EA	\$	134,883.69	\$	-
60"		EA	\$	131,511.60	\$	-
54" Major Intersection Crossings		EA	\$	128,139.51	\$	-
84"	2	FΔ	\$	899 224 60	\$	1 798 449
60"	2	EA	\$	891.806.00	\$	-
54"		EA	\$	849,767.25	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	1,798,449
Gentechnical Added Costs						
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones						
84"		EA	\$1	1,199,973.51	\$	-
60"		EA	\$	574,284.19	\$	-
54"		EA	\$	380,208.12	\$	-
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	1 561	IE	¢	30.87	¢	140 820
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	4,301	LF	\$	6 17	\$	-
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	0 0	LF	\$	6.17	\$	-
Construction Method 3B & C - River Channel	0	LF	\$	8.82	\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	0	LF	\$	49.99	\$	-
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	2,428		\$	35.29	\$	85,673
Permeable Soils	0	LF	¢	44.11	Ф	-
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	4.561	LF	\$	15.44	\$	70.410
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	0	LF	\$	3.09	\$	-
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	0	LF	\$	3.09	\$	-
Construction Method 3B & C - River Channel	0	LF	\$	4.41	\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	0		\$	24.99	\$	-
Construction Method 46 - Microtunnel	2,428	나	¢	17.64	¢	42,836
	0		φ	22.00	φ	-
Total Open Cut Direct Costs					\$	19.036.415
Total Trenchless Direct Costs					\$	16,150,742



550 S. Hope Street, Suite 2250, Los Angeles, California 90071

B&V Project 410259

FINAL DRAFT SUBMITTAL

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA

Pure Water Feasibility Study

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

June, 2022

NORTH OF 91 FREEWAY TO SOUTH OF 105 FREEWAY SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	Total Cost
Direct Costs - Open Cut		\$ 16,154,575
General Requirement - Open Cut	15%	\$ 2,423,186
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut	15%	\$ 2,423,186
Contingencies - Open Cut	35%	\$ 7,350,332
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut	3.6%	\$ 1,015,087
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT		\$ 29,400,000
		<u> </u>
Direct Costs - Trenchless		\$ 4,708,579
General Requirement - Trenchless	15%	\$ 706,287
General Contractor OH&P - Trenchless	15%	\$ 706,287
Contingencies - Trenchless	35%	\$ 2,142,403
Bonds & Insurance - Trenchless	3.6%	\$ 295,868
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS		\$ 8,600,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST - WITHOUT CONTIGENCY		\$ 28,400,000

Copyright Black Veatch 2003. All Rights Reserved

BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

NORTH OF 91 FREEWAY TO SOUTH OF 105 FREEWAY SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Cost</u>		<u>Total Cost</u>
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ 1,839.36 \$ 1,367.30 \$ 1,341.71	\$ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) 84" 60" 54"	11,950	LF LF LF	\$ 1,308.72 \$ 843.89 \$ 793.47	\$\$\$	15,639,238 - -
Subtotal -				\$	15,639,238
Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ 1,317.74 \$ 835.56 \$ 786.09	\$ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 3B - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Earthen Channel) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ 2,159.54 \$ 1,533.17 \$ 1,438.70	\$ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 3C - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Concrete Lined Channel) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ 2,352.47 \$ 1,685.24 \$ 1,585.59	\$ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore (Trenchless) < 200 Feet	625 4	LF LF LF LF LF EA	 \$ 4,496.12 \$ 4,383.72 \$ 4,271.32 \$ 4,496.12 \$ 4,459.03 \$ 4,248.84 \$ 374,625.47 	\$\$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$	- - 2,810,077 - 1,498,502
Mob/Demob (84")	2	EA	\$ 200,000.00	\$	400,000
Subtotal -				\$	4,708,579
Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling (Trenchless) < 200 Feet, No Boulders 84" 60" 54" < 200 Feet With Boulders		LF LF LF	\$	\$\$\$	-
 200 Feet, Will Boulders 84" 60" 54" 200 Feet No Boulders 		LF LF LF	\$ 6,182.17 \$ 6,069.77 \$ 5,957.36	\$ \$ \$	-
84" 60" 54" 200 Feet With Boulders		LF LF LF	\$ 5,620.15 \$ 4,796.24 \$ 4,586.05	\$ \$ \$	- -
84" 60" 54" Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")		LF LF EA EA	\$ 5,921.39 \$ 4,964.84 \$ 4,754.65 \$ 394,124.69 \$ 400,000.00	\$\$\$\$	- - - -
Subtotal -				\$	-

BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

NORTH OF 91 FREEWAY TO SOUTH OF 105 FREEWAY SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	Unit		<u>Unit Cost</u>		Total Cost
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling (Trenchless)						
EPBM 84"		IF	¢	5 365 56	¢	_
60"		LF	\$	5.121.94	\$	-
54"		LF	\$	5,109.65	\$	-
Slurry TBM			•	4 00 4 40	•	
84" 60"			¢ ¢	4,864.13	¢	-
54"		LF	\$	3,126.94	\$	-
Shafts (84") Mab (Damab (84")		EA	\$	539,599.50	\$	-
Mob/Demob (64)		EA	Ð,	3,500,000.00	Ф	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Added Sitework Costs						
Intersection Traffic Control (Open Cut)		EA	\$	78,500.00	\$	-
Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchless)			\$ ¢	12,500.00	\$ ¢	-
Raised Median (demo & replace)		LF	\$	202.94	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Added Pipeline Costs						
Major Utility Crossings						
84"	3	EA	\$	134,883.69	\$	404,651
60" 54"		EA	\$ ¢	131,511.60	\$ ¢	-
Maior Intersection Crossings		EA	φ	120,139.51	φ	-
84"		EA	\$	899,224.60	\$	-
60"		EA	\$	891,806.00	\$	-
54"		EA	\$	849,767.25	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	404,651
Geotechnical Added Costs						
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones		FΔ	\$1	1 100 073 51	\$	_
60"		EA	\$	574,284.19	\$	-
54"		EA	\$	380,208.12	\$	-
Dewatering	0		۴	20.07	¢	
Construction Method 2 - Roadway (Open Cut)	0 11 950		¢ ¢	30.87	¢ ¢	- 73 791
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	0	LF	\$	6.17	\$	-
Construction Method 3B & C - River Channel	0	LF	\$	8.82	\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	0	LF	\$	49.99	\$	-
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	0		\$ ¢	35.29	\$ ¢	-
Permeable Soils	0	LI	φ	44.11	φ	-
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	0	LF	\$	15.44	\$	-
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	11,950	LF	\$	3.09	\$	36,895
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	0		\$ ¢	3.09	\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	0	LF IF	ф \$	4.41 24 99	ф \$	-
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	0	LF	\$	17.64	\$	-
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	\$	22.05	\$	-
Total Open Cut Direct Costs					¢	16 15/ 575
Total Trenchless Direct Costs					ŝ	4.708.579



550 S. Hope Street, Suite 2250, Los Angeles, California 90071

B&V Project 410259

FINAL DRAFT SUBMITTAL

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA

Pure Water Feasibility Study

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

June, 2022

SAN GABRIEL RIVER TUNNEL SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	Total Cost
Direct and Indirect Costs - Trenchless (from MJA Three Tunnels Report)		\$ 180,287,904
Contingencies - Trenchless	35%	\$ 63,100,766
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS		\$ 243,400,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST - WITHOUT CONTIGENCY		\$ 180,300,000



550 S. Hope Street, Suite 2250, Los Angeles, California 90071

B&V Project 410259

FINAL DRAFT SUBMITTAL

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA

Pure Water Feasibility Study

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

June, 2022

NORTH OF WASHINGTON AVE TO ROSE HILL / SHEPHERD ST SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	Total Cost
Direct Costs - Open Cut		\$ 25,272,329
General Requirement - Open Cut	15%	\$ 3,790,849
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut	15%	\$ 3,790,849
Contingencies - Open Cut	35%	\$ 11,498,910
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut	3.6%	\$ 1,588,009
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT		\$ 45,900,000
Direct Costs - Trenchless		\$ 32,468,467
General Requirement - Trenchless	15%	\$ 4,870,270
General Contractor OH&P - Trenchless	15%	\$ 4,870,270
Contingencies - Trenchless	35%	\$ 14,773,153
Bonds & Insurance - Trenchless	3.6%	\$ 2,040,185
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS		\$ 59,000,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST - WITHOUT CONTIGENCY		\$ 78,700,000

Copyright Black Veatch 2003. All Rights Reserved

BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

NORTH OF WASHINGTON AVE TO ROSE HILL / SHEPHERD ST SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Cost</u>		Total Cost
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 84" 60" 54"	3,045	LF LF LF	5 1,839.36 5 1,367.30 5 1,341.71	\$ \$ \$	5,600,866 - -
Subtotal -				\$	5,600,866
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) 84" 60" 54"	12,340	LF LF LF	5 1,308.72 5 843.89 5 793.47	\$ \$ \$	16,149,640 - -
Subtotal -				\$	16,149,640
Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	5 1,317.74 5 835.56 5 786.09	\$ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 3B - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Earthen Channel) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	2,159.54 1,533.17 1,438.70	\$ \$ \$	- - -
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 3C - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Concrete Lined Channel) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	2,352.47 1,685.24 1,585.59	\$ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore (Trenchless) < 200 Feet	110 2	LF LF LF LF LF EA	 4,496.12 4,383.72 4,271.32 4,496.12 4,459.03 4,248.84 374,625.47 200.000 	\$\$\$	494,574 - - - 749,251
Subtotal -	I	EA	200,000.00	¢	200,000
Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling (Trenchless) < 200 Feet, No Boulders 84" 60"	230	LF S	5 5,620.15 6 6,069.77	۹ ۶ ۶	1,292,635 -
54" < 200 Feet, With Boulders 84" 60" 54"		LF S LF S LF S LF S	5 5,957.36 6 6,182.17 5 6,069.77 5 5,957.36	\$ \$ \$ \$	-
200 - 2000 Feet, No Boulders 84" 60" 54" 2000 Feet With Deviders	325	LF LF LF	5,620.15 4,796.24 4,586.05	\$ \$ \$	1,826,550 - -
84" 60" 54" Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")	4 2	LF LF EA EA	5,921.39 4,964.84 4,754.65 394,124.69 400,000.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - 1,576,499 800,000
Subtotal -				\$	5,495,684
BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

NORTH OF WASHINGTON AVE TO ROSE HILL / SHEPHERD ST SUMMARY

Item Description	Quantity	Unit		<u>Unit Cost</u>		<u>Total Cost</u>
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling (Trenchless) EPBM						
84" 60"	3,850	LF	\$	5,365.56	\$	20,657,420
50 54"		LF	ъ \$	5,121.94 5,109.65	ъ \$	-
Slurry TBM 84"		١F	\$	4 864 13	\$	-
60" 		LF	\$	3,474.38	\$	-
54"		LF	\$	3,126.94	\$	-
Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")	2	EA	\$ ¢	539,599.50	\$ ¢	1,079,199
		L/	Ψ	0,000,000.00	Ŷ	0,000,000
Subtotal -					\$	25,236,619
Added Sitework Costs		-	•	70 500 00	•	00.000
Intersection Traffic Control (Open Cut) Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchless)	1	EA	ֆ \$	12,500.00	ծ \$	88,230
Landscaped Median (demo`& replace) ´ Raised Median (demo & replace)		LF LF	\$ \$	214.44 202.94	\$ \$	-
Subtotal -					\$	88,236
Added Pipeline Costs						
Major Utility Crossings 84"	8	EA	\$	134,883.69	\$	1,079,070
60" 5.4"		EA	\$	131,511.60	\$	-
b4 Major Intersection Crossings		EA	Þ	128,139.51	Ф	-
84" 60"	1	EA	\$ ¢	899,224.60	\$ ¢	899,225
54"		EA	\$	849,767.25	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	1,978,294
Geotechnical Added Costs Seismic Hazards/Eault Zones						
84"	1	EA	\$	1,199,973.51	\$	1,199,974
60" 54"		EA EA	4	574,284.19 5380,208.12	\$ \$	-
Dewatering	2.045		¢	20.07	¢	04.014
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	3,045 12,340	LF	ֆ \$	30.87 6.17	ծ \$	94,014 76,199
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	0		\$ ¢	6.17 8.82	\$ ¢	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	110	LF	э \$	49.99	э \$	- 5,499
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	555	LF	\$	35.29	\$	19,583
Permeable Soils	3,850	LF	\$	44.11	Ф	169,811
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	3,045 12 340		\$ ¢	15.44	\$ ¢	47,007
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	12,340	LF	\$	3.09	\$	- 30,099
Construction Method 3B & C - River Channel	0	LF	\$	4.41	\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore Construction Method 4B - Microfunnel	110 555	ւե	ф 5	24.99 17 64	ֆ Տ	2,749 9,792
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	3,850	LF	\$	22.05	\$	84,906
Total Open Cut Direct Costs					\$	25,272,329
Total Trenchless Direct Costs					\$	32.468.467



550 S. Hope Street, Suite 2250, Los Angeles, California 90071

B&V Project 410259

FINAL DRAFT SUBMITTAL

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA

Pure Water Feasibility Study

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

June, 2022

ROSE HILL / SHEPHERD ST TO SOUTH OF VALLEY BLVD SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	Total Cost
Direct Costs - Open Cut		\$ 22,930,105
General Requirement - Open Cut	15%	\$ 3,439,516
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut	15%	\$ 3,439,516
Contingencies - Open Cut	35%	\$ 10,433,198
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut	3.6%	\$ 1,440,833
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT		\$ 41,700,000
		<u> </u>
Direct Costs - Trenchless		\$ 38,057,770
General Requirement - Trenchless	15%	\$ 5,708,665
General Contractor OH&P - Trenchless	15%	\$ 5,708,665
Contingencies - Trenchless	35%	\$ 17,316,285
Bonds & Insurance - Trenchless	3.6%	\$ 2,391,394
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS		\$ 69,200,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST - WITHOUT CONTIGENCY		\$ 83,100,000

Copyright Black Veatch 2003. All Rights Reserved

AFFENDIA C BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Cost</u>		Total Cost
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 84" 60" 54"	880	LF LF LF	 1,839.36 1,367.30 1,341.71 	\$ \$ \$	1,618,641 - -
Subtotal -				\$	1,618,641
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) 84" 60" 54"	12,875	LF LF LF	5 1,308.72 5 843.89 5 793.47	\$ \$ \$	16,849,807 - -
Subtotal -				\$	16,849,807
Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank) 84" 60" 54"	2,540	LF LF LF	5 1,317.74 5 835.56 5 786.09	\$ \$ \$	3,347,058 - -
Subtotal -				\$	3,347,058
Construction Method 3B - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Earthen Channel) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	2,159.54 1,533.17 1,438.70	\$ \$ \$	- -
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 3C - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Concrete Lined Channel) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	2,352.47 1,685.24 1,585.59	\$ \$ \$	
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore (Trenchless) < 200 Feet	240	LF LF LF LF LF LF EA	 4,496.12 4,383.72 4,271.32 4,496.12 4,496.12 4,459.03 4,248.84 374,625.47 	\$\$\$	- - 1,079,070 - - 749,251
Mob/Demob (84")	1	EA S	\$ 200,000.00	\$	200,000
Subtotal - Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling (Trenchless) < 200 Feet, No Boulders 84" 60"		LF S	5,620.15 6.069.77	\$ \$ \$	2,028,320
54" < 200 Feet, With Boulders 84"	125	LF :	5,957.36 6,182.17	\$ \$	- 772,771
60" 54" 2000 - 2000 Feet, No Boulders			6,069.77 5,957.36	\$ \$	-
60" 54" 200 - 2000 Feet, With Boulders		LF LF	4,796.24 4,586.05	Գ Տ Տ	-
84" 60" 54" Shaffs (84")	4,505	LF LF LF	5,921.39 4,964.84 4,754.65	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	26,675,880 - 5 517 746
Mob/Demob (84")	7	EA S	\$ 394,124.09 \$ 400,000.00	ъ \$	2,800,000
Subtotal -				\$	35,766,397

BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>		<u>Unit Cost</u>		Total Cost
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling (Trenchless)						
EPBM			¢		¢	
84 60"		LF	ֆ Տ	5,305.50	Ф \$	-
54"		LF	\$	5,109.65	\$	-
Slurry TBM						
84" 60"		LF	\$	4,864.13	\$	-
54"			ф \$	3,474.30	φ \$	-
			Ŧ	0,120101	Ť	
Shafts (84")		EA	\$	539,599.50	\$	-
Mob/Demob (84")		EA	\$	3,500,000.00	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Added Sitework Costs						
Intersection Traffic Control (Open Cut)	0	EA	\$	78,500.00	\$	-
Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchless)		EA	\$	12,500.00	\$	-
Raised Median (demo & replace)	600	LF	ъ \$	214.44 202.94	ъ \$	- 121,762
Subtotal -					\$	121,762
Added Pipeline Costs						
84"	6	EA	\$	134.883.69	\$	809.302
60"		EA	\$	131,511.60	\$	-
54"		EA	\$	128,139.51	\$	-
Major Intersection Crossings	0	FΔ	¢	800 224 60	¢	_
60"	0	EA	\$	891,806.00	\$	-
54"		EA	\$	849,767.25	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	809,302
Geotechnical Added Costs						
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones			.		۴	
84 60"		EA EA	ې ¢	574 284 19	¢ ¢	
54"		EA	÷	380,208.12	\$	-
Dewatering						
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	880	LF	\$	30.87	\$	27,170
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	12,875		¢ ¢	6.17 6.17	¢	79,502 15,684
Construction Method 3B & C - River Channel	2,040	LF	\$	8.82	\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	240	LF	\$	49.99	\$	11,997
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	4,630	LF	\$	35.29	\$	163,371
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling Permeable Soils	0	LF	\$	44.11	\$	-
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	880	LF	\$	15.44	\$	13,585
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	12,875	LF	\$	3.09	\$	39,751
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	2,540	LF	\$	3.09	\$	7,842
Construction Method 3B & C - River Channel Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	0 240		¢ £	4.41 24 99	ф 5	5 999
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	4,630	LF	\$	17.64	\$	81,686
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	\$	22.05	\$	-
Total Open Cut Direct Costs					\$	22.930.105
Total Trenchless Direct Costs					Ŝ	38.057.770



550 S. Hope Street, Suite 2250, Los Angeles, California 90071

B&V Project 410259

FINAL DRAFT SUBMITTAL

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA

Pure Water Feasibility Study

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

June, 2022

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	Total Cost
Direct Costs - Open Cut		\$ 33,268,517
General Requirement - Open Cut	15%	\$ 4,990,277
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut	15%	\$ 4,990,277
Contingencies - Open Cut	35%	\$ 15,137,175
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut	3.6%	\$ 2,090,457
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT		\$ 60,500,000
Direct Costs - Trenchless		\$ 21,701,535
General Requirement - Trenchless	15%	\$ 3,255,230
General Contractor OH&P - Trenchless	15%	\$ 3,255,230
Contingencies - Trenchless	35%	\$ 9,874,198
Bonds & Insurance - Trenchless	3.6%	\$ 1,363,635
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS		\$ 39,400,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST - WITHOUT CONTIGENCY		\$ 74,900,000

AFFENDIA C BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Cost</u>		<u>Total Cost</u>
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 84" 60" 54"	6,420	LF LF LF	5 1,839.36 5 1,367.30 5 1,341.71	\$ \$ \$	11,808,723 - -
Subtotal -				\$	11,808,723
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) 84" 60" 54"	15,575	LF S LF S LF S	5 1,308.72 5 843.89 5 793.47	\$ \$ \$	20,383,359 - -
Subtotal -				\$	20,383,359
Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank) 84" 60" 54"		LF S LF S LF S	5 1,317.74 5 835.56 5 786.09	\$ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 3B - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Earthen Channel) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	2,159.54 1,533.17 1,438.70	\$ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 3C - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Concrete Lined Channel) 84" 60" 54"		LF S LF S LF S	2,352.47 1,685.24 1,585.59	\$ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore (Trenchless) < 200 Feet 84" 60" 54"	420	LF S	6 4,496.12 6 4,383.72 6 4,271.32	\$ \$	1,888,372
200 - 2000 Feet 84" 60" 54"	230	LF S LF S LF S	4,496.12 4,459.03 4,248.84	\$ \$ \$ \$	- 1,034,108 - -
Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")	10 5	EA S EA S	374,625.47 200,000.00	\$ \$	3,746,255 1,000,000
Subtotal -				\$	7,668,735
Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling (Trenchless) < 200 Feet, No Boulders 84" 60" 54"		LF S LF S	5,620.15 6,069.77 5 5,957.36	\$ \$ \$	-
< 200 Feet, With Boulders 84" 60" 54"		LF S LF S LF S	6,182.17 6,069.77 5,957.36	\$ \$ \$	- -
200 - 2000 Feet, No Boulders 84" 60" 54" 2000 Feet, With Boulders		LF S LF S LF S	5,620.15 6,796.24 6,586.05	\$ \$ \$	- - -
200 - 2000 Feet, with Boulders 84" 60" 54" Shafts (84")	1,950 4	LF LF LF EA	5,921.39 6,964.84 6,754.65 5,921.39 4,964.84 6,754.65 394.124.69	\$ \$ \$ \$	11,546,718 - - 1,576 499
Mob/Demob (84")	2	EAS	400,000.00	\$	800,000
Subtotal -				\$	13,923,217

AFPEINDIX C – BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

Item Description	Quantity	Unit		Unit Cost		Total Cost
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling (Trenchless)						
EPBM			•		•	
84"			\$	5,365.56	\$	-
54"			ֆ Տ	5,121.94	ֆ Տ	-
Slurry TBM			Ψ	0,100.00	Ψ	
84"		LF	\$	4,864.13	\$	-
60"		LF	\$	3,474.38	\$	-
54"		LF	\$	3,126.94	\$	-
Shafts (84")		EA	\$	539,599,50	\$	-
Mob/Demob (84")		EA	\$	3,500,000.00	\$	-
					•	
Subtotal -					\$	-
Added Sitework Costs						
Intersection Traffic Control (Open Cut)	2	EA	\$	78,500.00	\$	176,473
Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchiess)	250	LF	¢ ¢	12,500.00	¢ ¢	- 53 610
Raised Median (demo & replace)	200	LF	\$	202.94	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	230,083
Added Pipeline Costs						
Major Utility Crossings						
84"	6	EA	\$	134,883.69	\$	809,302
60" 54"		EA	\$	131,511.60	\$	-
54" Major Intersection Crossings		EA	\$	128,139.51	\$	-
84"	0	FA	\$	899 224 60	\$	-
60"	· ·	EA	\$	891,806.00	\$	-
54"		EA	\$	849,767.25	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	809,302
Geotechnical Added Costs						
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones						
84"		EA	\$	1,199,973.51	\$	-
54"		EA FA	1 9	380 208 12	ֆ Տ	-
Dewatering		<u> </u>	4		Ψ	
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	0	LF	\$	30.87	\$	-
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	4,000	LF	\$	6.17	\$	24,700
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	0		\$ ¢	6.17	\$ ¢	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	85	LF	φ S	0.02 49.99	φ \$	4 249
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	1,950	LF	\$	35.29	\$	68,807
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	\$	44.11	\$	-
Permeable Soils						
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	0		\$	15.44	\$	-
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	4,000 0		φ S	3.09	φ \$	12,350
Construction Method 3B & C - River Channel	0 0	LF	\$	4.41	\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	85	LF	\$	24.99	\$	2,124
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	1,950	LF	\$	17.64	\$	34,403
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	\$	22.05	\$	-
Total Open Cut Direct Costs					¢	33 268 517
Total Trenchless Direct Costs					\$	21.701.535



550 S. Hope Street, Suite 2250, Los Angeles, California 90071

B&V Project 410259

FINAL DRAFT SUBMITTAL

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA

Pure Water Feasibility Study

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

June, 2022

LIVE OAK AVE AND RIVERGRADE RD TO LARIO PARK ENTRANCE SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	Total Cost
Direct Costs - Open Cut		\$ 46,943,956
General Requirement - Open Cut	15%	\$ 7,041,593
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut	15%	\$ 7,041,593
Contingencies - Open Cut	35%	\$ 21,359,500
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut	3.6%	\$ 2,949,765
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT		\$ 85,300,000
		<u> </u>
Direct Costs - Trenchless		\$ 11,737,861
General Requirement - Trenchless	15%	\$ 1,760,679
General Contractor OH&P - Trenchless	15%	\$ 1,760,679
Contingencies - Trenchless	35%	\$ 5,340,727
Bonds & Insurance - Trenchless	3.6%	\$ 737,559
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS		\$ 21,300,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST - WITHOUT CONTIGENCY		\$ 80,000,000

AFFENDIA C BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

LIVE OAK AVE AND RIVERGRADE RD TO LARIO PARK ENTRANCE SUMMARY

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>		Unit Cost		Total Cost
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 84" 60" 54"	22,737	LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	1,839.36 1,367.30 1,341.71	\$ \$ \$	41,821,640 - -
Subtotal -					\$	41,821,640
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	1,308.72 843.89 793.47	\$ \$ \$	
Subtotal -					\$	-
Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	1,317.74 835.56 786.09	\$ \$ \$	- - -
Subtotal -					\$	-
Construction Method 3B - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Earthen Channel) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	2,159.54 1,533.17 1,438.70	\$ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Construction Method 3C - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Concrete Lined Channel) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	2,352.47 1,685.24 1,585.59	\$ \$ \$	- - -
Subtotal -					\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore (Trenchless) < 200 Feet 84" 60" 54"	180	LF LF LF	\$\$\$	4,496.12 4,383.72 4,271.32	\$\$\$	809,302 - -
200 - 2000 Feet 84" 60" 54" Shafts (84")	283 6	LF LF LF EA	\$ \$ \$ \$	4,496.12 4,459.03 4,248.84 374,625.47	\$\$\$\$	1,272,403 - - 2,247,753
Mob/Demob (84")	3	EA	\$	200,000.00	\$	600,000
Subtotal -					\$	4,929,458
Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling (Trenchless) < 200 Feet, No Boulders 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	5,620.15 6,069.77 5,957.36	\$\$	- -
< 200 Feet, With Boulders 84" 60" 54" 2000 Feet Ne Baulders		LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	6,182.17 6,069.77 5,957.36	\$ \$ \$	- - -
200 - 2000 Feet, NO boulders 84" 60" 54" 2000 Feet With Reulders	1,000	LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	5,620.15 4,796.24 4,586.05	\$ \$ \$	5,620,154 - -
84" 60" 54" Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")	2 1	LF LF EA EA	\$\$\$\$\$	5,921.39 4,964.84 4,754.65 394,124.69 400,000.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - 788,249 400,000
Subtotal -					\$	6,808,403

BLACK & VEATCH BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

LIVE OAK AVE AND RIVERGRADE RD TO LARIO PARK ENTRANCE SUMMARY

Item Description	Quantity	Unit		Unit Cost		Total Cost
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling (Trenchless)						
EPBM			•	5 005 50	•	
84" 60"			¢ ¢	5,365.56	¢ ¢	-
54"		LF	\$	5,109.65	\$	-
Slurry TBM						
84"		LF	\$	4,864.13	\$	-
50" 54"			ֆ Տ	3,474.30	ֆ Տ	-
		<u> </u>	Ψ	0,120.01	Ψ	
Shafts (84")		EA	\$	539,599.50	\$	-
Mob/Demob (84")		EA	\$	3,500,000.00	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Added Sitework Costs						
Intersection Traffic Control (Open Cut)	15	EA	\$	78,500.00	\$	1,323,546
Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchiess)	1 553	LF	\$ \$	12,500.00	\$ \$	14,050
Raised Median (demo & replace)	1,500	LF	\$	202.94	\$	304,406
Subtotal -					\$	1,975,030
Added Pipeline Costs						
Major Utility Crossings						
84"	10	EA	\$	134,883.69	\$	1,348,837
50" 54"		EA FA	ֆ Տ	131,511.60	ֆ Տ	-
Major Intersection Crossings		<u> </u>	Ψ	120,100.01	Ψ	
84"	2	EA	\$	899,224.60	\$	1,798,449
60" 54"		EA EA	\$ \$	891,806.00 849,767.25	\$ \$	-
Subtotal -					\$	3,147,286
Geotechnical Added Costs						
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones		-	.	400 070 54	•	
84" 60"		EA	\$ ¢	574 284 10	¢	-
54"		EA	ę	380,208.12	\$	-
Dewatering						
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	0	LF	\$	30.87	\$	-
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	0	LF	ֆ Տ	6.17	ъ \$	-
Construction Method 3B & C - River Channel	0 0	LF	\$	8.82	\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	0	LF	\$	49.99	\$	-
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	0		\$	35.29	\$ ¢	-
Permeable Soils	0	LI	φ	44.11	φ	-
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	0	LF	\$	15.44	\$	-
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	0	LF	\$	3.09	\$	-
Construction Method 3A - Kiver Bank	0	나	¢	3.09	\$ ¢	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	0	LF	\$	24.99	\$	-
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	0	LF	\$	17.64	\$	-
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	\$	22.05	\$	-
Total Open Cut Direct Costs					\$	46.943.956
Total Trenchless Direct Costs					Ś	11.737.861



550 S. Hope Street, Suite 2250, Los Angeles, California 90071 B&V Project 410259

FINAL DRAFT SUBMITTAL

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA

Pure Water Feasibility Study ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

June, 2022

LARIO PARK ENTRANCE TO CANYON SPREADING GROUNDS SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	Total Cost
Direct Costs - Open Cut		\$ 7,128,944
General Requirement - Open Cut	15%	\$ 1,069,342
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut	15%	\$ 1,069,342
Contingencies - Open Cut	35%	\$ 3,243,670
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut	3.6%	\$ 447,954
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT		\$ 13,000,000
Direct Costs - Trenchless		\$ 872,200
General Requirement - Trenchless	15%	\$ 130,830
General Contractor OH&P - Trenchless	15%	\$ 130,830
Contingencies - Trenchless	35%	\$ 396,851
Bonds & Insurance - Trenchless	3.6%	\$ 54,805
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS		\$ 1,600,000
Direct and Indirect Costs - Vault Structure and Basin Outelts		\$ 1,100,000
Contingencies - Trenchless	35%	\$ 385,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST - WITHOUT CONTIGENCY		\$ 12,000,000

BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

LARIO PARK ENTRANCE TO CANYON SPREADING GROUNDS SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	Unit Cost		Total Cost
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 84" 60"		LF I F	\$ 1,839.36 \$ 1,367.30	\$ \$	-
54"		ĹF	\$ 1,341.71	\$	-
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) 84"		LF	\$ 1.308.72	\$	-
66" 60"		LF LF	\$ 907.69 \$ 843.89	\$ \$	-
54" 48"	10,320	LF LF	\$ 793.47 \$ 678.77	\$ \$	- 7,004,944
Subtotal -				\$	7,004,944
Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank) 84"		LF	\$ 1.317.74	\$	-
60" 54"		LF	\$ 835.56 \$ 786.09	\$ \$	-
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 3B - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Earthen Channel) 84"		IF	\$ 2 159 54	\$	
60" 54"		LF LF	\$ 1,533.17 \$ 1,438.70	\$ \$	-
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 3C - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Concrete Lined Channel)			¢ 0.050.47	¢	
64 60" 54"			\$ 2,352.47 \$ 1,685.24 \$ 1,585.59	ծ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -			• 1,000.00	\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore (Trenchless)					
84" 66"		LF	\$ 4,496.12 \$ 4,533.72	\$ \$	-
60" 54"		LF LF	\$ 4,383.72 \$ 4,271.32	\$ \$	-
48" 200 - 2000 Feet	80	LF	\$ 3,840.00	\$	307,200
84" 60"		LF LF	\$ 4,496.12 \$ 4,459.03	\$ \$	-
54" 48"		LF	\$ 4,248.84	\$	-
Shafts (48") Mob/Demob (48")	2 1	EA EA	\$ 200,000.00 \$ 165,000.00	\$ \$	400,000 165,000
Subtotal -				\$	872,200
Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling (Trenchless) < 200 Feet. No Boulders					
84" 60"		LF LF	\$ 5,620.15 \$ 6,069.77	\$ \$	-
54" < 200 Feet, With Boulders		LF	\$ 5,957.36	\$	-
84" 60"		LF LF	\$ 6,182.17 \$ 6,069.77	\$ \$	-
54" 200 - 2000 Feet, No Boulders		LF	\$ 5,957.36	\$	-
84" 60"		LF LF	\$ 5,620.15 \$ 4,796.24	\$ \$	-
54" 200 - 2000 Feet, With Boulders		LF	\$ 4,586.05	\$	-
84" 60"		LF	\$ 5,921.39 \$ 4,964.84	\$ \$	-
54" Shafts (84")		LF EA	\$ 4,754.65 \$ 394,124.69	\$ \$	-
Mob/Demob (84")		ÉA	\$ 400,000.00	\$	-
อนมเงเสเ -				\$	-

BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

LARIO PARK ENTRANCE TO CANYON SPREADING GROUNDS SUMMARY

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>		Unit Cost		Total Cost
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling (Trenchless) EPBM 8/4"		IF	¢	5 365 56	¢	
60" 54" Slurey TBM		LF LF	\$ \$	5,121.94 5,109.65	\$ \$	-
84" 60" 54"		LF LF I F	\$ \$ \$	4,864.13 3,474.38 3 126 94	\$ \$ \$	-
Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")		EA EA	\$ \$	539,599.50 3,500,000.00	\$ \$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Vault Structure and Basin Outlets Single vault with multiple PRVs and two separate outlet structures	1	EA	\$	1,100,000.00	\$	1,100,000
Subtotal -					\$	1,100,000
Added Sitework Costs Intersection Traffic Control (Open Cut) Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchless) Landscaped Median (demo & replace) Raised Median (demo & replace)		EA EA LF LF	\$ \$ \$ \$	78,500.00 12,500.00 214.44 202.94	\$ \$ \$ \$	
Subtotal -					\$	-
Added Pipeline Costs Major Utility Crossings 84" 66"		EA EA	\$	134,883.69 136,511.60	\$	-
60" 54" 48"	1	EA EA EA	\$ \$ \$	131,511.60 128,139.51 124,000.00	\$ \$ \$	- - 124,000
Major Intersection Crossings 84" 60" 54"		EA EA FA	\$ \$ \$	899,224.60 891,806.00 849 767 25	\$ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -		2.1	Ť	0.10,1.01.120	\$	124,000
Geotechnical Added Costs						
84" 66" 60" 54"	3	EA EA EA EA	\$ 00 00 00 00	1,199,973.51 \$689,030.85 \$574,284.19 \$380,208.12 \$136,000.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - 408,000
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement Construction Method 3A - River Bank Construction Method 3B & C - River Channel Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	LF LF LF LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	30.87 6.17 6.17 8.82 49.99 35.29 44.11	\$\$\$\$\$	
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement Construction Method 3A - River Bank Construction Method 3B & C - River Channel Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	LF LF LF LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	15.44 3.09 3.09 4.41 24.99 17.64 22.05	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
Total Open Cut Direct Costs Total Trenchless Direct Costs Total Vault Structure Direct and Indirect Costs Direct Costs					\$\$	7,128,944 872,200 1,100,000

\$119,000,000.00

BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Pure Water Supply System Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Backbone Pump Stations Phase 1

Assumptions

This preliminary Opinion of Probable Construction Cost used the cost developed during the FLDR escalated to June 2022 dollars.
 More detailed cost estimates should be completed during subsequent design phases

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Cost</u> \$	<u>Total Cost</u> \$
Pump Station at Whittier Narrows			Ŧ	Ŧ
Pump Station at Whittier Narrows	1	each	\$ 58,100,000.00	\$ 58,100,000.00
DPR PS 1 - Set A 40 MGD @ 200 feet of lift; Set B 35 MGD	1	each	\$ 30,312,396.41	\$ 30,000,000.00
Total Direct and Indirect Costs - Pump Station at Whittier Narrows				\$ 88,000,000.00
Contingency	35%			\$ 31,000,000.00

TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST - Pump Station at Whittier Narrows



550 S. Hope Street, Suite 2250, Los Angeles, California 90071 B&V Project 410259

FINAL DRAFT SUBMITTAL

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Pure Water Feasibility Study

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

June, 2022

SANTA FE LATERAL TO UNITED ROCK PIT 3 SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Total Cost</u>
Direct Costs - Open Cut		\$ 4,177,782
General Requirement - Open Cut	15%	\$ 626,667
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut	15%	\$ 626,667
Contingencies - Open Cut	35%	\$ 1,900,891
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut	3.6%	\$ 262,515
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT		\$ 7,600,000
Direct Costs - Trenchless		\$ 3,616,072
General Requirement - Trenchless	15%	\$ 542,411
General Contractor OH&P - Trenchless	15%	\$ 542,411
Contingencies - Trenchless	35%	\$ 1,645,313
Bonds & Insurance - Trenchless	3.6%	\$ 227,219
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS		\$ 6,600,000
Direct and Indirect Costs - Vault Structure and Basin Outelts		\$ 1,850,000
Contingencies - Trenchless	35%	\$ 647,500
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST - WITHOUT CONTIGENCY		\$ 12,500,000

AFFENDIA C BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

SANTA FE LATERAL TO UNITED ROCK PIT 3 SUMMARY

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Cost</u>		Total Cost
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ 1,839.36 \$ 1,367.30 \$ 1,341.71	\$ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) 84" 66" 60" 54"		LF LF LF LF	\$ 1,308.72 \$ 907.69 \$ 843.89 \$ 793.47	\$\$\$\$	- - -
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank) 84" 60" 54"	5,000	LF LF LF	\$ 1,317.74 \$ 835.56 \$ 786.09	\$ \$ \$	- 4,177,782 -
Subtotal -				\$	4,177,782
Construction Method 3B - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Earthen Channel) 84" 60" 54" Subtotal		LF LF LF	\$ 2,159.54 \$ 1,533.17 \$ 1,438.70	\$ \$ \$ \$	-
Construction Method 20. J AECD Econometri (Onen Cut Conserts Lined Channel)				φ	-
84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ 2,352.47 \$ 1,685.24 \$ 1,585.59	\$ \$ \$	- - -
Subtotal -				\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore (Trenchless) < 200 Feet		LF LF LF LF EA EA	 \$ 4,496.12 \$ 4,383.72 \$ 4,271.32 \$ 4,496.12 \$ 4,496.12 \$ 4,459.03 \$ 4,248.84 \$ 374,625.47 \$ 200,000.00 	\$\$\$	-
Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling (Trenchless)					
< 200 Feet, No Boulders 84" 60" 54" < 200 Feet, With Boulders		LF LF LF	\$	\$ \$ \$	- - -
84" 60" 54" 200 Feet No Boulders	275	LF LF LF	\$ 6,182.17 \$ 6,069.77 \$ 5,957.36	\$ \$ \$	- 1,669,186 -
84" 60" 54" 2000 East With Bauldara		LF LF LF	\$ 5,620.15 \$ 4,796.24 \$ 4,586.05	\$ \$ \$	-
84" 60" 54" Shafts (60") Mob/Demob (60")	4 2	LF LF EA EA	\$ 5,921.39 \$ 4,964.84 \$ 4,754.65 \$ 286,721.46 \$ 400,000.00	\$\$\$\$	- - 1,146,886 800,000
Subtotal -				\$	3,616,072

BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

SANTA FE LATERAL TO UNITED ROCK PIT 3 SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	Unit		<u>Unit Cost</u>		Total Cost
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling (Trenchless) EPBM						
84" 60" 54" Shurey TBM		LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	5,365.56 5,121.94 5,109.65	\$ \$ \$	-
84" 60" 54"		LF LF	\$ \$ \$	4,864.13 3,474.38 3 126 94	\$ \$ \$	-
Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")		EA EA	\$ \$	539,599.50 3,500,000.00	\$ \$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Vault Structure and Basin Outlets Single vault with multiple PRVs and two separate outlet structures	1	EA	\$	1,850,000.00	\$	1,850,000
Subtotal -					\$	1,850,000
Added Sitework Costs Intersection Traffic Control (Open Cut) Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchless)		EA EA	\$ \$ \$	78,500.00 12,500.00	\$\$	-
Landscaped Median (demo & replace) Raised Median (demo & replace)		LF LF	\$ \$	214.44 202.94	\$ \$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Added Pipeline Costs Major Utility Crossings 84" 60" 54"		EA EA	\$ \$ 6	134,883.69 131,511.60	\$ \$ 6	-
Major Intersection Crossings 84"		EA	ф \$	899,224.60	э \$	-
60" 54"		EA EA	\$ \$	891,806.00 849,767.25	\$ \$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Geotechnical Added Costs Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones 84"		EA	\$	1,199,973.51	\$	-
60" 54"		EA EA	47 47	574,284.19 380,208.12	\$ \$	-
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement Construction Method 3A - River Bank Construction Method 3B & C - River Channel Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	LF LF LF LF LF LF	\$\$\$\$\$	30.87 6.17 6.17 8.82 49.99 35.29 44.11	\$\$\$\$\$	
Permeable Soils Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement Construction Method 3A - River Bank Construction Method 3B & C - River Channel Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	LF LF LF LF LF LF	\$\$\$\$	15.44 3.09 3.09 4.41 24.99 17.64 22.05	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
Total Open Cut Direct Costs Total Trenchless Direct Costs Total Vault Structure Direct and Indirect Costs Direct Costs					\$ \$ \$	4,177,782 3,616,072 1,850,000



550 S. Hope Street, Suite 2250, Los Angeles, California 90071 B&V Project 410259

FINAL DRAFT SUBMITTAL

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Pure Water Feasibility Study

ENGINEER'S OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

June, 2022

BACKBONE TO SAN GABRIEL COASTAL SPREADING GROUNDS SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	Total Cost
Direct Costs - Open Cut		\$ 417,778
General Requirement - Open Cut	15%	\$ 62,667
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut	15%	\$ 62,667
Contingencies - Open Cut	35%	\$ 190,089
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut	3.6%	\$ 26,251
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT		\$ 800,000
Direct Costs - Trenchless		\$ -
General Requirement - Trenchless	15%	\$ -
General Contractor OH&P - Trenchless	15%	\$ -
Contingencies - Trenchless	35%	\$ -
Bonds & Insurance - Trenchless	3.6%	\$ -
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS		\$ -
Direct and Indirect Costs - Vault Structure and Basin Outelts		\$ 1,850,000
Contingencies - Trenchless	35%	\$ 647,500
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST - WITHOUT CONTIGENCY		\$ 2,400,000

AFFENDIA C BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

BACKBONE TO SAN GABRIEL COASTAL SPREADING GROUNDS SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>		Unit Cost		Total Cost
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	1,839.36 1,367.30 1,341.71	\$ \$ \$	- -
Subtotal -					\$	-
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) 84" 66" 60" 54"		LF LF LF LF	\$\$\$\$	1,308.72 907.69 843.89 793.47	\$ \$ \$ \$	- - - -
Subtotal -					\$	-
Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank) 84" 60" 54"	500	LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	1,317.74 835.56 786.09	\$ \$ \$	- 417,778 -
Subtotal -					\$	417,778
Construction Method 3B - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Earthen Channel) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	2,159.54 1,533.17 1,438.70	\$ \$ \$	- -
Subtotal -					\$	-
Construction Method 3C - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Concrete Lined Channel) 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	2,352.47 1,685.24 1,585.59	\$ \$ \$	- -
Subtotal -					\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore (Trenchless) < 200 Feet		LF LF LF EA EA	\$\$\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	4,496.12 4,383.72 4,271.32 4,496.12 4,459.03 4,248.84 374,625.47 200,000.00	***	- - - - - -
Subtotal -					\$	-
Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling (Trenchless) < 200 Feet, No Boulders 84" 60" 54"		LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	5,620.15 6,069.77 5,957.36	\$ \$ \$	- - -
< 200 Feet, With Boulders 84" 60" 54" 2000 Feet, No Boulders		LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	6,182.17 6,069.77 5,957.36	\$ \$ \$	- - -
84" 60" 54" 200 Eest With Boulders		LF LF LF	\$ \$ \$	5,620.15 4,796.24 4,586.05	\$ \$ \$	- - -
84" 60" 54" Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")		LF LF EA EA	\$\$\$\$	5,921.39 4,964.84 4,754.65 394,124.69 400,000.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-
Sudioiai -					\$	-

BLACK & VEATCH Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Pure Water Conveyance Feasibility Study Opinion of Probable Construction Cost June 2022

BACKBONE TO SAN GABRIEL COASTAL SPREADING GROUNDS SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>		Unit Cost		Total Cost
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling (Trenchless) EPBM			•	5 005 50	•	
84" 60"			\$ ¢	5,365.56	\$ ¢	-
54"		LF	э \$	5,109.65	э \$	-
Slurry TBM			·	-,	•	
84"		LF	\$	4,864.13	\$	-
60" 54"			¢ ¢	3,474.38	¢	-
		L1	Ψ	5,120.34	Ψ	
Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")		EA EA	\$ \$	539,599.50 3,500,000.00	\$ \$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Vault Structure and Basin Outlets Single vault with multiple PRVs and two separate outlet structures	1	EA	\$	1,850,000.00	\$	1,850,000
Subtotal -					\$	1,850,000
Added Sitework Costs		۲.	¢	79 500 00	¢	
Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchless)		FA	ֆ Տ	12 500.00	ъ \$	-
Landscaped Median (demo & replace)		LF	\$	214.44	\$	-
Raised Median (demo & replace)		LF	\$	202.94	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Added Pipeline Costs						
84"		EA	\$	134.883.69	\$	-
60"		EA	\$	131,511.60	\$	-
54"		EA	\$	128,139.51	\$	-
Major Intersection Crossings 84"		FΑ	\$	899 224 60	\$	_
60"		EA	\$	891,806.00	\$	-
54"		EA	\$	849,767.25	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Geotechnical Added Costs						
84"		FA	\$	1 199 973 51	\$	-
60"		EA	\$	574,284.19	\$	-
54"		EA	\$	380,208.12	\$	-
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	0	I F	\$	30.87	\$	-
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	Ő	LF	\$	6.17	\$	-
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	0	LF	\$	6.17	\$	-
Construction Method 3B & C - River Channel	0		\$ ¢	8.82	\$ ¢	-
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	0	LF	ф \$	49.99 35.29	ф \$	-
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	\$	44.11	\$	-
Permeable Soils	0		۴	45 44	¢	
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	0	LF	ф \$	15.44	ֆ \$	-
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	õ	LF	\$	3.09	\$	-
Construction Method 3B & C - River Channel	0	LF	\$	4.41	\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	0		\$	24.99	\$	-
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	\$	22.05	\$	-
Total Open Cut Direct Costs					\$	417,778
Total Trenchless Direct Costs					\$	-
I otal vault Structure Direct and indirect Costs Direct Costs					\$	1,850,000

BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Pure Water Supply System Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Service Connections

Assumptions

This preliminary Opinion of Probable Construction Cost used parametric costs for these facilities
 More detailed cost estimates should be completed during subsequent design phases
 Each service connection sized up to 10-15 MGD

4. Each service connection incudes a flow meter, isolation valve and would be located in below grade vaults

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Cost</u> \$		<u>Total Cost</u> \$
Service Connections - Initial Delivery Package Service Connections	3	each	\$ 3,000,000.00	\$	9,000,000.00
Total Direct and Indirect Costs - Initial Delivery Package Contingency	35%			\$ \$	9,000,000.00 3,000,000.00
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST - INITIAL DELIVERY PAG	CKAGE			\$	12,000,000.00
Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Cost</u> \$		<u>Total Cost</u> \$
Service Connections - Remainder of Backbone Service Connections	6	each	\$ 3,000,000.00	\$	18,000,000.00
Total Direct and Indirect Costs - Remainder of Backbone Contingency	35%			\$ \$	18,000,000.00 6,000,000.00
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST - REMAINDER OF BACK	BONE			\$	24,000,000.00
Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Cost</u> \$		<u>Total Cost</u> \$
Service Connections - DPR Service Connections	0	each	\$ 3,000,000.00	\$	-
Total Direct and Indirect Costs - DPR Pipeline Contingency	35%			\$ \$	
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST - DPR PIPELINE				\$	

BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Pure Water Supply System Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Sectionalizing Valves

Assumptions

- This preliminary Opinion of Probable Construction Cost used parametric costs for these facilities
 More detailed cost estimates should be completed during subsequent design phases
- Up to 7 sectionalizing valves would be constructed at approximately 6 mile spacing
 Sectionalizing valves would be located in below grade vaults

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>		<u>Unit Cost</u> \$		<u>Total Cost</u> \$
Sectionalizing Valve and Vault Initial Delivery Package	1	each	\$	5,000,000.00	\$	5,000,000.00
Total Direct and Indirect Costs - Initial Delivery Package Contingency	35%				\$ \$	5,000,000.00 2,000,000.00
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST - INITIAL DELIVERY PAC	KAGE				\$	7,000,000.00
Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>		<u>Unit Cost</u> \$		<u>Total Cost</u> \$
Sectionalizing Valve and Vault Remainder of Backbone	6	each	\$	5,000,000.00	\$	30,000,000.00
Total Direct and Indirect Costs - Remainder of Backbone Contingency	35%				\$ \$	30,000,000.00 11,000,000.00
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST - REMAINDER OF BACKBONE					\$	41,000,000.00

BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Pure Water Supply System Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Utility Relocation Allowance

Assumptions
1. An allowance has been provided for utility relocations along the pipeline. This allowance is based on the best available information.
2. This preliminary engineers Opinion of Probable Construction Cost uses parametric costs for smaller diameter piping of \$35/in diam If
3. More detailed cost estimates should be completed during subsequent design phases

Item Description	Quantity		on Quantity Unit		Quantity Unit Unit Cost			Unit Cost		<u>Unit Cost</u> ¢		Unit Cost		<u>Unit Cost</u> ¢		<u>Unit Cost</u> ¢		<u>Total Cost</u> ¢
Utility Relocation				Φ		Φ												
24"	1,000	lf	\$	840.00	\$	840,000.00												
20"	1.000	lf	\$	700.00	\$	700.000.00												
18"	1,000	lf	\$	630.00	\$	630,000.00												
16"	3,000	lf	\$	560.00	\$	1,680,000.00												
12"	3,000	lf	\$	420.00	\$	1,260,000.00												
8"	28,461	lf	\$	280.00	\$	7,969,080.00												
6"	33,597	lf	\$	210.00	\$	7,055,370.00												
4"	1,500	lf	\$	140.00	\$	210,000.00												
3"	1,000	lf	\$	105.00	\$	105,000.00												
Total Direct and Indirect Costs					\$	20.000.000.00												
Contingency	35%				\$	7,000,000.00												

TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST

\$ 27,000,000.00

BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Pure Water Supply System Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Hazardous Soils and Groundwater Allowance

Assumptions

Hazardous soils removal and/or remediation was not studied as part of this phase of work.
 A placeholder cost has been included until Metropolitan can update it.

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	Unit Cost \$	Ī	otal Cost \$
Hazardous Soils Allowance Assumed 5 percent of pipeline costs	5%	%	Pipeline Costs	\$	-
Total Direct and Indirect Costs Contingency	35%			\$ \$	
TOTAL PROBABLE COST				\$	

BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Pure Water Supply System Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Fiber Optics Allowance

Assumptions

1. 4-inch duct with 48 count fiber.

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Cost</u> \$		<u>Total Cost</u> \$
Fiber Optics Allowance Fiber Optics on Backbone	42	mi	\$ 200,000.00	\$	9,000,000.00
Total Direct and Indirect Costs Contingency	35%			\$ \$	9,000,000.00 3,000,000.00
TOTAL PROBABLE COST				\$	12,000,000.00

BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Pure Water Supply System Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Repurposing Azusa Pipeline

Assumptions

This preliminary engineers Opinion of Probable Construction Cost uses parametric costs for smaller diameter piping of \$40/in diam lf
 More detailed cost estimates should be completed during subsequent design phases

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Cost</u> \$	<u>Total Cost</u> \$
New Piping to Reach Azusa Pipeline 30"	7,100	lf	\$ 1,200	\$ 8,520,000
Improvements at Big Dalton PRS				
30" up to 1000 feet	1,000	lf	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,200,000
Valve Vault with isolation valve	1	each	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
Allowance for connection to existing pipeline	2	each	\$ 40,000	\$ 80,000
Isolation and Control Valving at La Verne Pipeline				
30" up to 250 feet	250	lf	\$ 450	\$ 112,500
Valve Vault with isolation valve and control valves	1	each	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000
Allowance for connection to existing pipeline	1	each	\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000
New Pump Stations				
25 mgd pump station @ ~370 feet of head	2	each	\$ 20,500,000	\$ 41,000,000
Total Direct and Indirect Costs				\$ 52,000,000
Contingency	35%			\$ 18,000,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST				\$ 70,000,000

BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Pure Water Supply System Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Operational Storage at Weymouth

Assumptions

This preliminary engineers Opinion of Probable Construction Cost uses parametric costs for storage tanks of \$2/gallon
 More detailed cost estimates should be completed during subsequent design phases

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Cost</u> \$	<u>Total Cost</u> \$
Operational Storage Tank Up to 5 MG	5,000,000	Gallon	\$ 2.00	\$ 10,000,000.00
Total Direct and Indirect Costs Contingency	35%			\$ 10,000,000.00 \$ 4,000,000.00
TOTAL PROBABLE COST				\$ 14,000,000.00

Details on Typical Unit Costs for Each Construction Method

BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Pure Water Supply System Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Construction Method 1 - Roadways

 Construction metaled

 Assumptions

 1 Units listed as a reas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 2 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 3 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 4 Asphalt Paving is assumed to be of thick

 5 For Every linear foot of pipe there will be a linear foot of temporary fencing

 6 For every 8 feet of pipe there will be 1 foot of fabric silf fence

 7 Pipe joint welds will be inspected every 40 ft

 8 Pipe joints will be welded every 40 ft

 9 Air Vacum/Arr Release Valves are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.

 10 Blow offs are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.

 11 Speed shoring is the standard shoring method

 12 Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to June 2022 dollars using EINR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

 August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25

 June 2022 EINR CCI for LA: 13488.65

 Escalation % 12.4%

Calculate Cost per Linear Foot for Construction Method 1 - 84-inch Pipe

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit C</u>	<u>Cost (2022)</u> \$		Total Cost \$	Notes
Demolition							
Sawcutting	2.000	LF	\$	2.41	\$	4.83	Quantity = 2 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Asphalt Paving Removal	15.000	SF	\$	0.90	\$	13.57	Quantity = (Trench Width + 4 ft) X 1 LF of Pipe
1" Milling	2.333	SY	\$	1.93	\$	4.50	Quantity = (Width of construction zone - (Trench Width + 4ft)) X 1 LF of Pipe
Transportation and Disposal Fees (Recycle A/C)	0.278	CY	\$	241.28	\$	67.02	Quantity = (AC Paving Removal X Thickness X 1 LF)/27
Subtotal					\$	89.92	Per linear foot
Site Work							
Temporary Fencing	1.000	LF	\$	7.24	\$	7.24	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Traffic Control	1.000	LF	\$	34.80	\$	34.80	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Sweeper & Water Truck	1.000	LF	ş	44.54	\$	44.54	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Dust Control	1.000		ş	41.76	\$	41.76	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Survey & Layout	1.000	LF	\$	180.96	\$	180.96	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Cos	0.001	15	¢	2 950 12	¢	2.25	Quantity = overage of 2.1 mile comple cogments
Gas Telephone/Cable TV	0.001		e e	2,009.13	ф ¢	0.16	Quantity = average of 2.1 mile sample segments
Electric	0.001	LE	ç	1 435 59	¢ ¢	0.10	Quantity = average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Sewer	0.001	LE	ç	434.30	¢ ¢	0.02	Quantity = average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Water	0.002	LE	ŝ	434.30	ŝ	0.00	Quantity = average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Erosion Control	0.001		Ŷ	404.00	Ψ	0.20	quantity - average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Eabric Silt Fence - Installation & Maintenance	0.125	LE	s	3.62	\$	0.45	Quantity = 1 ft of silt fence per 8 ft of pipe
Hay Rolls	0.019	LF	ŝ	4.83	\$	0.09	Quantity = 1 ft of hay roll per 52 ft of pipe
					•	045.00	
Subtotal					\$	315.22	Per linear toot
Earthwork							
Mass Trench Excavation - Vertical Trenching	6.60	CY	\$	12.06	\$	79.67	Quantity = (Trench Depth X Width X 1 LF) / 27
Trench Shoring	31.58	SF	\$	2.41	\$	76.20	Quantity = Trench Depth X 1 LF of Pipe X 2
Load/Haul Excavated Soils to Laydown Area	6.60	CY	\$	4.22	\$	27.89	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding & Pipe Cover	0.96	CY	ş	38.60	\$	37.08	Quantity = (((Trench Width X ½ Pipe Dia) - (½ Pipe Area)) X 1 LF)/27
Fine Grading & Compaction	1.255	SY	ş	2.41	\$	3.03	Quantity = ((Irench Width) X 1 LF)/9
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	4.097	CY	ş	4.22	\$	17.30	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soli	4.097	CY	\$	21.71	\$	88.97	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Rough Surface Compaction	1.255	SY	э S	3.62	э \$	4.54	Quantity = Ene Grading & Compaction
Subtotal			÷		¢	361.00	
					φ	301.30	
Pipeline	1 000	I E	e	612 70	¢	612 70	Quantity = 1 E par 1 E of Bing
84" WSP CML Biralias Install J. & EQ	1.000		\$	613.72	\$	613.72	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Wolding Dine Jointo	0.025		ş	100.09 E 066 91	ф с	100.09	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Welding Inspections	0.025	ΕΔ	ç	506.68	¢ ¢	12 67	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pine
Hydrostatic Testing	1 000	LF	ŝ	1.81	ŝ	1.81	Quantity = 1 E per 1 E of Pipe
Cathodic Protection	1.000		Ŷ	1.01	φ	1.01	
Anode Bed	1.000	LE	s	3.33	\$	3.33	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Incidentals (Test Stations)	1.000	LF	ŝ	0.46	ŝ	0.46	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves	0.0004	EA	ŝ	13,270.21	\$	5.31	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Blow Off Assembly	0.0004	EA	\$	12,063.82	\$	4.83	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Subtotal					\$	937.69	Per linear foot
Site Restoration							
Asphalt Paving	1.667	SY	s	65.14	\$	108.57	Quantity = Asphalt Paving Removal / 9
1" Asphalt Overlay	2,333	SY	š	1.51	ŝ	3.52	Quantity = Milling / 9
General Site Restoration	36.000	SF	ŝ	0.60	ŝ	21.71	Quantity = Width of Const Zone per 1 LF of Pipe
Final Site Cleanup	0.001	AC	\$	603.19	\$	0.83	Quantity = ((Width of Const Zone + Travel Zone) X 1 LF of Pipe)/43560
Subtotal					\$	134.64	Per linear foot
Total Cost per Linear Foot					\$	1,839.36	Per linear foot

BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Pure Water Supply System Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement

 Assumptions

 1 Units listed as LF are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 2 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 3 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 4 For Every linear foot of pipe there will be a linear foot of the morary fencing

 5 For every 8 feet of pipe there will be 1 foot of fabric silt fence

 6 Pipe joints will be welded every 40 ft

 7 Pipe joints will be welded every 40 ft

 8 Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.

 9 Blow offs are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.

 10 Speed shoring is the standard shoring method

 11 Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to June 2022 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

 August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.3

 June 2022 ENR CCI for LA: 13488.7

 Escalation % 12.4%

Calculate Cost per Linear Foot for Construction Method 2 - 84-inch Pipe

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Uni</u>	<u>t Cost (2022)</u> \$		<u>Total Cos</u> \$	t <u>Notes</u>
Demolition	0.001	40	¢	4 462 64	¢	2 60	Quantity = //Midth of Canat Zana Travel Zana) V 1 F of Dina)/42560
Cleaning and Grubbing	0.001	AC	φ	4,403.01	φ	3.09	Quantity - ((width of Const Zone + Travel Zone) X 1 LF of Pipe//43500
Subtotal					\$	3.69	Per LF
Site Work							
Temporary Fencing	2.000	LF	\$	7.24	\$	14.48	Quantity = 2 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Dust Control	1.000	LF	\$	8.35	\$	8.35	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Survey & Layout	1.000	LF	\$	36.19	\$	36.19	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Elosion Control Eabric Silt Fence - Installation & Maintenance	0 125	LE	\$	3.62	\$	0.45	$\Omega_{\rm H}$ = 1 ft of silt fence per 8 ft of pipe
Hay Rolls	0.019	LF	\$	4.83	\$	0.09	Quantity = 1 ft of hay roll per 52 ft of pipe
Subtotal					\$	59.56	Per LF
Earthwork							
Mass Trench Excavation - Vertical Trenching	4.93	CY	\$	12.06	\$	59.49	Quantity = (Trench Depth X Width X 1 LF) / 27
Trench Shoring	23.58	SF	\$	2.41	\$	56.90	Quantity = Trench Depth X 1 LF of Pipe X 2
Load/Haul Excavated Soils to Laydown Area	4.93	CY	\$	4.22	\$	20.82	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding & Pipe Cover	0.96	CY	\$	38.60	\$	37.08	Quantity = (((Trench Width X ½ Pipe Dia) - (½ Pipe Area)) X 1 LF)/27
Fine Grading & Compaction	1.255	SY	\$	2.41	\$	3.03	Quantity = ((Trench Width) X 1 LF) / 9
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	2.424	CY	\$	4.22	\$	10.24	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	2.424	CY	\$	21.71	\$	52.64	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils Rough Surface Compaction	2.507 1.255	CY SY	\$ \$	10.86 3.62	\$ \$	27.22 4.54	Quantity = Excavation - Laydown Soils Quantity = Fine Grading & Compaction
Subtotal					\$	271.96	Per LF
Pipeline							
84" WSP CML	1.000	LF	\$	613.72	\$	613.72	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Pipeline Install - L & EQ	1.000	LF	\$	168.89	\$	168.89	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Welding Pipe Joints	0.025	EA	\$	5,066.81	\$	126.67	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Welding Inspections	0.025	EA	\$	506.68	\$	12.67	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Hydrostatic Testing	1.000	LF	\$	1.81	\$	1.81	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Cathodic Protection							
Anode Bed	1.000	LF	\$	16.67	\$	16.67	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Incidentals (Test Stations)	1.000	LF	\$	0.46	\$	0.46	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves	0.000	EA	\$	13,270.21	\$	5.31	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Blow Off Assembly	0.000	EA	\$	12,063.82	\$	4.83	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Subtotal					\$	951.02	Per LF
Site Restoration							
General Site Restoration	36.000	SF	\$	0.60	\$	21.71	Quantity = Width of Const Zone per 1 LF of Pipe
Final Site Cleanup	0.001	AC	\$	603.19	\$	0.78	Quantity = ((Width of Const Zone + Travel Zone) X 1 LF of Pipe)/43560
Subtotal					\$	22.49	Per LF
Total Cost per Linear Foot					\$	1,308.72	Per LF

BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Pure Water Supply System Opinion of Probabie Construction Cost

Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank)

 Construction means are sort of the Construction Method

 1 Units listed as a reas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 2 Units listed as a reas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 3 Units listed as a reas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the construction Method

 4 For Every linear foot of pipe there will be a linear foot of the construction Method

 5 For every Set of pipe there will be a linear foot of the construction Method

 6 Pipe joint welds will be inspected every 40 ft

 7 Pipe joint welds will be inspected every 40 ft

 8 Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.

 9 Biow offs are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.

 10 Speed shoring is the standard shoring method

 11 Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to June 2022 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

 August 2018 ENR CCl for LA: 12000.25

 9 June 2022 ENR CCl for LA: 124%

August 2018 ENR CCI for LA	: 120
June 2022 ENR CCI for LA	134

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Ur</u>	it Cost (2022) \$		Total Cost \$	Notes
Demolition							
Clearing and Grubbing	0.001	AC	\$	4,764.91	\$	3.94	Quantity = (Width of Const Zone X 1 LF of Pipe)/43560
Subtotal					\$	3.94	Per LF
Site Work							
Temporary Fencing	2,000	LE	\$	7.73	s	15.45	Quantity = 2 F per 1 F of pipe
Dust Control	1.000	LF	\$	8.92	ŝ	8.92	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Survey & Layout	1.000	LF	\$	38.63	ŝ	38.63	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Erosion Control							, , ,,
Fabric Silt Fence - Installation & Maintenance	0.125	LF	\$	3.86	\$	0.48	Quantity = 1 ft of silt fence per 8 ft of pipe
Hay Rolls	0.019	LF	\$	5.15	\$	0.10	Quantity = 1 ft of hay roll per 52 ft of pipe
Subtotal					\$	63.58	Per LF
Farthwork							
Mass Trench Excavation - Vertical Trenching	1 93	CY	¢	12.88	¢	63 51	Quantity = (Trench Denth X Width X 1 LE) / 27
Trench Shoring	23.58	SE	ŝ	2.58	ŝ	60 74	Quantity = Trench Depth X 1 LE of Pine X 2
Load/Haul Excavated Soils to Lavdown Area	4.93	CY	ŝ	4.51	š	22.23	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding & Pipe Cover	0.96	CY	ŝ	41.21	š	39.58	Quantity = (((Trench Width X ½ Pipe Dia) - (½ Pipe Area)) X 1 LF)/27
Fine Grading & Compaction	1.255	SY	ŝ	2.58	š	3.23	Quantity = ((Trench Width) X 1 F) / 9
Load/Haul Lavdown Soils to Trench Areas	2 424	CY	ŝ	4.51	š	10.93	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	2.424	CY	Š	23.18	š	56.20	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	2,507	CY	\$	11.59	ŝ	29.06	Quantity = Excavation - Lavdown Soils
Rough Surface Compaction	1.255	SY	\$	3.86	ŝ	4.85	Quantity = Fine Grading & Compaction
Subtotal					\$	290.32	Per LF
Pipeline							
84" WSP CML	1.000	LF	\$	613.72	s	613.72	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Pipeline Install - L & EQ	1.000	LF	\$	168.89	ŝ	168.89	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Welding Pipe Joints	0.025	EA	\$	5.066.81	ŝ	126.67	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Welding Inspections	0.025	EA	\$	506.68	ŝ	12.67	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Hydrostatic Testing	1.000	LF	\$	1.81	ŝ	1.81	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Cathodic Protection							
Anode Bed	1.000	LF	\$	3.33	\$	3.33	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Incidentals (Test Stations)	1.000	LF	\$	0.46	\$	0.46	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves	0.000	EA	\$	13,270.21	\$	5.31	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Blow Off Assembly	0.000	EA	\$	12,063.82	\$	4.83	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Subtotal					\$	937.69	Per LF
Site Restoration							
General Site Restoration	36.000	SF	\$	0.60	\$	21.71	Quantity = Width of Const Zone per 1 LF of Pipe
Final Site Cleanup	0.001	AC	\$	603.19	\$	0.50	Quantity = (Width of Const Zone X 1 LF of Pipe)/43560
Subtotal					\$	22.21	Per LF
Total Cost per Linear Foot					\$	1,317.74	Per LF

BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Pure Water Supply System Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Construction Method 3B- LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Earthen Channel)

 Assumptions

 1 Units listed as LF are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 2 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 3 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 4 For Every linear foot of pipe there will be a linear foot of tabric silt fence

 6 Pipe joint welds will be inspected every 40 ft

 7 Pipe joints will be welded every 40 ft

 8 Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.

 9 Biow Offs are assumed to be installed every 200 feet.

 10 Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to June 2022 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

 August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25

 June 2022 ENR CCI for LA: 13488.65

 Escalation % 12.4%

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Ur</u>	<u>nit Cost (2022)</u> \$		Total Cost \$	<u>Notes</u>
Demolition							
Clearing and Grubbing	0.001	AC	\$	4,463.61	\$	3.69	Quantity = (Width of Const Zone X 1 LF of Pipe)/43560
Subtotal					\$	3.69	Per LF
Site Work							
Temporary Fencing	2.000	LF	\$	7.24	\$	14.48	Quantity = 2 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Dust Control	1.000	LF	\$	8.35	\$	8.35	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Survey & Layout	1.000	LF	\$	36.19	\$	36.19	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Erosion Control							
Fabric Silt Fence - Installation & Maintenance	0.125	LF	\$	3.62	\$	0.45	Quantity = 1 ft of silt fence per 8 ft of pipe
Hay Rolls	0.019	LF	\$	4.83	\$	0.09	Quantity = 1 ft of hay roll per 52 ft of pipe
Rubber Dam/Flow Diversion	1.000	LF	\$	48.15	\$	48.15	
Subtotal					\$	107.71	Per LF
Earthwork							
Mass Trench Excavation - Vertical Trenching	7.48	CY	\$	12.06	\$	90.24	Quantity = (Trench Depth X Width X 1 LF) / 27
Trench Shoring	36.58	SF	\$	2.41	\$	88.27	Quantity = Trench Depth X 1 LF of Pipe X 2
Load/Haul Excavated Soils	7.480	CY	\$	4.22	\$	31.58	Quantity = Excavation
Concrete encasement	1.921	CY	\$	241.28	\$	463.50	Quantity = (((Trench Width X Pipe Dia + 1) - (Pipe Area)) X 1 LF)/27
Fine Grading & Compaction	1.255	SY	\$	2.41	\$	3.03	Quantity = ((Trench Width) X 1 LF) / 9
CLSM Backfill	4.013	CY	\$	96.51	\$	387.27	Quantity = Excavation - Concrete Encasement - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	1.921	CY	\$	10.86	\$	20.86	Quantity = Excavation - Laydown Soils
Rough Surface Compaction	1.255	SY	\$	3.62	\$	4.54	Quantity = Fine Grading & Compaction
Subtotal					\$	1,089.29	Per LF
Pipeline							
84" WSP CML	1.000	LF	\$	613.72	\$	613.72	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Pipeline Install - L & EQ	1.000	LF	\$	168.89	\$	168.89	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Welding Pipe Joints	0.025	EA	\$	5,066.81	\$	126.67	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Welding Inspections	0.025	EA	\$	506.68	\$	12.67	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Hydrostatic Testing	1.000	LF	\$	1.81	\$	1.81	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Cathodic Protection	1 000	1.5	¢	2.29	¢	2.20	Quantity = 1 E nor 1 E of Ding
Anoue Deu Insidentale (Test Stations)	1.000		¢	2.20	¢ ¢	2.20	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Air Vacuum/Air Belease Valves	0.000		¢ ¢	13 270 21	¢ 2	5 31	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LE of Pipe
Blow Off Assembly	0.000	EA	\$	12,063.82	\$	4.83	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Subtotal					\$	936.64	Per LF
Site Restoration							
General Site Restoration	36.000	SF	\$	0.60	\$	21.71	Quantity = Width of Const Zone per 1 LF of Pipe
Final Site Cleanup	0.001	AC	\$	603.19	\$	0.50	Quantity = (Width of Const Zone X 1 LF of Pipe)/43560
Subtotal					\$	22.21	Per LF
Total Cost per Linear Foot					\$	2,159.54	Per LF

BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Pure Water Supply System Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Construction Method 3C - LAFCD Easement (Open Cut Concrete Lined Channel)

 Assumptions

 1
 Units listed as LF are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 2
 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 3
 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 4
 For Every linear foot of pipe there will be a linear foot of the construction Method

 5
 For every 8 feet of pipe there will be 1 foot of fabric silt fence

 6
 Pipe joints will be unspected every 40 ft

 7
 Pipe joints will be welded every 40 ft

 8
 Biow offs are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.

 9
 Blow offs are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.

 10
 Speed shoring is the standard shoring method

 11
 Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to June 2022 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California. August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25

August 2018 ENR CCI for LA:	12000.25
June 2022 ENR CCI for LA:	13488.65
Escalation %	12.4%

Calculate Cost per Linear Foot for Construction Method 3C - 84-inch Pipe

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Ur</u>	<u>nit Cost (2022)</u> \$		Total Cost \$	<u>Notes</u>
Demolition							
Concrete Slab Removal	15.00	SF	\$	5.43	\$	81.43	Quantity = (Trench Width + 4ft) X 1 LF of Pipe
Subtotal					\$	200.68	Per LF
Site Work							
Temporary Fencing	2.00	LF	\$	7.24	\$	14.48	Quantity = 2 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Dust Control	1.00	LF	\$	8.35	\$	8.35	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Survey & Layout	1.00	LF	\$	36.19	\$	36.19	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Erosion Control							
Fabric Silt Fence - Installation & Maintenance	0.13	LF	\$	3.62	\$	0.45	Quantity = 1 ft of silt fence per 8 ft of pipe
Hay Rolls	0.02	LF	\$	4.83	\$	0.09	Quantity = 1 ft of hay roll per 52 ft of pipe
Subtotal					\$	59.56	Per LF
Earthwork							
Mass Trench Excavation - Vertical Trenching	4.93	CY	\$	12.06	\$	59.49	Quantity = (Trench Depth X Width X 1 LF) / 27
Trench Shoring	23.58	SF	\$	2.41	\$	56.90	Quantity = Trench Depth X 1 LF of Pipe X 2
Load/Haul Excavated Soils	4.931	CY	ŝ	4.22	ŝ	20.82	Quantity = Excavation
Concrete Pine Encasement	1 921	CY	ŝ	241.28	ŝ	463 50	Quantity = (((Trench Width X Pine Dia + 1) - (Pine Area)) X 1 E)/27
Eine Grading & Compaction	1 255	SY	ŝ	2 4 1	ŝ	3.03	Quantity = ((Trench Width) X 1 F) / 9
CI SM Backfill	1 464	CY	ç	96.51	ŝ	141 27	Quantity = Excavation - Concrete Encasement - Pine
Off-Site Disposal Stocknile Spoils	1 921	CY	ç	10.86	ŝ	20.86	Quantity = Excavation - Lavdown Soils
Rough Surface Compaction	1.255	SY	\$	3.62	\$	4.54	Quantity = Fine Grading & Compaction
Subtotal					\$	770.41	Per LF
Pineline							
84" WSD CMI	1.00	LE	¢	613 72	¢	613 72	Quantity = 1 E per 1 E of Pipe
Pineline Install - L & EO	1.00	LE	ę	168.80	¢	168.80	Quantity = 1 E per 1 E of Pipe
Welding Pine Joints	0.03	EA	ę	5 066 81	ę	126.67	Quantity = 1 per 40 LE of Pine
Welding Inspections	0.03	ΕΔ	ę ę	506.68	¢ ¢	12 67	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Hydrostatic Testing	1.00		ę	1.81	¢	1.81	Quantity = 1 E per 1 E of Pipe
Cathodic Protection	1.00	21	Ψ	1.01	Ψ	1.01	dualitity - 1 El pol 1 El off ipe
Anode Bed	1.00	LE	¢	3 33	¢	3 33	Quantity = 1 E per 1 E of Pipe
Incidentals (Test Stations)	1.00	LE	ę	0.46	ę	0.46	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Air Voouum/Air Boloooo Volvoo	1.00		ф с	12 270 21	¢ ¢	5.21	Quality = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Blow Off Assembly	0.00	EA	\$	12,063.82	э \$	4.83	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Subtotal					\$	937.69	Per LF
Site Pestoration							
General Site Restoration	36.00	SE	¢	0.60	\$	21 71	Quantity = Width of Const Zone per 1 LE of Pine
Concrete Slabe	15.00	SE	ę	24.13	ę	261.01	Quantity = Concrete Slab Removal
Final Site Cleanun	0.00		e e	602.10	φ ¢	0 501.91	Quantity = (Width of Const Zone X 1 LE of Dipe)//2560
	0.00	AU	φ	003.19	φ	0.50	Quantity - (Width of Const Zone X T LF of Fipe)/43300
Subtotal					\$	384.13	Per LF
Total Cost per Linear Foot					\$	2,352.47	Per LF

BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Pure Water Supply System Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore

Assumptions

 Assumptions

 1. Launching pits are assumed to be 30 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 2. Receiving Pits are assumed to be 20 feet long, 16 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 3. Launching not are reassumed to be 20 feet long, 16 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 3. Launching and receiving pits will be fully shored excavations with soldier piles and lagging

 4. Source of unit costs are based on cost histories from previous construction bids.

 5. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to June 2022 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

 August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12400.25

 June 2022 ENR CCI for LA: 13488.65

 Escalation %

 12.4%

 6. 84*, 60*, and 54* carrier will be installed within 108*, 84*, and 78* permalok steel casing pipe and the annular space will be filled with low density cellular grout.

Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit C	ost (2022)		Total Cost	Notes
84" Jack & Boro (<200 ft)				\$		\$	
Launching Dit							
Exercise	649	CV	¢	12.06	¢	7 910 14	Quantity = Langth X Width X 4 Dia
Excavation	2.017	CT CT	¢ P	12.00	¢ ¢	100 502 22	Quantity = Length X 4 Dia
Lood Houl Executed Soils	2,917	OF CV	¢ P	4.22	¢ ¢	2 726 70	Qualitity = $((\text{Lengul } \land 4 \text{ Did}) \land 2)^+((\text{Width } \land 4 \text{ Did}) \land 2)$
Count Buddieu Solis	048		\$	4.22	φ	2,730.70	Quality - Excavation
Gravel Bedding	69	CY	\$	42.22	\$	2,910.48	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5)) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	67	SY	\$	2.41	\$	160.85	Quantity = Length X width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	533	CY	\$	4.22	\$	2,249.74	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	533	CY	\$	21.71	\$	11,570.11	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	115	CY	\$	35.00	\$	4,036.51	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	67	SY	\$	3.62	\$	241.28	Quantity = Length X Width
Receiving Pit					ф	221,306.15	
Excavation	346	CY	\$	12.06	\$	4.170.21	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,100	SF	\$	65.00	\$	136,500,00	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	346	CY	ŝ	4 22	ŝ	1 459 57	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	34	CY	¢	42.22	ŝ	1 421 65	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pine Area X Length)/2
Eine Grade Compaction	36	sv	¢	2 /1	¢	85 70	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Loudown Soils to Trapph Aroon	291	CV	φ ¢	4.92	¢	1 106 00	Quantity = Everyotion Crovel Redding Dine
Rockfill & Compost Nativo Soil	201	CV	¢ P	4.22	¢ ¢	6 102 56	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Fipe
Off Site Diseased Stealwile Seal	281	CY	¢	21.71	φ ¢	0,103.00	Quantity - Excavation - Graver Bedding - Fipe
On-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	65	CT	ð	35.00	þ	2,201.07	Quantity = Excavation - Backini
Rough Surface Compaction	36	51	\$	3.62	\$	128.68	Quantity = Length X Width
					\$	153,317.33	
Shafts Subtotal		LS			\$	374,625.47	
Mob/Demob/Setup/Dism		LS			\$	200,000.00	
Pipe Jacking	200	LF	\$	4,496.12	\$	899,224.60	
Total Cost per LF						4,496	\$/LF
84" Jack & Bore (200 ft - 2000 ft)							
Launching Pit							
Execution	649	CV	¢	12.06	¢	7 910 14	Quantity = Langth X Width X 4 Dia
Excavation	2.017	CT CT	¢ P	12.00	¢ ¢	100 502 22	Quantity = Length X 4 Dia
Lautiching Fit Shoring	2,917	OV	¢	05.00	φ ¢	0,303.33	Quality = $((\text{Lengul } \land 4 \text{ Dia}) \land 2)^+((\text{Width } \land 4 \text{ Dia}) \land 2)$
Load Haul Excavated Solis	648	CY	¢	4.22	¢	2,730.70	Quantity = Excavation
Graver Bedding	69	CT	¢	42.22	¢	2,910.46	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5)) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	67	SY	\$	2.41	\$	160.85	Quantity = Length X width
Load/Haul Laydown Solis to Trench Areas	533	CY	\$	4.22	\$	2,249.74	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	533	CY	\$	21.71	\$	11,570.11	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	115	CY	\$	35.00	\$	4,036.51	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	67	SY	\$	3.62	\$ ¢	241.28	Quantity = Length X Width
Beceiving Pit					Ψ	221,000.10	
Excavation	346	CV	¢	12.06	¢	4 170 21	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Loupobing Dit Shoring	2 100	CT CE	¢ ¢	65.00	φ Φ	126 500 00	Quantity = (/Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+(/Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Lood Houl Executed Soils	2,100	OF CV	¢ P	4.22	¢ ¢	1 450 57	Qualitity = $((\text{Lengul } \land 4 \text{ Did}) \land 2)^+((\text{Width } \land 4 \text{ Did}) \land 2)$
Crewel Dedding	340	CY	¢	4.22	φ ¢	1,409.07	Quantity - Excavation
Graver Bedding	34	CT	ð	42.22	þ	1,421.05	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5)) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	36	SY	\$	2.41	\$	85.79	Quantity = Length X width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	281	CY	\$	4.22	\$	1,186.80	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	281	CY	\$	21.71	\$	6,103.56	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	65	CY	\$	35.00	\$	2,261.07	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	36	SY	\$	3.62	\$	128.68	Quantity = Length X Width
					\$	153,317.33	
Shafts Subtotal		LS			\$	374,625.47	
Mob/Demob/Setup/Dism		LS			\$	200,000.00	
Pipe Jacking	2,000	LF	\$	4,496.12	\$	8,992,245.99	
Total Cost per LF				-		4,496	\$/LF

BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Pure Water Supply System Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling

Assumptions

 Assumptions

 1. Bore pits are assumed to be 30 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 2. Receiving Pits are assumed to be 20 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 3. Launching and receiving pits will be fully shored excavations with soldier piles and lagging

 4. Source of unit costs are based on cost histories from previous construction bids.

 5. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to June 2022 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

 August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25

 June 2022 ENR CCI for LA: 13488.65

 Escalation % 0.124003

 6. 84*, 60*, and 54* carrier will be installed within 108*, 84*, and 78* permalok steel casing pipe and the annular space will be filled with low density cellular grout.

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	Unit Cost (2022)		Total Cost	
84" Microtunnel (<200 ft, No Boulders) Launching Pit				¢	2	
Excavation	648	CY	\$	12.06 \$	7.819.14	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2.917	SF	ŝ	65.00 \$	189,583,33	Quantity = $((\text{Length X 4 Dia}) \times 2)+((\text{Width X 4 Dia}) \times 2)$
Load Haul Excavated Soils	648	CY	Š	4.22 \$	2.736.70	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	69	CY	ŝ	42.22 \$	2,910,48	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	67	SY	ŝ	2.41 \$	160.85	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Lavdown Soils to Trench Areas	533	CY	ŝ	4.22 \$	2 249 74	Quantiv = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	533	CY	ŝ	21.71 \$	11,570,11	Quantiv = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stocknile Spoils	115	CY	ŝ	35.00 \$	4 036 51	Quantiv = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	67	SY	ŝ	3.62 \$	241.28	Quantity = Length X Width
····g·· - ······ • -···p - ·····			•	\$	221,308,15	2
Receiving Pit				Ŷ	221,000.10	
Excavation	432	CY	\$	12.06 \$	5,212,76	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2 333	SE	ŝ	65.00 \$	151 666 67	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	432	CY	ŝ	4.22 \$	1.824.47	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	46	CY	ŝ	42.22 \$	1 940 32	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	44	SY	ŝ	241 \$	107 23	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Lavdown Soils to Trench Areas	355	CY	ŝ	4.22 \$	1 499 83	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pine
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	355	CY	ŝ	21 71 \$	7 713 41	Quantiv = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stocknile Spoils	77	CY	ŝ	35.00 \$	2 691 00	Quantiv = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	44	SY	ŝ	3.62 \$	160.85	Quantity = Length X Width
riougn oundoo compaction		0.	Ŷ	\$	172 816 54	duanaty zongariternaan
Shafts Subtotal		LS		ŝ	394 124 69	
Mob/Demob/Setup/Dism		LS		\$	400,000.00	
Microtunneling	200	LF	\$	5,620.15 \$	1,124,030.75	
Total Cost per LF				\$	5,620	\$/LF
84" Microtunnel (<200 ft, With Boulders)						
Launching Pit						
Excavation	648	CY	\$	12.06 \$	7,819.14	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,917	SF	\$	65.00 \$	189,583.33	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	648	CY	\$	4.22 \$	2,736.70	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	69	CY	\$	42.22 \$	2,910.48	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	67	SY	\$	2.41 \$	160.85	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	533	CY	\$	4.22 \$	2,249.74	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	533	CY	\$	21.71 \$	11,570.11	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	115	CY	\$	35.00 \$	4,036.51	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	67	SY	\$	3.62 \$	241.28	Quantity = Length X Width
Receiving Pit				Ŷ	221,000.10	
Excavation	432	CY	\$	12.06 \$	5,212.76	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,333	SF	\$	65.00 \$	151,666.67	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	432	CY	\$	4.22 \$	1,824.47	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	46	CY	\$	42.22 \$	1,940.32	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	44	SY	\$	2.41 \$	107.23	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	355	CY	\$	4.22 \$	1,499.83	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	355	CY	\$	21.71 \$	7,713.41	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	77	CY	\$	35.00 \$	2,691.00	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	44	SY	\$	3.62 \$	160.85	Quantity = Length X Width
				\$	172,816.54	
Shafts Subtotal		LS		\$	394,124.69	
Mob/Demob/Setup/Dism		LS		\$	400,000.00	
Microtunneling	200	LF	\$	6,182.17 \$	1,236,433.82	
Total Cost per LF				\$	6.182	\$/LF

BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Pure Water Supply System Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling

Assumptions

 Assumptions

 1. Bore pits are assumed to be 30 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 2. Receiving Pits are assumed to be 20 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 3. Launching and receiving pits will be fully shored excavations with soldier piles and lagging

 4. Source of unit costs are based on cost histories from previous construction bids.

 5. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to June 2022 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

 August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25

 June 2022 ENR CCI for LA: 13488.65

 Escalation % 0.124003

 6. 84*, 60*, and 54* carrier will be installed within 108*, 84*, and 78* permalok steel casing pipe and the annular space will be filled with low density cellular grout.

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	Ī	Jnit Cost (2022)	Total Cost	1
84" Microtunnel (200 - 2000 ft, No Boulders) Launching Pit						
Excavation	648	CY	\$	12.06 \$	7,819.14	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,917	SF	\$	65.00 \$	189,583.33	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	648	CY	\$	4.22 \$	2,736.70	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	69	CY	\$	42.22 \$	2,910.48	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	67	SY	\$	2.41 \$	160.85	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	533	CY	\$	4.22 \$	2,249.74	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	533	CY	\$	21.71 \$	11,570.11	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	115	CY	\$	35.00 \$	4,036.51	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	67	SY	\$	3.62 \$	241.28 221.308.15	Quantity = Length X Width
Receiving Pit					,	
Excavation	432	CY	\$	12.06 \$	5,212.76	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,333	SF	\$	65.00 \$	151,666.67	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	432	CY	\$	4.22 \$	1,824.47	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	46	CY	\$	42.22 \$	1,940.32	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	44	SY	\$	2.41 \$	107.23	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	355	CY	\$	4.22 \$	1,499.83	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	355	CY	\$	21.71 \$	7,713.41	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	77	CY	\$	35.00 \$	2,691.00	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	44	SY	\$	3.62 \$	160.85	Quantity = Length X Width
				\$	172,816.54	
Shafts Subtotal		LS		\$	394,124.69	
Mob/Demob/Setup/Dism		LS		\$	400,000.00	
Microtunneling Total Cost per LF	2,000	LF	\$	5,620.15 \$	11,240,307.49 5,620	\$/LF
84" Microtunnel (200 - 2000 ft, With Boulders)						
Launching Pit						
Excavation	648	CY	\$	12.06 \$	7,819.14	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,917	SF	\$	65.00 \$	189,583.33	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	648	CY	\$	4.22 \$	2,736.70	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	69	CY	\$	42.22 \$	2,910.48	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	67	SY	\$	2.41 \$	160.85	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	533	CY	\$	4.22 \$	2,249.74	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soli	533	CY	\$	21.71 \$	11,570.11	Quantily = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
On-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	115		þ	35.00 \$	4,030.51	Quantity = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	07	51	Þ	3.02 a \$	241.20	Quantity = Length X Width
Receiving Pit						
Excavation	432	CY	\$	12.06 \$	5,212.76	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,333	SF	\$	65.00 \$	151,666.67	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	432	CY	\$	4.22 \$	1,824.47	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	46	CY	\$	42.22 \$	1,940.32	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	44	SY	\$	2.41 \$	107.23	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	355	CY	\$	4.22 \$	1,499.83	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	355	CY	\$	21.71 \$	7,713.41	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	77	CY	\$	35.00 \$	2,691.00	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	44	SY	\$	3.62 \$	160.85 172.816.54	Quantity = Length X Width
Shafts Subtotal		LS		ŝ	394,124.69	
Mob/Demob/Setup/Dism		LS		\$	400,000.00	
Microtunneling Total Cost per LF	2,000	LF	\$	5,921.39 \$ \$	11,842,787.98 5,921	\$/LF
BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Pure Water Supply System Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling

 Assumptions

 1. Bore pits are assumed to be 60 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 2. Receiving Pits are assumed to be 20 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 3. Launching and receiving pits will be fully shored executions with solidine piles and lagging

 4. Source of unit costs are based on cost histories from previous construction bids.

 5. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to June 2022 Oldinar using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

 August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.3 June 2022 ENR CCI for LA: 13486.7 Escalation % 12.4%

All traditional tunnels are assumed to be EPBM.
 The minimum excavated diameter for EPBM is assumed to be 100 to 132 inches due to tunnel boring machine limitations. The excess granular space is assumed to be filled with grout.

tem Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit	Cost (2022)		Total Cost	
				\$		\$	
34" ΕΡΒΜ (>2000 π)							
Launching Pit							
Excavation	1,296	CY	\$	12.06	\$	15,638.29	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring (installation, bracing, and removal)	4,667	SF	\$	65.00	\$	303,333.33	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	1,296	CY	\$	4.22	\$	5,473.40	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	138	CY	\$	42.22	\$	5,820.96	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	133	SY	\$	2.41	\$	321.70	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	1,066	CY	\$	4.22	\$	4,499.49	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	1,066	CY	\$	21.71	\$	23,140.22	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	231	CY	\$	35.00	\$	8,073.01	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	133	SY	\$	3.62	\$	482.55	Quantity = Length X Width
					\$	366,782.96	
Receiving Pit							
Excavation	432	CY	\$	12.06	\$	5,212.76	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring (installation, bracing, and removal)	2,333	SF	\$	65.00	\$	151,666.67	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	432	CY	\$	4.22	\$	1,824.47	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	46	CY	\$	42.22	\$	1,940.32	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	44	SY	\$	2.41	\$	107.23	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	355	CY	\$	4.22	\$	1,499.83	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	355	CY	\$	21.71	\$	7,713.41	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	77	CY	\$	35.00	\$	2,691.00	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	44	SY	s	3.62	ŝ	160.85	Quantity = Length X Width
5					ŝ	172.816.54	, , ,
Shafts Subtotal		LS			Ś	539,599,50	
Mob/Demob/Setup/Dism		LS			\$	3,500,000.00	
EPBM	2,000	LF	\$	5,365.56	\$	10,731,127.44	
Total Cost per LF					\$	5,365.56	\$/LF

Details on "Cost Adders" Unit Cost

BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Pure Water Supply System Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Cathodic Protection Unit Cost Data

Assumptions

1 Current is proportional to the radius of the pipe squared. As the pipe diameter increases the anode bed costs will increase exponentially.

- 2 For a 66" pipe the cost of the anode bed will be \$10,000 per mile (per Brian Louque)
- 3 Incidental costs such as test stations will be \$2,000 per mile
- 4 Add \$40,000 per mile to anode bed costs for work in SCE Easement
- 5 These costs include materials and labor.

Determine anode bed costs for all pipe diameters outside of SCE Easement



Determine anode bed costs for all pipe diameters inside of SCE Easement



BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Pure Water Supply System Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Cost Adder Major Utility Crossings

Assumptions

1. Jacking length is 30 feet.

- 1. Jacking length is breck
 Costs are all inclusive and include:
 2. Demultion, sitework, earthwork, dewatering, and site restoration costs for launching and receiving pits.
 Piping costs associated with casing, steel pipe, annular space grout, casing spacers, pipe welding, testing, cathodic protection, air valves, and blow offs.
- 4. Receiving Pits are assumed to be 20 feet long and 16 feet wide
- 5. Major utilities are as defined in the CDR body.

6. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to June 2022 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25

June 2022 ENR CCI for LA: 13488.65 Escalation % 12.4%

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>		<u>Unit Cost</u> \$	<u>Total Cost</u> \$
Major Utility Crossing Adder					
84"	30	LF	\$ 4,496.12	\$ 4,496.12	134,884 Jack & Bore
60"	30	LF	\$ 4,383.72	\$ 4,383.72	131,512 Jack & Bore
54"	30	LF	\$ 4,271.32	\$ 4,271.32	128,140 Jack & Bore
36"	30	LF	\$ 904.86	\$ 1,017.09	27,146

Cost Adder Major Intersection Crossings

Assumptions

1. The cost for crossing a Major Intersection would be comparable to a trenchless installation regardless of whether it was installed with open trench methods or

trenchless construction methods due to the slower construction rate.

2. Jacking length is 200 feet.

2. Staking rengin by the factor state
Costs are all inclusive and include:
3. • Demolition, sitework, earthwork, dewatering, and site restoration costs for launching and receiving pits.
• Piping costs associated with casing, steel pipe, annular space grout, casing spacers, pipe welding, testing, cathodic protection , air valves, and blow offs. 4. Bore pits are assumed to be 30 feet long and 20 feet wide

5. Receiving Pits are assumed to be 20 feet long and 16 feet wide

6. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to June 2022 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25 June 2022 ENR CCI for LA: 13488.65 Escalation % 12.4%

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	Unit Cost		Unit Cost	Tota	al Cost
			\$				\$
Major Intersection Crossing Adder							
84"	200	LF	\$ 4,496.12	\$	4,496.12	89	19,225 Jack & Bore
60"	200	LF	\$ 4,459.03	\$	4,459.03	89	01,806 Jack & Bore
54"	200	LF	\$ 4,248.84	\$	4,248.84	84	19,767 Jack & Bore
Major Utility Crossing (54" & Less) Adder			\$-	\$	-		
45 degree Elbow	4	EA	\$ 12,064.80	\$	13,561.21	\$ 48,2	259.20
Additional Excavation	3.89	CY	\$ 10.05	\$	11.30	\$	39.07 Quantity = (Trench Depth X Width X 1 LF) / 27
Concrete Pipe Encasement	1.921	CY	\$ 201.08	\$	226.02	\$ 3	86.28 Quantity = (((Trench Width X Pipe Dia + 1) - (Pipe Area)) X 1 LF)/27
Utility Support	1	LS	\$ 1,005.40	\$	1,130.10	\$ 1,0	05.40
Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves	0.000	EA	\$ 11,059.40	\$	12,431.11	\$	4.42 Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Total						\$ 49,6	94.38
60"							
45 degree Elbow	4	EA	\$ 9,551.30	\$	10,735.95	\$ 38,2	205.20
Additional Excavation	2.51	CY	\$ 10.05	\$	11.30	\$	25.23 Quantity = (Trench Depth X Width X 1 LF) / 27
Concrete Pipe Encasement	1.351	CY	\$ 201.08	\$	226.02	\$ 2	271.59 Quantity = (((Trench Width X Pipe Dia + 1) - (Pipe Area)) X 1 LF)/27
Utility Support	1	LS	\$ 1.005.40	\$	1.130.10	\$ 1.0	05.40
Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves	0.000	EA	\$ 11.059.40	\$	12,431,11	\$	4.42 Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Total				•		\$ 39,5	511.84
54"							
45 degree Elbow	4	EA	\$ 8,043.20	\$	9,040.80	\$ 32,1	72.80
Additional Excavation	2.21	CY	\$ 10.05	\$	11.30	\$	22.23 Quantity = (Trench Depth X Width X 1 LF) / 27
Concrete Pipe Encasement	1.218	CY	\$ 201.08	\$	226.02	\$ 2	244.91 Quantity = (((Trench Width X Pipe Dia + 1) - (Pipe Area)) X 1 LF)/27
Utility Support	1	LS	\$ 1,005.40	\$	1,130.10	\$ 1,0	05.40
Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves	0.000	EA	\$ 11,059.40	\$	12,431.11	\$	4.42 Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Total						\$ 33.4	49.77

Cost Adder Landscaped Medians (demo & replace)



Assumptions

1. Trees are spaced every 25 feet

Average width of median = 10 feet
 Quantities are calucation for 1 linear foot of landscaped median.

4. Unit costs were originally developed in August 2016 and were escalated to June 2022 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

August 20	018 ENR CCI for LA	A: 1200	0.25				
June 20	22 ENR CCI for LA	: 1348	8.65				
	Escalation 9	6 12	2.4%				
Demolition							
Concrete Slab Removal	1	SF	\$	4.83	\$ 5.43	\$ 5.43	
Concrete Curb Removal	2	LF	\$	5.37	\$ 6.03	\$ 12.06	
Transportation and Disposal Fees (Recycle Concrete)	0.10	CY	\$	214.65	\$ 241.28	\$ 24.82	
Tree Removal	0.04	EA	\$	912.27	\$ 1,025.42	\$ 41.02	
Clearing and Grubbing	0.0002	AC	\$	3,971.08	\$ 4,463.61	\$ 0.82	
subtotal						\$ 84.15	
Site Restoration							
Concrete Curbs	2	LF	\$	37.56	\$ 42.22	\$ 84.45	
Concrete Slabs	1	SF	\$	21.47	\$ 24.13	\$ 24.13	
Trees	0.04	EA	\$	482.97	\$ 542.87	\$ 21.71	
subtotal						\$ 130.29	
Total						\$ 214.44	per linear foot

BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Regional Recycled Water Supply System Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Cost Adder Raised Medians (demo & replace)



Assumptions

1. No trees

Average width of median = 8 feet
 Quantities are calucation for 1 linear foot of landscaped median.

Unit costs were originally developed in August 2016 and were escalated to June 2022 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California. August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25

August 20		1. 12000	5.20				
June 20	22 ENR CCI for LA	A: 13488	3.65				
	Escalation	% 12	.4%				
Demolition							
Concrete Slab Removal	2.3	SF	\$	4.83	\$ 5.43	\$ 12.67	
Concrete Curb Removal	2.0	LF	\$	5.37	\$ 6.03	\$ 12.06	
Transportation and Disposal Fees (Recycle Concrete)	0.15	CY	\$	214.65	\$ 241.28	\$ 36.74	
subtotal						\$ 61.47	
Site Restoration							
Concrete Curb	2	LF	\$	37.56	\$ 42.22	\$ 84.45	
Concrete Slabs	2.3	SF	\$	21.47	\$ 24.13	\$ 56.30	
Type II Aggregate base	0.1	SY	\$	6.44	\$ 7.24	\$ 0.72	
subtotal						\$ 141.47	
Total						\$ 202.94	per linear foot

BLACK & VEATCH

Los Angeles, California Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Feasibility Level Engineering Analysis of Conveyance/Distribution System for Potential Pure Water Supply System Opinion of Probable Construction Cost

Cost Adder Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones

DISCLAIMER: Assumptions are for a Class 4 cost estimate. A finite element analysis will be completed during later design phases to determine the exact method of ensuring seismic resiliency.

Assumptions:

1. Fault zone is 50 ft on each side of fault

2. D/t = 80 for 100 ft beyond D/t=60 zone

3. Unit cost of steel pipe is the price difference between the thicker pipe used in the fault zone and the standard pipe

used in the construction methods

4. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to June 2022 dollars using ENR

Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25

June 2022 ENR CCI for LA: 13488.65

Escalation % 12.4%

Calculate Cost per Linear Foot for 84-inch Pipe				
Item Description	Quantity Unit	Unit Cost	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones				
1" Thick Pipe	300 LF	\$310	\$348	\$104,535
Ball Joint	2 EA	\$487,281	\$547,719	\$1,095,439
Subtotal				\$1,199,974
Calculate Cost per Linear Foot for 66-inch Pipe				
Item Description	Quantity Unit	Unit Cost	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones				
0.75" Pipe	300 LF	\$310	\$348	\$104,535
Ball Joint	2 EA	\$260,000	\$292,248	\$584,496
Slip Pipe	LF	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal				\$689,031
Calculate Cost per Linear Foot for 60-inch Pipe				
Item Description	Quantity Unit	Unit Cost	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones				
0.75" Pipe	300 LF	\$300	\$337	\$101,163
Ball Joint	2 EA	\$210,458	\$236,561	\$473,121
Subtotal				\$574,284
Calculate Cost per Linear Foot for 54-inch Pipe				
Item Description	Quantity Unit	Unit Cost	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones				
0.75" Pipe	300 LF	\$67	\$76	\$22,726
Ball Joint	2 EA	\$159,018	\$178,741	\$357,482
Subtotal				\$380,208

Ball Joint

Create trendline to interpolate ball joint costs

References:

1. EBAA Budgetary Quotation Emails, September 27 & 28, 2016



Use y=91.965x² -2496x+14777 to interpolate cost for ball joint diameters not included in the EBAA budgetary quote.

ID (in)	Cost (\$)
42	\$77,042.82
48	\$114,069.16
54	\$158,163.94
60	\$209,327.14
84	\$484,664.26

Attachment B - Conceptual Cost Comparison to Upsize the Backbone Pipeline to 9 Feet

DRAFT

CONCEPTUAL COST COMPARISON TO UPSIZE THE BACKBONE PIPELINE TO 9 FEET

B&V PROJECT NO. 410259

PREPARED FOR

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

20 DECEMBER 2023



1.0 Introduction

The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (Metropolitan) retained Black & Veatch to prepare a rough order of magnitude engineer's opinion of probable construction cost to determine the potential increase in construction costs that would result from upsizing the Pure Water Southern California (Pure Water) "Backbone" Pipeline from 84-inches to 108-inches in diameter. The purpose of this cost assessment was to assist in initiating discussions with potential project partners. Following this initial rough order of magnitude cost assessment, more detailed engineering evaluations and cost estimates are recommended. This memorandum presents the basis for this cost assessment, as well as the findings.

1.1 Background

Metropolitan is in the early stages of implementing the Pure Water program, consisting of an advanced water purification facility, a Backbone Pipeline, multiple pump stations, and laterals to potential discharge locations. As currently conceived, the Backbone Pipeline would extend from the new advanced water purification facility in Carson, California to the San Gabriel Canyon Spreading Grounds in Azusa, California. The Backbone Pipeline would be 84-inches in diameter and would convey up to 150 million gallons per day.

Metropolitan is considering upsizing the Backbone Pipeline from 84-inches to 108-inches from approximately the Whittier Narrows area to the San Gabriel Canyon Spreading Grounds to provide operational flexibility, including potential future interconnections with other regional advanced treated water programs.

For the purposes of this assessment, the upsizing was assumed to start 500-feet south of Rose Hills Road east of the 605 Freeway and end at the northwest corner of the San Gabriel Canyon Spreading Ground's southern basin. The total length of upsized Backbone Pipeline is approximately fourteen miles.

1.2 Methodology

The following methodology was utilized to assess the high-level cost impact:

- A preliminary Engineer's opinion of probable construction cost (OPCC) was previously developed for the 84-inch Backbone Pipeline as part of the Feasibility Level Design Report (FLDR) prepared in 2018. This OPCC was Class 4 in accordance with Association for the Advancement of Cost Engineering, International (AACE) standards, with a level of accuracy of -30% to +50%. This previous preliminary Engineer's OPCC served as the basis for the cost of the 84-inch pipeline and was updated for the applicable areas as follows:
 - a. The preliminary Engineer's OPCC utilized typical unit costs for construction in different alignment types: construction in paved streets, construction in easements, pipe jacking, microtunneling, and traditional tunneling. These unit costs were escalated to May 2023

dollars using the Engineering News Record (ENR) Construction Cost Indices for Los Angeles, California.

- b. Costs for non-typical features that would be encountered along each alignment were developed during the FLDR. These cover features and work methods which were not included in the typical unit costs because they were not consistently required or uniformly found along each segment. Consistent with this level of study, these adders are items which are readily discernable and measurable from the desktop analysis, visual observations made in the field, review of utility information, analysis of traffic control requirements, desktop study of geotechnical and groundwater conditions, and so on. These costs were escalated to May 2023 dollars using the ENR Construction Cost Indices for Los Angeles, California.
- c. A high-level quantity take-off was performed for the 84-inch Backbone Pipeline between Whittier Narrows and the San Gabriel Canyon Spreading Grounds based on the measured lengths, construction methodologies, and typical construction sections.
- d. The cost assumed for the 84-inch Backbone Pipeline was based upon the escalated unit costs and the revised quantity take off.
- A cost opinion was developed for the 108-inch pipeline, as follows. It should be considered a Class 5 estimate with a level of accuracy of -50% to +100%.
 - A high-level assessment was completed to determine what conceptual level adjustments to the assumed construction methodologies (open-cut verses trenchless) would be required to accommodate the larger pipe size within the existing alignment. The applicable portion of the alignment is generally located between existing Southern California Edison (SCE) transmission towers and United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) levees. At this time, the specific requirements of these agencies regarding separation from their existing structures has not been fully defined. Furthermore, as with the original feasibility level design, no subsurface geotechnical investigation has been performed to corroborate the current construction methodology concepts. Therefore, additional refinements to the types and extents of assumed construction methodologies are anticipated as the project progresses.
 - b. The typical unit costs for open-cut construction developed for the 84-inch pipe were revised parametrically for the larger 108-inch pipe.
 - c. New unit costs were developed using parametric methods for the trenchless installations assumed for the 108-inch pipeline.
 - d. A high-level quantity take-off was performed based on measured lengths and the typical construction methods.

- e. The cost assumed for the 108-inch Backbone Pipeline was based upon the unit costs and quantity take off.
- 3. The costs developed for the 84-inch and 108-inch pipelines were compared to determine the rough order of magnitude impact to the program.

It should be noted that the cost comparison was intended to provide a rough order of magnitude of the construction cost impact to the program and is intended to assist in initial discussions with potential program partners. An updated Class 4 Engineer's opinion of probable construction cost will be completed for the Backbone Pipeline at the end of the CEQA process.

1.3 Cost Parameters and Assumptions

The following general parameters and key assumptions apply to the preparation of this high-level cost impact assessment.

1.3.1 General Items

The cost comparison is comprised of direct and indirect construction costs for the Backbone Pipeline. Direct costs are intended to include the contractor's cost for labor, materials, and equipment estimates. Indirect costs cover the contractor's general conditions, overhead, profit, building permits, insurance, and bonding. Indirect costs were estimated based on a percentage of the direct costs, as is typical for this level of study.

All prices shown are presented in May 2023 dollars and are not escalated to mid-point of construction. It is recommended that Metropolitan escalate the values to the mid-point of construction for all future planning.

1.3.2 84-inch Pipeline

- Pipeline materials assume cement mortar lined and coated welded steel pipe (WSP).
 The pipeline is assumed to be 84-inches in diameter with a wall thickness of 1/2-inch thick.
- Shored construction is assumed for all open-cut construction methods, including within easements alongside the San Gabriel River due to the congestion of existing infrastructure.
- The depth of cover was assumed to be 8-feet on average in city streets, 8-feet on average in SCE's easements.
- All shafts assume soldier piles with lagging and dewatering, where applicable.
- Construction methodologies were developed based on desktop level information and experience in similar settings; no subsurface geotechnical investigation has been completed to fully confirm the extent or types of construction methods, in particular for trenchless installations.
- Quantities are based on the following alignment and construction methods:



Figure 1-1. Map of Construction Methods for 84-inch Backbone Pipeline between Whittier Narrows and San Gabriel Canyon Spreading Grounds

1.3.3 108-inch Pipeline

- Pipeline materials assume cement mortar lined and coated welded steel pipe (WSP).
 The pipeline is assumed to be 108-inches in diameter with a wall thickness of 3/4-inch thick for pricing.
- Shored construction is assumed for all open-cut construction methods, including within easements alongside the San Gabriel River due to the congestion of existing infrastructure.
- The depth of cover was assumed to be 8-feet on average in city streets and 8-feet on average in SCE's easements.
- All shafts for trenchless construction assumed secant piles.
- Construction methodologies were developed based on desktop level information and experience win similar settings; no subsurface geotechnical investigation has been

completed to fully confirm the extent or types of construction methods, in particular for trenchless installations.



Quantities are based on the following alignment and construction methods:

Figure 1-2. Map of Construction Methods for 108-inch Backbone Pipeline between Whittier Narrows and San Gabriel Canyon Spreading Grounds

1.4 Items Excluded from Cost Comparison

The following items are not accounted for in this cost comparison:

- Differences in the pump stations or isolation valves and vaults
- Contingency for potential tariffs or material fluctuation
- Removal, remediation, and/or disposal of contaminated soils and groundwater
- Differences in right-of-way and/or easement acquisition
- Soft costs

1.5 Key Issues Still to be Evaluated

The following are key issues that still need to be worked through, which could impact this cost assessment:

- No geotechnical field investigations have been completed. The geotechnical data available for this cost assessment was limited to desktop information only. Given the amount of trenchless construction assumed for the 108-inch pipeline, field information is required to provide greater cost certainty.
- Further coordination is required with USACE and SCE to fully understand their requirements and gain their acceptance of the proposed alignment concepts, including separation from existing levees and transmission tower foundations. Recent feedback received from SCE indicates that they desire a greater depth of cover over the pipeline within their property than previously assumed, which would impact this assessment.
- This high-level comparison did not evaluate tunnel staging areas in detail. Several initial possibilities were identified as part of this general assessment, but further study is required to confirm space is available. Availability of intermediate shaft sites, or lack thereof, may impact cost, tunnel size, and schedule.
- Bends in the tunnel geometry were not fully evaluated. In order to achieve the required bending radius, the tunnels shown may extend under existing buildings. To avoid this, additional refinements may be required.
- This initial assessment made assumptions regarding the proximity the pipeline excavation could be from the visible extents of existing transmission towers for open cut construction before trenchless construction would be required. As foundation information is obtained on the existing towers from SCE (this information has not as of yet been available), these assumptions could likely be refined and the quantity of open cut construction could be optimized.
- This high-level cost assessment made assumptions as to the minimum length of opencut construction between required trenchless drives that would be cost and schedule effective. More detailed evaluations are required to better define this length.

2.0 Cost Comparison

Table 2-1 presents a summary of the high-level cost comparison of upsizing the pipe from 84-inches to 108-inches for the portion of the Backbone Pipeline between Whittier Narrows and the San Gabriel Canyon Spreading Grounds. It should be noted that the costs were developed based upon conceptual information to provide a rough order of magnitude of the potential impact to the program. All costs are presented in May 2023 dollars. A copy of the Engineer's cost assessment is included in Attachment A.

Table 2-1. Roug	h Order of M	agnitude Cost	Comparison	Summary
		abilitade cost	companison	Jannary

Size	Construction Costs ⁽¹⁾							
84-inch pipeline	\$398,200,000							
108-inch pipeline	\$922,600,000							
Cost difference	\$524,400,000							
 Notes: 1. All values include contingency but do not include pre-construction or construction management soft costs. 								

As can be seen in Table 2-1, upsizing the pipeline from 84-inches to 108-inches between Whittier Narrows and the San Gabriel Canyon Spreading Grounds would roughly double the construction costs for this stretch.

2.1 Contingencies

Project contingencies are included to account for unknown or unforeseen costs at the time the estimate was developed. The amount of contingency applied to an estimate is typically based on the level of project definition. For this cost comparison, a contingency of 35 percent was applied.

It should be noted that soft costs were not included in this comparison. Soft costs capture capital costs associated with the implementation of a project and include planning, environmental documentation and permits, engineering design services, public outreach, real property, legal, environmental mitigation, Metropolitan's staff time, program management, and construction management. While soft costs vary greatly from project to project and from component to component, at this level of planning it is most common to assume a percentage of the construction costs based on similar types of projects. For the Pure Water program, Metropolitan has assumed 30 percent of the estimated construction costs to account for these additional services. It would be appropriate to assume a similar percentage could be applied to this cost increase.

2.2 Key Observations

The following key observations have been made regarding the potential cost impact.

- The quantity of steel required for the 108-inch pipeline was double that of the 84-inch pipeline based upon the assumptions made. This is reflected in the increased unit cost of the larger pipe (dollars / linear foot). The increase in material cost accounts for significant portion of the anticipated cost impact.
- The length of trenchless construction assumed for the 108-inch pipeline increased by 2.8 miles – from eighteen percent to thirty-eight percent of the total length of the evaluated portion of the alignment. This is due to the lack of space between SCE's existing transmission towers and the adjacent levees.

Attachment A - Cost Assessment to Upsize to 9 ft

BLACK & VEATCH

550 S. Hope Street, Suite 2250, Los Angeles, California 90071

B&V Project 410259

PRELIMINARY ENGINEERS OPCC COMPARISON OF 7' TO 9' FROM WHITTIER NARROWS TO CANYON SPREADING GROUNDS

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Los Angeles County, CA

Conceptual-Level Design of Conveyance/Distribution System for Pure Water Southern California

June 2023

SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	Size	<u>Cos</u>	st w/ Contingency
Comparison				
84" Backbone Pipeline (Whittier Narrows to Canyon SG)				
Rose Hills Road/Shepherd St to South of Valley Blvd	21,165	84	\$	125,500,000
South of Valley Blvd to Live Oak Ave	24,595	84	\$	114,500,000
Live Oak Ave to Santa Fe Spreading Grounds PS	15,327	84	\$	106,700,000
SFSG PS to Canyon SG	12,800	84	\$	51,500,000
Subtotal			\$	398,200,000
108" Pipeline (Whittier Narrows to Canvon SG)				
Segment 1 - Whittier Narrows to Santa Fe Spreading Grounds PS	60,943	108	\$	825,800,000
Segment 2 - Santa Fe Spreading Grounds PS to Canyon Spreading Grounds	12,800	108	\$	96,800,000
Subtotal			\$	922,600,000
Approximate Difference in Cost to Upsize to 9' (Whittier Narrows to Canvon SG			_	2.3
Total Approximate Cost Increase to Upsize to 9' from Whittier Narrows to Canyon	n SG (with C	ontingency		524,400,000

Note: All costs presented assume 35 percent contingency.

Cost Details for 9' Diameter Pipe - Segment 1

<u>Segmer</u> Direct (nt 1 - Whittier Narrows to SFSG PS Direct Costs for Open Cut (9' Diameter) costs				
Item De	scription	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Constru	ction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)				
	108"	8,125	LF	\$ 3,174.85	\$ 25,795,617
	Subtotal -				\$ 25,795,617
Constru	ction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut)				
	108"	26,047	LF	\$ 2,645.28	\$ 68,901,736
	Subtotal -				\$ 68,901,736
Added S	Sitework Costs				
	Intersection Traffic Control (Open Cut)		EA	\$ 78,500.00	\$ -
	Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchless)		EA	\$ 12,500.00	\$ -
	Landscaped Median (demo & replace)		LF	\$ 215.00	\$ -
	Raised Median (demo & replace)	0	LF	\$ 200.00	\$ -
	Subtotal -				\$ -
Added F	Pipeline Costs				
	Major Utility Crossings				
	108"	0	EA		
	Major Intersection Crossings				
	108"	0	EA		
	Subtotal -				

Direct Costs - Open Cut	\$	94,697,353
General Requirement - Open Cut	15% \$	14,204,603
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut	15% \$	14,204,603
Recommended Contingency - Open Cut	35% \$	43,087,296
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut	3.6% \$	5,950,392
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT	\$	172,100,000

Trenchless Installations For Segment 1 - Whittier Narrows to Santa Fe Spreading Grounds Pump Station (9' Diameter) Direct Costs

Staff. Jone 11. White Harrow 1556 PF Ball.Leadin Staff.Type PeebL (1) D (1) N (2) 54-aurol. TD0 - TMM Turnel Scort Piles 70 4.5 53-34-aurol. TD0 - Pipe Famo of Sheld Scort Piles 4.5 4.5 53-34-aurol. TD0 - Pipe Famo of Sheld Scort Piles 4.5 4.5 53-4-aurol. TD0 - Pipe Famo of Sheld Scort Piles 4.5 4.5 53-4-aurol. TD0 - Pipe Famo of Sheld Scort Piles 4.5 4.5 53-5-aurol. TD0 - Pipe Famo of Sheld Scort Piles 4.5 4.5 53-5-aurol. TD0 - Pipe Famo of Sheld Scort Piles 4.5 4.5 53-5-aurol. TD0 - Pipe Famo of Sheld Scort Piles 4.5 4.5 53-5-aurol. TD0 - Pipe Famo of Sheld Scort Piles 4.5 4.5 53-5-aurol. TD0 - Pipe Famo of Sheld Scort Piles 4.5 4.5 53-5-aurol. TD0 - Pipe Laching Scort Piles 4.5 4.5 53-5-aurol. TD0 - Pipe Laching <td< th=""><th>bibtal Direct Cost \$6,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$6,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,</th></td<>	bibtal Direct Cost \$6,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$6,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,																																																																												
Secent PilesUse of the TurnelSecent PilesNoSecent Piles <t< th=""><th>\$6,300,000 \$2,000,000 \$1,300,000 \$2,000,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,1</th></t<>	\$6,300,000 \$2,000,000 \$1,300,000 \$2,000,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,1																																																																												
3.1.4.aux0n TB0.1.10M Linnel Securit Mics 20 4.3 S.3. Accessing TB0.7.10M Linnel Securit Mics 7.0 4.3 S.3. Accessing TB0.7.10M Linnel Securit Mics 7.0 4.3 S.3. Accessing TB0.7.10M Linnel Securit Mics 7.0 4.3 S.4. Aux0n TB0.7.10M Linnel Securit Mics 7.0 4.3 S.4. Aux0n TB0.7.10M Linnel Securit Mics 4.6 4.5 S.5. Accessing TB0.7.10M Linnel Securit Mics 4.6 4.5 S.5. Accessing TB0.7.10M Linnel Securit Mics 7.0 4.5 S.5. Accessing TB0.7.10M Linnel Securit Mics 7.0 4.5 S.5. Accessing TB0.7.10M Linnel Securt Mics 7.0 4.5 S.5. Accessing TB0.7.10M Linnel Securt Mics 4.6 4.5 S.5. Accessing TB0.7.10M Linnel Securt Mics 4.6 4.5 S.5. Accessing TB0.7.10M Linnel Sec	\$6,300,000 \$2,000,000 \$4,100,000 \$6,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000																																																																												
12.5 Staturt 100 <t< td=""><td>\$2,000,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$2,000,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,100 \$</td></t<>	\$2,000,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$2,000,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,100 \$																																																																												
S3 Ascening 170 - Pap Run of Skield Scent Plies 45 45 S5 Ascening 170 - Tax Turnel Scent Plies 70 25 S5 Ascening 170 - Tax Turnel Scent Plies 70 25 S5 Ascening 170 - Pap Run of Skield Scent Plies 45 25 S5 Ascening 170 - Pap Run of Skield Scent Plies 45 25 S5 Ascening 170 - Pap Run of Skield Scent Plies 45 25 S1 Ascening 170 - Pap Run of Skield Scent Plies 45 25 S1 Ascening 170 - Pap Run of Skield Scent Plies 45 25 S1 Ascening 170 - Pap Run of Skield Scent Plies 45 45 S1 Ascening 170 - Pap Backing Scent Plies 45 45 S1 Ascening 170 - Pap Backing Scent Plies 45 45 S1 Ascening 170 - Pap Backing Scent Plies 45 45 S1 Ascening 170 - Pap Jacking Scent Plies 45 45 S1 Ascening 170 - Pap Jacking Scent Plies 45 45 <t< td=""><td>\$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,000,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,0000</td></t<>	\$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,000,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,0000																																																																												
S5-Launch TD0 - TRA Turnel Secart Piles 70 45 S5-Receiving TD0 - Pipe Ram or Sheld Secart Piles 45 45 S7-Receiving TD0 - Pipe Ram or Sheld Secart Piles 45 45 S7-Receiving TD0 - Pipe Ram or Sheld Secart Piles 45 45 S7-Receiving TD0 - Pipe Ram or Sheld Secart Piles 45 45 S1-Receiving TD0 - Pipe Ram or Sheld Secart Piles 45 45 S1-Receiving TD0 - Pipe Ram or Sheld Secart Piles 45 45 S1-Standn TD0 - Pipe Ram or Sheld Secart Piles 45 45 S1-Standn TD0 - Pipe Jacking Secart Piles 45 45 S1-Standn TD0 - Pipe Jacking Secart Piles 45 45 S1-Receiving TD0 - Pipe Jacking Secart Piles 45 45 S1-Receiving TD0 - Pipe Jacking Secart Piles 45 45 S1-Receiving TD0 - Pipe Jacking Secart Piles 45 45 S1-Receiving TD0 - Pipe Jacking Secart Piles 45 4	\$6,300,000 \$2,000,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$2,000,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,400,0000																																																																												
S5. Receiving TD0 - TRM Tunnel Secart Piles 70 25 S7. Acceiving TD0 - Pipe Ram or Shelid Secart Piles 45 25 S5. Acceiving TB0 - Pipe Ram or Shelid Secart Piles 45 25 S5. Acceiving TB0 - Pipe Ram or Shelid Secart Piles 45 25 S5. Acceiving TB0 - Pipe Ram or Shelid Secart Piles 45 25 S1. Stand TD0 - Pipe Ram or Shelid Secart Piles 45 45 S1. Stand TB0 - Pipe Ram or Shelid Secart Piles 45 45 S1. Stacewing TB0 - Pipe Ram or Shelid Secart Piles 45 45 S1. Stacewing TB0 - Pipe Ram or Shelid Secart Piles 45 45 S1. Stacewing TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secart Piles 45 45 S1. Stacewing TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secart Piles 45 45 S1. Stacewing TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secart Piles 45 45 S1. Stacewing TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secart Piles 45 45 S1. Stacewing TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secart Piles 45 45 S2. Acceving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secart Piles 45 45 S2. Acceving	\$2,000,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$5,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000																																																																												
S7-Laurch TDD - Pipe Ram or Shield Secant Piles 45 45 S9-Laurch TDD - Pipe Ram or Shield Secant Piles 45 45 S9-Laurch TDD - Pipe Ram or Shield Secant Piles 45 45 S9-Laurch TDD - Pipe Ram or Shield Secant Piles 45 45 S11-Laurch TDD - Shield Tunnel Secant Piles 45 45 S13-Laurch TDD - TBM Tunnel Secant Piles 45 45 S13-Staurch TDD - Pipe Lading Secant Piles 45 45 S13-Receiving TDD - Pipe Lading Secant Piles 45 45 S13-Receiving TDD - Pipe Lading Secant Piles 45 45 S13-Receiving TDD - Pipe Lading Secant Piles 45 45 S13-Laurch TDD - Pipe Lading Secant Piles 45 45 S13-Laurch TDD - Pipe Lading Secant Piles 45 45 S13-Laurch TDD - Pipe Lading Secant Piles 45 45 S14-Laurch TDD - Pipe Lading Secant Piles 45 45	\$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$6,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,100,000 \$1,100,000 \$1,0000 \$1,000 \$1,0000 \$1,0000 \$1,000 \$1,0000 \$1,000 \$1,0000 \$1,0000 \$1,0000 \$1,0000 \$1,0000 \$1,0000 \$1,0000 \$1,00000 \$1,00000 \$1,00000 \$1,0000 \$1,00000 \$1,00000 \$1,00000 \$1,00000 \$1,00000 \$1,00000 \$1,00000 \$1,00000 \$1,00000 \$1,00000 \$1,00000 \$1,00000 \$1,00000 \$1,00000 \$1,00000 \$1,00000 \$1,00000 \$1,00000 \$1,000000 \$1,00000 \$1,000000 \$1,000000 \$1,00000 \$1,000000 \$1,000000 \$1,000000 \$1,000000 \$1,0000000 \$1,0000000000																																																																												
5./ Attectioning TDD - Pipe Ram or Sheld Sectar Piles 45 45 5.9 Attectiving TDD - Pipe Ram or Sheld Sectar Piles 45 45 5.11 Launch TDD - Pipe Ram or Sheld Sectar Piles 45 45 5.11 Launch TDD - Pipe Ram or Sheld Sectar Piles 46 45 5.13 Attechning TDD - Pipe Ram or Sheld Sectar Piles 46 45 5.13 Attechning TDD - Pipe Ram or Sheld Sectar Piles 70 45 5.13 Attechning TDD - Pipe Ram or Sheld Sectar Piles 70 45 5.13 Attechning TDD - Pipe Rading Sectar Piles 45 45 5.13 Attechning TDD - Pipe Rading Sectar Piles 45 45 5.13 Attechning TDD - Pipe Rading Sectar Piles 45 45 5.13 Attechning TDD - Pipe Rading Sectar Piles 45 45 5.13 Attechning TDD - Pipe Rading Sectar Piles 45 45 5.13 Attechning TDD - Pipe Rading Sectar Piles 45 45 5.13 Attechning TDD - Pipe Rading Secta	\$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$2,000,000 \$2,000,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000																																																																												
3.9 Auxilio 100 - Pub Kailio (3 mole) Securi Pues: 4.6 4.9 3.1 Security 100 - Pub Kailio (7 mole) Securi Pues: 4.6 4.9 3.1 Security 100 - Skild (1 mole) Securi Pues: 4.6 4.9 3.1 Security 100 - Pub Kailo (7 mole) Securi Pues: 4.6 4.9 3.1 Security 100 - Pub Kailo (7 mole) Securi Pues: 4.6 4.9 3.1 Security 100 - Pub Kailo (7 mole) Securi Pues: 4.6 4.9 3.1 Security 100 - Pue Jacking Securi Pues: 4.6 4.5 3.1 Security 100 - Pue Jacking Securi Pues: 4.5 4.5 3.1 Security 100 - Pue Jacking Securi Pues: 4.5 4.5 3.1 Security 100 - Pue Jacking Securi Pues: 4.5 4.5 3.2 Security 100 - Pue Jacking Securi Pues: 4.5 4.5 3.2 Security 100 - Pue Jacking Securit Pues: 4.5 4.5 3.2 Security 100 - Pue Jacking Securit Pues: 4.5	\$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$6,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000																																																																												
S11-Jaunch TBO - Shield Tunnel Secart Plais 45 45 S13-Jaunch TBO - Pipe Pam or Shield Scart Plais 45 45 S13-Receiving TBO - Pipe Pam or Shield Scart Plais 45 45 S13-Receiving TBO - Tipe Pam or Shield Scart Plais 45 45 S15-Receiving TBO - Tipe Jacking Scart Plais 45 45 S17-Receiving TBO - Pipe Jacking Scart Plais 45 45 S17-Receiving TBO - Pipe Jacking Scart Plais 45 45 S17-Receiving TBO - Pipe Jacking Scart Plais 45 45 S17-Receiving TBO - Pipe Jacking Scart Plais 45 45 S17-Receiving TBO - Pipe Jacking Scart Plais 45 45 S17-Receiving TBO - Pipe Jacking Scart Plais 45 45 S17-Receiving TBO - Pipe Jacking Scart Plais 45 45 S17-Receiving TBO - Pipe Jacking Scart Plais 45 45	\$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$6,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000																																																																												
S11-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Ram or Shield Secant Piles 45 45 S13-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Ram or Shield Secant Piles 46 45 S13-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Ram or Shield Secant Piles 47 45 S15-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 46 45 S17-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S17-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S17-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S17-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S22-Jacunch TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S23-Jacunch TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S23-Jacunch TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S23-Jacunch TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S23-Jacunch TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S23-Jacunch TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45	\$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$5,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000																																																																												
513 Haunch TBD - Pipe Ram or Shield Secant Piles 45 45 515 Haunch TBD - TBM Tunnel Secant Piles 70 45 515 Freeziving TBD - TBM Tunnel Secant Piles 70 45 517 Haunch TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 517 Haunch TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 519 Haunch TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 519 Haunch TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 513 Haunch TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 513 Haunch TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 513 Aneelving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 513 Carrier Pipe Installation Secant Piles 45 45 45 513 Carrier Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45	\$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$5,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000																																																																												
533-Receiving TBD - Pipe Ranor Sheled Secant Piles 45 25 515-Receiving TBD - TBM Tunnel Secant Piles 70 25 515-Receiving TBD - TBM Tunnel Secant Piles 45 45 517-Receiving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 519-Receiving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 519-Receiving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 513-Receiving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 523-Receiving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 523-Receiving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 523-Receiving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 523-Receiving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 523-Receiving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 523-Receiving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 523-Receiving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45	\$1,300,000 \$6,300,000 \$2,000,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000																																																																												
S15-Lunch TAD - TRM Tunnel Secant Plies 70 45 S17-Lunch TAD - TRM Tunnel Secant Plies 45 45 S17-Lunch TAD - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 45 S17-Lunch TAD - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 45 S19-Receiving TAD - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 45 S11-Receiving TAD - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 45 S21-Lunch TAD - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 45 S23-Receiving TAD - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 45 S23-Lunch TAD - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 25 S23-Lunch TAD - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 25 S25-Receiving TAD - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 25 S27-Lunch TAD - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 25 S27-Lench TAD - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 25 S27-Lench TAD - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 25 S27-Lench	\$6,300,000 \$2,000,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000																																																																												
S.JReceiving 180 - 1804 (unde) Secart Piles 45 S.JAuroch TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secart Piles 45 S.JReceiving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secart Piles	\$2,000,000 \$4,100,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$1,300,000																																																																												
Line International Control 45 23 S19-FaceWing Secant Plies 45 45 S19-Lauch TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 45 S19-Lauch TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 45 S21-Lauch TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 45 S23-Lauch TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 45 S23-Lauch TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 45 S23-Lauch TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 45 S25-Lauch TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 45 S27-Aseceving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 45 S27-Aseceving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 45 S27-Aseceving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 45 S27-Aseceving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies 45 45 S27-Aseceving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Plies	\$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000																																																																												
S19-Jaund TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S19-Jaundh TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S21+Jaundh TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S23-Jaundh TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S23-Jaundh TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S23-Jaundh TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S25-Jaundh TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S2 Carent Pile Installation - 108" 10 x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Secant Piles 45 45 <td>\$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000</td>	\$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000																																																																												
S19-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S21-Accord TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S23-Accord TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S23-Accord TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S23-Accord TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S25-Accord TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Accord TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Accord TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Accord TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Accord TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Accord TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Accord TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Accord TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Accord TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S2	\$1,300,000 \$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000																																																																												
S21-Lanch TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S23-Lancch Ving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S23-Ancch Ving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S23-Ancch Ving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S23-Ancch Ving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S23-Ancch Ving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Ancch Ving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Ancch Ving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Ancch Ving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Ancch Ving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Ancch Ving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Ancch Ving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Ancch Ving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S2 Pipe Jacking Secant Piles Secant Piles 45 <td>\$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000</td>	\$4,100,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,100,000																																																																												
S21-deceiving TB0 - Pipe Lacking Secant Piles 45 45 S23-Launch TB0 - Pipe Lanor Pipe Lacking Secant Piles 45 45 S23-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Lacking Secant Piles 45 45 S25-Launch TB0 - Pipe Lacking Secant Piles 45 45 S25-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Lacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Launch TB0 - Pipe Lacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Lacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Lacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Lacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Lacking Secant Piles 45 45 Segment 1- Whiter Narrows to SFSG PS Expension Length (ft) Cost Per ft Su Segment 1- Whiter Narrows to SFSG PS Expension Length (ft) Cost Per ft Su S1 EPPM Exavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Exav. 12.91 Su Su S3 Carrier Pipe Installation - 106' 10	\$1,300,000 \$4,100,000																																																																												
5.32-Launch TB0 - Pipe Ram or Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 5.32-Launch TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 5.32-Launch TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 5.32-Launch TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 5.27-Launch TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 5.27-Launch TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 5.27-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 5.27-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 5.27-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 5.27-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 5.27-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 45 5.27-Receiving TB0 - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 45 5.3 EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav. 12.915 S3.700 - 5.5 EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket	\$4,100,000																																																																												
S-23-Receiving IBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 25 S25-Launch TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 25 S25-Receiving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 25 S27-Receiving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 25 Secant Piles 45 25 Control Colspan="2">Control Control Cont	4																																																																												
3.25-Receiving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 43 43 S25-Receiving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Receiving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 S27-Receiving TBD - Pipe Jacking Secant Piles 45 45 Segment 1- Whiter Narrows to SFS Pr Curunel Excavation and Carrier Pipe Construction Segment 1- Whiter Narrows to SFS Pr Length (fft) Cost Per ft Secant Piles Segment 1- Whiter Narrows to SFS Pr S1 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 12,915 \$3,700 - Transport, Re-assemble machine for Rel-aunch - - - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for Rel-aunch - - - 55 EPIM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segment 1-12.9" Excav. 3,688 \$3,700 - 53 PIP Installation - 108" ID X.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 3,688 \$3,700 54 Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID X.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 183 \$3,800 54 Open Cut Pipe Installation	\$1,300,000																																																																												
LinkingLinkingSecurit Piles4545S27-LaurchTBD - Pipe JackingSecurit Piles4545S27-ReceivingTBD - Pipe JackingSecurit Piles4525Lunnel Excavation and Carrier Pipe ConstructionTunnel DriveLength (ft)Cost Per ftSuSegment 1 - Whittler Marcos to SFSG PS10 PM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.12.915\$4,9005EPMM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.12.915\$3,700- Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch5EPMM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.3,688\$4,90055Carrier Pipe Installation - 108'' ID x.75', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting3,688\$3,70053Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging183\$3,80053Carrier Pipe Installation - 108'' ID x.75', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,80054Open Cut Pipe Installation620-55Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,80056Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108'' ID x.75', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,30055Carrier Pipe Installation - 108'' ID x.75', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting10\$3,80051Carrier Pipe Installation - 108'' ID x.75', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting183\$3,80051Shied Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800 <td>\$4,100,000 \$1 300 000</td>	\$4,100,000 \$1 300 000																																																																												
S27-Receiving TBD - Pipe Jacking Seath Piles 45 25 LINEL EXACUTION ACTIVE PIPE CONSTRUCTION Tunnel Drev Description Length (f) Cost Per f1 Seath Piles	\$4.100.000																																																																												
Indue Execution and Carrier Pipe Construction Segment 1. Whittier Narrows USE 0 Description Conf. (1) Over Pire 1 Segment 2 S1 FPBM Execution w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav. 12.915 S3,00 53 S4,000 54 53 S4,000 55 S4,000 56 S4,000 56 S4,000 56 50 S4,000 56 S4,000 57 S4,000 </td <td>\$1,300,000</td>	\$1,300,000																																																																												
Lumel Excavation and Carrier Pipe ConstructionSumel DriveLength (ft)Cost Per ftSumeSegment 1 - Whittler Narrows to SFSG PS12,915\$4,900S1Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" 10 x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting12,915\$4,900SEPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9" Excav.3,688\$4,9005SEPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9" Excav.3,688\$4,9005SCarrier Pipe Installation - 108" 10 x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting3,688\$3,700S3Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" 10 x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting3,83\$3,800S3Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" 10 x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting83\$3,700S4Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" 10 x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting83\$3,800S4Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" 10 x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,800S5Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" 10 x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,800S6Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" 10 x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,800S7Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" 10 x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting10\$3,800S6Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" 10 x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting18\$3,800S7Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" 10 x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting18\$3,800S11Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" 10 x.75", Cellular B																																																																													
Segment 1 - Whittier Narrows to SFSG PS51CPRM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.12,915\$4,90051Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting12,915\$3,700-Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launchTransport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launchTransport, Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting3,688\$3,70052Open Cut Pipe Installation4,687-53Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging183\$3,80054Open Cut Pipe Installation3,516-56Open Cut Pipe Installation620-57Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging85\$3,80057Carrier Pipe Installation620-58Open Cut Pipe Installation1690-59Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,80059Carrier Pipe Installation1,690-59Open Cut Pipe Installation1,830-511Carrier Pipe Installation1,830-512Open Cut Pipe Installation1,830-513Carrier Pipe Installation1,830-59Open Cut Pipe Installation1,830-511Carrier Pipe Installation1,830-511Carrier Pipe Installation1,83,000-512Open Cut Pipe Installation4,840-<	ibtotal Direct Cost																																																																												
S1EPBM Escavation w/bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Escav.12.915\$4,900S1Carrier Pipe Installation - 108'' ID x. 75'', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting12.915\$3,700-Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launchS5EPBM Escavation w/bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Escav.3,688\$4,900S2Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108'' ID x. 75'', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting3,688\$3,700S3Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging183\$3,800S4Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108'' ID x. 75'', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,700S4Open Cut Pipe Installation620-S6Open Cut Pipe Installation620-S6Open Cut Pipe Installation85\$3,800S7Carrier Pipe Installation 108'' ID x. 75'', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,800S8Open Cut Pipe Installation 108'' ID x. 75'', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting100\$3,800S9Oper Gut Pipe Installation 108'' ID x. 75'', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,800S10Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108'' ID x. 75'', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting188\$3,700S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging188\$3,800-S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging188\$3,800-S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging188\$3,800-S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging188\$3,800- </td <td></td>																																																																													
S1Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting12,915\$3,700-Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launchS5EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.3,688\$4,900S5Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting3,688\$3,700S2Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting183\$3,800S3Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting183\$3,700S4Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,800S6Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,800S7Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging85\$3,800S8Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting16,90-S8Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting1,690-S9Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,800S10Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting1,830-S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging18\$3,800S12Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,800S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging18\$3,800S12Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Co	\$63,283,500																																																																												
-Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launchS5EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.3,688\$4,900S5Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting3,688\$3,700S2Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting183\$3,800S3Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting183\$3,700S4Open Cut Pipe Installation3,516-S6Open Cut Pipe Installation620-S7Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging85\$3,800S8Open Cut Pipe Installation1,690-S9Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,800S9Carrier Pipe Installation1,690-S9Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,700S10Open Cut Pipe Installation1,830-S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,800S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,800S11Carrier Pipe Installation981-S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800S12Open Cut Pipe Installation981-S13Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800S14Open Cut Pipe Installation4,340-S13Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,7	\$47,785,500																																																																												
S5Christ Scalarition W/bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9 Excav.3,688\$4,900S5Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting3,688\$3,700S2Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting183\$3,800S3Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting183\$3,700S4Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting3,516-S6Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,800S7Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging620-S7Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,800S8Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,700S8Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting1,690-S9Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,800S10Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,700S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800S11Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,700S12Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800S12Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cell	\$5,000,000																																																																												
S2Clarifier Figure InstallationS,86853,700S2Open Cut Pipe Installation4,687-S3Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging183\$3,800S3Carrier Pipe Installation35,166-S6Open Cut Pipe Installation620-S7Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging85\$3,800S7Carrier Pipe Installation620-S8Open Cut Pipe Installation85\$3,800S7Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,700S8Open Cut Pipe Installation1,660-S9Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,800S9Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,700S9Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,700S10Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,800S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,800S11Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,700S12Open Cut Pipe Installation4,840-S13Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,700S14Open Cut Pipe Installation4,340-S13Carrier Pipe Installation4,340 <td>\$18,071,200</td>	\$18,071,200																																																																												
12Open commission183\$3,80053Carrier Pipe Installation108 'ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting183\$3,70053Carrier Pipe Installation3,516-54Open Cut Pipe Installation620-57Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging85\$3,80057Carrier Pipe Installation620-57Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging85\$3,70058Open Cut Pipe Installation1,690-59Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,80059Carrier Pipe Installation1,690-50Open Cut Pipe Installation1,630-510Open Cut Pipe Installation1,630-511Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,700510Open Cut Pipe Installation1,830-511Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800511Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,700512Open Cut Pipe Installation981-513Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800514Carrier Pipe Installation4,340-513Carrier Pipe Installation514Open Cut Pipe Installation515EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation	\$15,045,000																																																																												
S3Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting183\$3,700S4Open Cut Pipe Installation3,516-S6Open Cut Pipe Installation620-S7Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging85\$3,800S7Carrier Pipe Installation85\$3,700S8Open Cut Pipe Installation1,690-S9Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,800S9Carrier Pipe Installation1,690-S9Carrier Pipe Installation1,830-S10Open Cut Pipe Installation1,830-S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,700S10Open Cut Pipe Installation4,830-S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800S11Carrier Pipe Installation458\$3,800S11Carrier Pipe Installation981-S13Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation4,340-S13Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,700S13Carrier Pipe InstallationS14Open Cut Pipe InstallationS15EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.4,250\$4,900S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700 <tr <td=""><t< td=""><td>\$695.400</td></t<></tr> <tr><td>S4Open Cut Pipe Installation3,516-S6Open Cut Pipe Installation620-S7Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging85\$3,800S7Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,800S8Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting10\$3,800S9Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,800S10Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,700S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging1,830-S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800S11Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,700S12Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S14Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,340-S15EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9" Excav.4,250\$4,900S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700S15Carri</td><td>\$677,100</td></tr> <tr><td>S6Open Cut Pipe Installation620-S7Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging85\$3,800S8Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,700S8Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting1,690-S9Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,800S9Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,700S10Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting1,830-S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800S11Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,800S11Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting81-S12Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S12Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,340-S14Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900S15EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Ga</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>57Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging85\$3,80057Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,70058Open Cut Pipe Installation1,600-59Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,80059Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,70050Open Cut Pipe Installation1,830-510Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,800511Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,700512Open Cut Pipe Installation981-513Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800514Carrier Pipe Installation981-513Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800514Open Cut Pipe Installation4,340-515EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9" Excav.4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108"</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>S7Carrier Pipe Installation85\$3,70058Open Cut Pipe Installation1,690-59Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,80059Carrier Pipe Installation108 ''.75'', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,70050Open Cut Pipe Installation1,830-510Open Cut Pipe Installation1,830-511Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800511Carrier Pipe Installation981-512Open Cut Pipe Installation981-513Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800514Open Cut Pipe Installation981-513Carrier Pipe Installation981-514Open Cut Pipe Installation4,340-515Carrier Pipe Installation4,340Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launchTransport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch515EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108'' ID x. 75'', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108'' ID x. 75'', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700-Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launchTransport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launchEPBM E</td><td>\$323,000</td></tr> <tr><td>36Open cut pipe Installation1,690-59Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,80059Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,70050Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,700511Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800511Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,700512Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800513Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,700513Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700513Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700514Open Cut Pipe Installation515EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.4,250\$4,900516Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700516Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Gr</td><td>\$314,500</td></tr> <tr><td>Spectral product former Windows United Windows Object11053,000S9Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,700S10Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting1,830-S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800S12Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,700S13Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700S14Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,340-S15EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.4,250\$4,900S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,800-</td><td>\$419.000</td></tr> <tr><td>S10 Open Cut Pipe Installation 1,830 - S11 Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging 458 \$3,800 S11 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 458 \$3,700 S12 Open Cut Pipe Installation 981 - S13 Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging 118 \$3,800 S13 Carrier Pipe Installation 4,340 - S14 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,340 - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch - - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch - - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$4,900 S15 EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav. 4,250 \$3,700 S15 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$3,700 S15 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$3,700 S16 Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,260 - <td>\$407.000</td></td></tr> <tr><td>S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800S11Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,700S12Open Cut Pipe Installation981-S13Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700S14Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,340Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launchTransport, Re-assemble machine for Be-launchS15EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9" Excav.4,250\$4,900S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700S16Open Cut Pipe Installation4,800-</td><td>+,</td></tr> <tr><td>S11Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,700S12Open Cut Pipe Installation981-S13Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700S14Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700S14Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact GroutingS15EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.4,250\$4,900S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,800-</td><td>\$1,740,400</td></tr> <tr><td>512Open Cut Pipe Installation981-513Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800513Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700514Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,340Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch515EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,260\$3,700516Open Cut Pipe Installation4,800-</td><td>\$1,694,600</td></tr> <tr><td>513 Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging 118 \$3,800 513 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 118 \$3,700 514 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,340 - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch - - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch - - 515 EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav. 4,250 \$4,900 515 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$3,700 516 Open Cut Pipe Installation of Distallation 4,800 -</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>S13 Carrier Pipe Installation 118 \$3,700 S14 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,340 - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch - - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch - - S15 EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav. 4,250 \$4,900 S15 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$3,700 S16 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,800 -</td><td>\$448,400</td></tr> <tr><td>- Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch</td><td>\$436,600</td></tr> <tr><td>S15 EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav. 4,250 \$4,900 S15 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$3,700 S16 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,800 -</td><td>\$5,000,000</td></tr> <tr><td>S15 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$3,700 S16 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,800 -</td><td>\$20,825,000</td></tr> <tr><td>S16 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,800 -</td><td>\$15,725,000</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>\$17 Pipe Jacking 653 \$4,800</td><td>\$3,134,400</td></tr> <tr><td>51/ Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 653 \$3,700</td><td>\$2,416,100</td></tr> <tr><td>Job Open out ripe instantation 2,045 - \$19 Pipe lacking 0.11 64.000</td><td>¢1 277 001</td></tr> <tr><td>519 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 911 \$3700</td><td>\$3.370 700</td></tr> <tr><td>S20 Open Cut Pipe Installation 5,890 -</td><td>ç3,370,700</td></tr> <tr><td>\$21 Pipe Jacking 1,427 \$4,800</td><td>\$6,849,600</td></tr> <tr><td>S21 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 1,427 \$3,700</td><td>\$5,279,900</td></tr> <tr><td>S22 Open Cut Pipe Installation 1,334 -</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>523 Trenchless Pipe Ram or Pipe Jacking 173 \$3,800</td><td>\$657,400</td></tr> <tr><td>S24 Competitive installation - Los Lo X. / S", Leilular Backfill, Contact Grouting 173 \$3,700</td><td>\$640,100</td></tr> <tr><td>575 Pipe lacking 1313</td><td>¢6 207 601</td></tr> <tr><td>525 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 1.312 \$3.700</td><td>\$4.854.400</td></tr> <tr><td>S26 Open Cut Pipe Installation 1,154</td><td>, ,, ,</td></tr> <tr><td>\$27 Pipe Jacking 488 \$4,800</td><td>\$2,342,400</td></tr> <tr><td>S27 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x . 75", Cellular Backhil, Contact Grouting 488 \$3,700</td><td>\$1,805,600</td></tr> <tr><td>Direct Cost - Trenchless</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Mobilization - Trenchless 5%</td><td>\$322,811,800</td></tr> <tr><td>Overhead - Trenchless 27%</td><td>\$322,811,800 \$16,140,590</td></tr> <tr><td>Profit - Trenchless 18%</td><td>\$322,811,800 \$16,140,590 \$87,159,186</td></tr> <tr><td>Contingency - Trenchless 35%</td><td>\$322,811,800 \$16,140,590 \$87,159,186 \$58,106,124</td></tr> <tr><td>SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS - WHITTIER TO SFSG PS</td><td>\$322,811,800 \$16,140,590 \$87,159,186 \$58,106,124 \$169,476,195</td></tr>	\$695.400	S4Open Cut Pipe Installation3,516-S6Open Cut Pipe Installation620-S7Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging85\$3,800S7Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,800S8Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting10\$3,800S9Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,800S10Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,700S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging1,830-S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800S11Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,700S12Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S14Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,340-S15EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9" Excav.4,250\$4,900S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700S15Carri	\$677,100	S6Open Cut Pipe Installation620-S7Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging85\$3,800S8Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,700S8Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting1,690-S9Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,800S9Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,700S10Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting1,830-S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800S11Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,800S11Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting81-S12Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S12Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,340-S14Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900S15EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Ga		57Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging85\$3,80057Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,70058Open Cut Pipe Installation1,600-59Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,80059Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,70050Open Cut Pipe Installation1,830-510Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,800511Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,700512Open Cut Pipe Installation981-513Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800514Carrier Pipe Installation981-513Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800514Open Cut Pipe Installation4,340-515EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9" Excav.4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108"		S7Carrier Pipe Installation85\$3,70058Open Cut Pipe Installation1,690-59Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,80059Carrier Pipe Installation108 ''.75'', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,70050Open Cut Pipe Installation1,830-510Open Cut Pipe Installation1,830-511Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800511Carrier Pipe Installation981-512Open Cut Pipe Installation981-513Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800514Open Cut Pipe Installation981-513Carrier Pipe Installation981-514Open Cut Pipe Installation4,340-515Carrier Pipe Installation4,340Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launchTransport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch515EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108'' ID x. 75'', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108'' ID x. 75'', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700-Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launchTransport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launchEPBM E	\$323,000	36Open cut pipe Installation1,690-59Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,80059Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,70050Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,700511Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800511Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,700512Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800513Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,700513Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700513Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700514Open Cut Pipe Installation515EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.4,250\$4,900516Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700516Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Gr	\$314,500	Spectral product former Windows United Windows Object11053,000S9Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,700S10Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting1,830-S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800S12Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,700S13Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700S14Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,340-S15EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.4,250\$4,900S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,800-	\$419.000	S10 Open Cut Pipe Installation 1,830 - S11 Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging 458 \$3,800 S11 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 458 \$3,700 S12 Open Cut Pipe Installation 981 - S13 Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging 118 \$3,800 S13 Carrier Pipe Installation 4,340 - S14 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,340 - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch - - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch - - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$4,900 S15 EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav. 4,250 \$3,700 S15 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$3,700 S15 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$3,700 S16 Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,260 - <td>\$407.000</td>	\$407.000	S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800S11Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,700S12Open Cut Pipe Installation981-S13Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700S14Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,340Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launchTransport, Re-assemble machine for Be-launchS15EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9" Excav.4,250\$4,900S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700S16Open Cut Pipe Installation4,800-	+,	S11Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,700S12Open Cut Pipe Installation981-S13Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700S14Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700S14Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact GroutingS15EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.4,250\$4,900S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,800-	\$1,740,400	512Open Cut Pipe Installation981-513Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800513Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700514Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,340Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch515EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,260\$3,700516Open Cut Pipe Installation4,800-	\$1,694,600	513 Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging 118 \$3,800 513 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 118 \$3,700 514 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,340 - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch - - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch - - 515 EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav. 4,250 \$4,900 515 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$3,700 516 Open Cut Pipe Installation of Distallation 4,800 -		S13 Carrier Pipe Installation 118 \$3,700 S14 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,340 - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch - - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch - - S15 EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav. 4,250 \$4,900 S15 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$3,700 S16 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,800 -	\$448,400	- Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch	\$436,600	S15 EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav. 4,250 \$4,900 S15 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$3,700 S16 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,800 -	\$5,000,000	S15 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$3,700 S16 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,800 -	\$20,825,000	S16 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,800 -	\$15,725,000			\$17 Pipe Jacking 653 \$4,800	\$3,134,400	51/ Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 653 \$3,700	\$2,416,100	Job Open out ripe instantation 2,045 - \$19 Pipe lacking 0.11 64.000	¢1 277 001	519 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 911 \$3700	\$3.370 700	S20 Open Cut Pipe Installation 5,890 -	ç3,370,700	\$21 Pipe Jacking 1,427 \$4,800	\$6,849,600	S21 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 1,427 \$3,700	\$5,279,900	S22 Open Cut Pipe Installation 1,334 -		523 Trenchless Pipe Ram or Pipe Jacking 173 \$3,800	\$657,400	S24 Competitive installation - Los Lo X. / S", Leilular Backfill, Contact Grouting 173 \$3,700	\$640,100	575 Pipe lacking 1313	¢6 207 601	525 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 1.312 \$3.700	\$4.854.400	S26 Open Cut Pipe Installation 1,154	, ,, ,	\$27 Pipe Jacking 488 \$4,800	\$2,342,400	S27 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x . 75", Cellular Backhil, Contact Grouting 488 \$3,700	\$1,805,600	Direct Cost - Trenchless		Mobilization - Trenchless 5%	\$322,811,800	Overhead - Trenchless 27%	\$322,811,800 \$16,140,590	Profit - Trenchless 18%	\$322,811,800 \$16,140,590 \$87,159,186	Contingency - Trenchless 35%	\$322,811,800 \$16,140,590 \$87,159,186 \$58,106,124	SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS - WHITTIER TO SFSG PS	\$322,811,800 \$16,140,590 \$87,159,186 \$58,106,124 \$169,476,195
\$695.400																																																																													
S4Open Cut Pipe Installation3,516-S6Open Cut Pipe Installation620-S7Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging85\$3,800S7Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,800S8Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting10\$3,800S9Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,800S10Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,700S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging1,830-S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800S11Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,700S12Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S14Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,340-S15EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9" Excav.4,250\$4,900S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700S15Carri	\$677,100																																																																												
S6Open Cut Pipe Installation620-S7Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging85\$3,800S8Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,700S8Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting1,690-S9Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,800S9Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,700S10Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting1,830-S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800S11Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,800S11Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting81-S12Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S12Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,340-S14Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900S15EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Ga																																																																													
57Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging85\$3,80057Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting85\$3,70058Open Cut Pipe Installation1,600-59Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,80059Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,70050Open Cut Pipe Installation1,830-510Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,800511Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,700512Open Cut Pipe Installation981-513Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800514Carrier Pipe Installation981-513Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800514Open Cut Pipe Installation4,340-515EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9" Excav.4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108"																																																																													
S7Carrier Pipe Installation85\$3,70058Open Cut Pipe Installation1,690-59Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,80059Carrier Pipe Installation108 ''.75'', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,70050Open Cut Pipe Installation1,830-510Open Cut Pipe Installation1,830-511Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800511Carrier Pipe Installation981-512Open Cut Pipe Installation981-513Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800514Open Cut Pipe Installation981-513Carrier Pipe Installation981-514Open Cut Pipe Installation4,340-515Carrier Pipe Installation4,340Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launchTransport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch515EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108'' ID x. 75'', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108'' ID x. 75'', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700-Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launchTransport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launchEPBM E	\$323,000																																																																												
36Open cut pipe Installation1,690-59Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging110\$3,80059Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,70050Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,700511Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800511Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,700512Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,800513Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,700513Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700513Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700514Open Cut Pipe Installation515EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.4,250\$4,900516Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700516Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Gr	\$314,500																																																																												
Spectral product former Windows United Windows Object11053,000S9Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting110\$3,700S10Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting1,830-S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800S12Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,700S13Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700S14Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,340-S15EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.4,250\$4,900S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$4,900S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,800-	\$419.000																																																																												
S10 Open Cut Pipe Installation 1,830 - S11 Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging 458 \$3,800 S11 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 458 \$3,700 S12 Open Cut Pipe Installation 981 - S13 Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging 118 \$3,800 S13 Carrier Pipe Installation 4,340 - S14 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,340 - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch - - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch - - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$4,900 S15 EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav. 4,250 \$3,700 S15 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$3,700 S15 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$3,700 S16 Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,260 - <td>\$407.000</td>	\$407.000																																																																												
S11Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging458\$3,800S11Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,700S12Open Cut Pipe Installation981-S13Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700S14Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,340Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launchTransport, Re-assemble machine for Be-launchS15EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9" Excav.4,250\$4,900S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x. 75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,250\$3,700S16Open Cut Pipe Installation4,800-	+,																																																																												
S11Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting458\$3,700S12Open Cut Pipe Installation981-S13Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800S13Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700S14Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700S14Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact GroutingS15EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.4,250\$4,900S15Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,800-	\$1,740,400																																																																												
512Open Cut Pipe Installation981-513Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging118\$3,800513Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting118\$3,700514Open Cut Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,340Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch515EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.4,250\$4,900515Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting4,260\$3,700516Open Cut Pipe Installation4,800-	\$1,694,600																																																																												
513 Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging 118 \$3,800 513 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 118 \$3,700 514 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,340 - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch - - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch - - 515 EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav. 4,250 \$4,900 515 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$3,700 516 Open Cut Pipe Installation of Distallation 4,800 -																																																																													
S13 Carrier Pipe Installation 118 \$3,700 S14 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,340 - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch - - - Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch - - S15 EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav. 4,250 \$4,900 S15 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75', Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$3,700 S16 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,800 -	\$448,400																																																																												
- Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch	\$436,600																																																																												
S15 EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav. 4,250 \$4,900 S15 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$3,700 S16 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,800 -	\$5,000,000																																																																												
S15 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 4,250 \$3,700 S16 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,800 -	\$20,825,000																																																																												
S16 Open Cut Pipe Installation 4,800 -	\$15,725,000																																																																												
\$17 Pipe Jacking 653 \$4,800	\$3,134,400																																																																												
51/ Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 653 \$3,700	\$2,416,100																																																																												
Job Open out ripe instantation 2,045 - \$19 Pipe lacking 0.11 64.000	¢1 277 001																																																																												
519 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 911 \$3700	\$3.370 700																																																																												
S20 Open Cut Pipe Installation 5,890 -	ç3,370,700																																																																												
\$21 Pipe Jacking 1,427 \$4,800	\$6,849,600																																																																												
S21 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 1,427 \$3,700	\$5,279,900																																																																												
S22 Open Cut Pipe Installation 1,334 -																																																																													
523 Trenchless Pipe Ram or Pipe Jacking 173 \$3,800	\$657,400																																																																												
S24 Competitive installation - Los Lo X. / S", Leilular Backfill, Contact Grouting 173 \$3,700	\$640,100																																																																												
575 Pipe lacking 1313	¢6 207 601																																																																												
525 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x.75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting 1.312 \$3.700	\$4.854.400																																																																												
S26 Open Cut Pipe Installation 1,154	, ,, ,																																																																												
\$27 Pipe Jacking 488 \$4,800	\$2,342,400																																																																												
S27 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x . 75", Cellular Backhil, Contact Grouting 488 \$3,700	\$1,805,600																																																																												
Direct Cost - Trenchless																																																																													
Mobilization - Trenchless 5%	\$322,811,800																																																																												
Overhead - Trenchless 27%	\$322,811,800 \$16,140,590																																																																												
Profit - Trenchless 18%	\$322,811,800 \$16,140,590 \$87,159,186																																																																												
Contingency - Trenchless 35%	\$322,811,800 \$16,140,590 \$87,159,186 \$58,106,124																																																																												
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS - WHITTIER TO SFSG PS	\$322,811,800 \$16,140,590 \$87,159,186 \$58,106,124 \$169,476,195																																																																												

TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPEN CUT AND TRENCHLESS)

Cost Details for 9' Diameter Pipe - Segment 2

Segment 2 - SFSG PS to Canyon SGs Direct Costs for Open Cut (9' Diameter) Direct Costs

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>		<u>Unit Cost</u>		Total Cost
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 108"	753	LF	\$	3,174.85	\$	2,390,658
Subtotal -					\$	2,390,658
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) 108"	11,017	LF	\$	2,645.28	\$	29,143,104
Subtotal -					\$	29,143,104
Added Sitework Costs Intersection Traffic Control (Open Cut) Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchless) Landscaped Median (demo & replace) Raised Median (demo & replace) Subtotal -	0	EA EA LF LF	\$ \$ \$	78,500.00 12,500.00 215.00 200.00	\$\$\$\$ \$ \$	- - -
Added Pipeline Costs Major Utility Crossings 108" Major Intersection Crossings 108"	0 0	EA EA				
รมมเปเล่า -						

Direct Costs - Open Cut		\$ 31,533,762
General Requirement - Open Cut	15%	\$ 4,730,064
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut	15%	\$ 4,730,064
Recommended Contingency - Open Cut	35%	\$ 14,347,862
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut	3.6%	\$ 1,981,452
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT		\$ 57,300,000

Trenchless Installations For Segment 2 - SFSG PS to Canyon Spreading Grounds (9' Diameter) Direct Costs

Shaft Construction								
<u>Shaft</u>	Shaft Location	<u>Shaft Type</u>	Depth (ft)	<u>ID (ft)</u>	Subtotal Direct Cost			
Sogmont 2 SESC I	PC to Convon Enroading							
S20-Launch		Socant Bilos	45	45	\$4 100 000			
S29-Receiving	TBD - Pipe Jacking	Secant Piles	45	45 25	\$4,100,000			
S31-Launch	TBD - Pine Ram or Pine Jacking	Secant Piles	45	45	\$4,000,000			
S31-Receiving	TBD - Pipe Ram or Pipe Jacking	Secant Piles	45	25	\$1,300,000			
	Tunnel Excavation	and Carrier Pine Construct	tion					
Tunnel Drive	Description		Length (ft)	Cost Per ft	Subtotal Direct Cost			
Segment 2 - SFSG F	PS to Canyon Spreading							
S28	Open Cut Pipe Installation		2,626	-				
S29	Pipe Jacking		973	\$4,800	\$4,670,400			
S29	Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellula	ar Backfill, Contact Grouting	973	\$3,700	\$3,600,100			
S30	Open Cut Pipe Installation		5,045	-				
S31	Trenchless Pipe Ram or Pipe Jacking		57	\$3,800	\$216,600			
\$31	Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellula	ar Backfill, Contact Grouting	57	\$3,700	\$210,900			
Direct Cost - Trencl	hless				\$19,498,000			
Mobilization - Trend	chless			5%	\$974,900			
Overhead - Trenchl	ess			27%	\$5,264,460			
Profit - Trenchless				18%	\$3,509,640			
Contingency - Tren	chless			35%	\$10,236,450			
SUBTOTAL - TRE	NCHLESS - SFSG PS TO CANYON SPREADING GRO	DUNDS			\$39,500,000			

TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPEN CUT AND TRENCHLESS)

\$96,800,000

Cost Details for 7' Diameter Pipe Segments

Rose Hills Road/Shepherd St to South of Valley Blvd (7' Diameter)

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>		<u>Unit Cost</u>		Total Cost
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 84"	880	LF	\$	2,060.43	\$	1,813,178
Subtotal -					\$	1,813,178
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) 84"	12,875	LF	\$	1,607.44	\$	20,695,768
Subtotal -					\$	20,695,768
Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank; Open Cut) 84"	2,540	LF	\$	1,476.11	\$	3,749,326
Subtotal -					\$	3,749,326
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore (Trenchless) < 200 Feet						
84" 200 - 2000 Feet		LF	\$	5,036.49	\$	-
84" Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")	240 2 1	LF EA EA	\$ \$ \$	5,036.49 379,702.66 200,000.00	\$ \$ \$	1,208,758 759,405 200,000
Subtotal -					\$	2,168,163
Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling (Trenchless) < 200 Feet, No Boulders						
84" < 200 Feet, With Boulders		LF	\$	6,295.61	\$	-
84" 200 - 2000 Feet, No Boulders	125	LF	\$	6,925.18	\$	865,647
84" 200 - 2000 Feet, With Boulders		LF	\$	6,295.61	\$	-
84" Shafts (84")	4,505 14	LF EA	\$ \$	6,633.06 399.670.91	\$ \$	29,881,934 5.595.393
Mob/Demob (84")	7	EA	\$	400,000.00	\$	2,800,000
Subtotal -					\$	39,142,973
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling (Trenchless)			•	0.040.40	•	
84 Slurry TBM		LF	\$	6,010.43	\$	-
84"		LF			\$	-
Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")		EA EA	\$ \$:	548,473.45 3,500,000.00	\$ \$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Added Sitework Costs Intersection Traffic Control (Open Cut) Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchless)	0	EA EA	\$ \$	78,500.00 12,500.00 240.21	\$ \$	- -
Raised Median (demo & replace)	600	LF	Դ \$	227.33	ծ \$	136,396
Subtotal -					\$	136,396
Added Pipeline Costs						
84" Major Intersection Crossings	6	EA	\$	151,094.75	\$	906,569
84"	0	EA	\$	1,007,298.35	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	906,569

Rose Hills Road/Shepherd St to South of Valley Blvd (7' Diameter) Direct Costs

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	Unit Cost		Total Cost
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones					
84"		EA	\$1,344,192.92	\$	-
Dewatering			· ,- ,	•	
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	880	LF	\$ 30.87	\$	27,170
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	12,875	LF	\$ 6.17	\$	79,502
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	2,540	LF	\$ 6.17	\$	15,684
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	240	LF	\$ 49.99	\$	11,997
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	4,630	LF	\$ 35.29	\$	163,371
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	\$ 44.11	\$	-
Permeable Soils					
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	880	LF	\$ 15.44	\$	13.585
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	12.875	LF	\$ 3.09	\$	39,751
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	2 540	LE	\$ 3.09	ŝ	7 842
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	2,040	LE	¢ 0.00 \$ 24.00	¢	5 000
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Dole	4 630		φ 24.99 ¢ 1764	φ Φ	91 696
Construction Method 4D - Microlutille	4,030		¢ 17.04	ф Ф	01,000
	U	LF	\$ 22.05	Ф	-
Direct Costs - Open Cut				\$	27,484,771
General Requirement - Open Cut			15%	\$	4,122,716
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut			15%	\$	4,122,716
Contingencies - Open Cut			35%	\$	12,505,571
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut			3.6%	\$	1,727,030
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT				\$	50,000,000
Direct Costs - Trenchless				\$	41,574,189
General Requirement - Trenchless			15%	\$	6,236,128
General Contractor OH&P - Trenchless			15%	\$	6,236,128
Contingencies - Trenchless			35%	\$	18,916,256
Bonds & Insurance - Trenchless			3.6%	\$	2,612,351
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS				\$	75,600,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST				\$	125,500,000

South of Valley Blvd to Live Oak Ave (7' Diameter) Direct Costs

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>		<u>Unit Cost</u>		Total Cost
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 84"	6,420	LF	\$	2,060.43	\$	13,227,960
Subtotal -					\$	13,227,960
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) 84"	15,575	LF	\$	1,607.44	\$	25,035,851
Subtotal -					\$	25,035,851
Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank; Open Cut) 84"		LF	\$	1,476.11	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore (Trenchless)						
84" 200 - 2000 Feet	420	LF	\$	5,036.49	\$	2,115,327
84" Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")	230 10 5	LF EA EA	\$ \$ \$	5,036.49 379,702.66 200,000.00	\$ \$ \$	1,158,393 3,797,027 1,000,000
Subtotal -					\$	8,070,746
Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling (Trenchless) < 200 Feet, No Boulders						
84" < 200 Feet, With Boulders		LF	\$	6,295.61	\$	-
84" 200 - 2000 Feet, No Boulders			\$	6,925.18	\$	-
200 - 2000 Feet, With Boulders 84"	1 950	LF I F	э \$	6 633 06	э \$	- 12 934 466
Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")	4	EA EA	\$ \$	399,670.91 400,000.00	\$ \$	1,598,684 800,000
Subtotal -					\$	15,333,150
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling (Trenchless) EPBM						
84" Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")		LF EA EA	\$ \$ \$	6,010.43 548,473.45 3,500,000.00	\$ \$ \$	
Subtotal -					\$	-
Added Sitework Costs	2	E۸	¢	78 500 00	¢	107 682
Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchless)	250	EA	Գ Տ Տ	12,500.00	φ \$ \$	- 60 054
Raised Median (demo & replace)	200	LF	\$	227.33	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	257,736
Added Pipeline Costs Major Utility Crossings						
84" Major Intersection Crossings	6	EA	\$	151,094.75	\$	906,569
84" Subtotal	0	ΕA	\$	1,007,298.35	\$	-
					φ	300,009

South of Valley Blvd to Live Oak Ave (7' Diameter) Direct Costs

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	Unit Cost		Total Cost
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones					
84"		EA	\$1,344,192.92	2 \$	-
Dewatering					
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	0	LF	\$ 30.8	7 \$	-
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	4,000	LF	\$ 6.1	7 \$	24,700
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	0	LF	\$ 6.1	7 \$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	85	LF	\$ 49.9	9 \$	4,249
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	1,950	LF	\$ 35.2	9 \$	68,807
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	\$ 44.1	1 \$	-
Permeable Soils					
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	0	LF	\$ 15.4	4 \$	-
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	4,000	LF	\$ 3.0	9 \$	12,350
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	0	LF	\$ 3.0	9 \$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	85	LF	\$ 24.9	9 \$	2,124
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	1,950	LF	\$ 17.6	4 \$	34,403
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	\$ 22.0	5\$	_
g	-		•		
Direct Costs - Open Cut				\$	39,465,165
General Requirement - Open Cut			15	%\$	5,919,775
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut			15	%\$	5,919,775
Contingencies - Open Cut			35	%\$	17,956,650
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut			3.6	%\$	2,479,828
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT				\$	71,700,000
Direct Costs - Trenchless				\$	23,513,479
General Requirement - Trenchless			15	%\$	3,527,022
General Contractor OH&P - Trenchless			15	%\$	3,527,022
Contingencies - Trenchless			35	%\$	10,698,633
Bonds & Insurance - Trenchless			3.6	%\$	1,477,490
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS				\$	42,700,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST				\$	114,500,000

Live Oak Ave to Santa Fe Spreading Grounds PS (7' Diameter)

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>		<u>Unit Cost</u>		Total Cost
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 84"	3,800	LF	\$	2,060.43	\$	7,829,634
Subtotal -					\$	7,829,634
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) 84"	7,017	LF	\$	1,607.44	\$	11,279,394
Subtotal -					\$	11,279,394
Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank; Open Cut) 84"		LF	\$	1,476.11	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore (Trenchless) < 200 Feet						
84" 200 - 2000 Feet	170	LF	\$	5,036.49	\$	856,204
84" Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")	2 1	LF EA EA	\$ \$ \$	5,036.49 379,702.66 200,000.00	\$ \$ \$	- 759,405 200,000
Subtotal -					\$	1,815,609
Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling (Trenchless) < 200 Feet, No Boulders						
84" < 200 Feet, With Boulders		LF	\$	6,295.61	\$	-
84" 2000 - 2000 Foot No Rouldoro	190	LF	\$	6,925.18	\$	1,315,783
84"		LF	\$	6,295.61	\$	-
200 - 2000 Feet, With Boulders 84"	4,150	LF	\$	6,633.06	\$	27,527,197
Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")	12 6	EA EA	\$ \$	399,670.91 400,000.00	\$ \$	4,796,051 2,400,000
Subtotal -					\$	36,039,032
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling (Trenchless) EPBM						
84" Shafts (84")		LF FA	\$ \$	6,010.43 548 473 45	\$ \$	-
Mob/Demob (84")		EA	\$:	3,500,000.00	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Added Sitework Costs	4		۴	78 500 00	¢	00.044
Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchless)	I	EA	ъ \$	12,500.00	ֆ \$	90,041
Landscaped Median (demo & replace) Raised Median (demo & replace)	200	LF LF	\$ \$	240.21 227.33	\$ \$	48,043 -
Subtotal -					\$	146,884
Added Pipeline Costs						
84"	4	EA	\$	151,094.75	\$	604,379
Major Intersection Crossings 84"	1	EA	\$	1,007,298.35	\$	1,007,298
Subtotal -					\$	1,611,677

Live Oak Ave to Santa Fe Spreading Grounds PS (7' Diameter) Direct Costs

Item Description Geotechnical Added Costs	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Cost</u>		<u>Total Cost</u>
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones					
84"		EA	\$1,344,192.92	\$	-
Dewatering	0		¢ 20.07	¢	
Construction Method 2 - SCE Essement	0		\$ 30.87 \$ 6.17	Ф Ф	-
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	0	LF	\$ 0.17 \$ 6.17	Ψ \$	_
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	0	I F	\$ 49.99	\$	-
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	0 0	LF	\$ 35.29	\$	-
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	\$ 44.11	\$	-
Permeable Soils					
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	0	LF	\$ 15.44	\$	-
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	0	LF	\$ 3.09	\$	-
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	0	LF	\$ 3.09	\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	0	LF	\$ 24.99	\$	-
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	0	LF	\$ 17.64	\$	-
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	\$ 22.05	\$	-
Direct Costs - Open Cut				\$	20,867,589
General Requirement - Open Cut			15%	\$	3,130,138
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut			15%	\$	3,130,138
Contingencies - Open Cut			35%	\$	9,494,753
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut			3.6%	\$	1,311,233
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT				\$	37,900,000
Direct Costs - Trenchless				\$	37,854,641
General Requirement - Trenchless			15%	\$	5,678,196
General Contractor OH&P - Trenchless			15%	\$	5,678,196
Contingencies - Trenchless			35%	\$	17,223,862
Bonds & Insurance - Trenchless			3.6%	\$	2,378,630
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS				\$	68,800,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST - WITHOUT CONTIGENCY				\$	80,000,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST				\$	106,700,000

SFSG PS to Canyon SG (7' Diameter)

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>		<u>Unit Cost</u>		Total Cost
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 84"	750	LF	\$	2,060.43	\$	1,545,322
Subtotal -					\$	1,545,322
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) 84"	11,050	LF	\$	1,607.44	\$	17,762,193
Subtotal -					\$	17,762,193
Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank; Open Cut) 84"		LF	\$	1,476.11	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore (Trenchless) < 200 Feet						
84" 200 - 2000 Feet	60	LF	\$	5,036.49	\$	302,190
84" Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")	2 1	LF EA EA	\$ \$ \$	5,036.49 379,702.66 200,000.00	\$ \$ \$	- 759,405 200,000
Subtotal -					\$	1,261,595
Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling (Trenchless) < 200 Feet, No Boulders						
84" < 200 Feet, With Boulders		LF	\$	6,295.61	\$	-
84" 200 - 2000 Feet, No Boulders		LF	\$	6,925.18	\$	-
84" 200 - 2000 Feet, With Boulders		LF	\$	6,295.61	\$	-
84" Shafts (84")	940 2	LF FA	\$ \$	6,633.06 399 670 91	\$ \$	6,235,076 799 342
Mob/Demob (84")	1	EA	\$	400,000.00	\$	400,000
Subtotal -					\$	7,434,418
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling (Trenchless) EPBM						
84" Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")		LF EA EA	\$ \$ \$	6,010.43 548,473.45 3.500.000.00	\$ \$ \$	- -
Subtotal -					\$	-
Added Sitework Costs						
Intersection Traffic Control (Open Cut)		EA EA	\$ ¢	78,500.00	\$ ¢	-
Landscaped Median (demo & replace)		LF	\$	240.21	\$	-
Raised Median (demo & replace)		LF	\$	227.33	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Added Pipeline Costs Major Utility Crossings	2	E۸	¢	151 004 75	¢	302 100
Major Intersection Crossings 84"	2	EA	Ψ \$	1,007,298.35	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	302,190

SFSG PS to Canyon SG (7' Diameter) Direct Costs

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>		Unit Cost			Total Cost
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones						
84"		EA	\$1,3	844,192.92	\$	-
Dewatering						
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	0		\$	30.87	\$	-
Construction Method 24 - SCE Easement	0		¢ ¢	0.17	¢	-
Construction Method 4A - River Ballik	0		ф Ф	10.17	ф Ф	-
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	0	LF	φ \$	35 29	φ \$	
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	Ő	I F	\$	44 11	\$	-
Permeable Soils	Ŭ		Ψ		Ψ	
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	0	LF	\$	15.44	\$	-
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	0	LF	\$	3.09	\$	-
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	0	LF	\$	3.09	\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	0	LF	\$	24.99	\$	-
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	0	LF	\$	17.64	\$	-
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	\$	22.05	\$	-
Direct Costs - Open Cut					\$	19 609 705
					Ψ	10,000,700
General Requirement - Open Cut				15%	\$	2,941,456
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut				15%	\$	2,941,456
Contingencies - Open Cut				35%	\$	8,922,416
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut				3.6%	\$	1,232,193
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT					\$	35,600,000
Direct Costs - Trenchless					\$	8 696 013
					Ŧ	0,000,010
General Requirement - Trenchless				15%	\$	1,304,402
General Contractor OH&P - Trenchless				15%	\$	1,304,402
Contingencies - Trenchless				35%	\$	3,956,686
Bonds & Insurance - Trenchless				3.6%	\$	546,422
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS					\$	15,800,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST					\$	51,500,000

Details on Typical Unit Costs for Each Construction Method

Construction Method 1 - Roadways 84-inch ID WSP

	······································						
Ass	umptions						
1	Units listed as LF are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method						
2	Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method						
3	Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method						
4	Asphalt Paving is assumed to be 6" thick						
5	For Every linear foot of pipe there will be a linear foot of temporary fencing						
6	For every 8 feet of pipe there will be 1 foot of fabric silt fence						
7	Pipe joint welds will be inspected every 40 ft						
8	Pipe joints will be welded every 40 ft						
9	Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.						
10	Blow offs are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.						
11	Speed shoring is the standard shoring method and the average depth of cover is 8 feet.						
12	Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California						
	Escalation % August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25 Escalation from 2018						
	0.25912 May 2023 ENR CCI for LA: 15109.79 25.91%						

Calculate Cost per Linear Foot for Construction Method 1 - 84-inch Pipe

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit</u>	Cost (2023)		Total Cost \$	Notes
Demolition							
Sawcutting	2 000	LE	\$	2 70	\$	5 4 1	Quantity = 21 E per 11 E of nine
Asphalt Paving Removal	15 000	SE	ŝ	1.01	ŝ	15 20	Quantity = (Trench Width + 4 ft) X 1 LE of Pine
1" Milling	2 2 2 2 2	ev	é	2.16	φ	5.05	Quantity = (Midth of construction zone) (Trench Width + 4ft)) X 1 LE of Pine
Transportation and Disposal Fees (Recycle A/C)	0.278	CY	\$	270.27	\$	75.08	Quantity = (AC Paving Removal X Thickness X 1 LF)/27
Subtotal					\$	100.73	Per linear foot
					*		
Site Work	4 000		•				
Temporary Fencing	1.000	LF	\$	8.11	\$	8.11	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
I raffic Control	1.000	LF	\$	38.98	\$	38.98	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Sweeper & Water Truck	1.000	LF	\$	49.90	\$	49.90	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Dust Control	1.000	LF	\$	46.78	\$	46.78	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Survey & Layout	1.000	LF	\$	202.71	\$	202.71	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Utility Crossings							
Gas	0.001	LF	\$	3,202.75	\$	3.64	Quantity = average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Telephone/Cable TV	0.001	LF	\$	324.33	\$	0.18	Quantity = average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Electric	0.001	LF	ŝ	1.608.13	Ś	0.91	Quantity = average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Sewer	0.002	LE	s	486 49	ŝ	1 01	Quantity = average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Water	0.002		ě	400.40	¢	0.29	Quantity = average of 2.1 mile comple cogments
Fracian Control	0.001	LF	ą	400.49	φ	0.20	Quantity - average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Elosion Control	0.405		<u>_</u>	4.05	•	0.54	
Fabric Silt Fence - Installation & Maintenance	0.125		2	4.05	\$	0.51	Quantity = 1 ft of slit fence per 8 ft of pipe
Hay Rolls	0.019	LF	\$	5.41	\$	0.10	Quantity = 1 ft of hay roll per 52 ft of pipe
Subtotal					\$	353.11	Per linear foot
Earthwork							
Mass Trench Excavation - Vertical Trenching	6.60	CY	\$	13.51	\$	89.25	Quantity = (Trench Depth X Width X 1 LF) / 27
Trench Shoring	31 58	SE	ŝ	2 70	ŝ	85.36	Quantity = Trench Denth X 1 LE of Pine X 2
Load/Haul Excevated Sails to Lovdown Area	6 60	CV	ě	4 72	¢	21.24	
Crowol Rodding & Pino Covor	0.00	CV	e o	4.73	¢ ¢	J1.24	Quantity = (//Tranch Width X // Pine Dia) (// Pine Area)) X 1 E)/27
Graver bedding & Fipe Cover	0.90	01	à	43.24	φ ¢	41.04	Quality = $(((Tench Width) Y A E) / 0$
Fine Grading & Compaction	1.255	51	2	2.70	\$	3.39	Quantity = ((Trench Width) X T LF) / 9
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	4.097	CY	\$	4.73	\$	19.38	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	4.097	CY	\$	24.32	\$	99.66	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	2.507	CY	\$	12.16	\$	30.49	Quantity = Excavation - Laydown Soils
Rough Surface Compaction	1.255	SY	\$	4.05	\$	5.09	Quantity = Fine Grading & Compaction
Subtotal					\$	405.39	
Pipeline							
84" WSP CML	1.000	LE	\$	687.48	\$	687.48	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Pipeline Install - L & EQ	1 000	LE	ŝ	189 19	ŝ	189 19	Quantity = 1 E per 1 E of Pine
Welding Pine, Joints	0.025	FΔ	ŝ	5 675 76	ŝ	141.89	$O_{\text{uantity}} = 1 \text{ per } 40 \text{ LF of Pine}$
Welding Inspections	0.025	EA	¢	567 58	¢	1/ 10	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Weiding inspections	1 000		÷	007.00	φ	14.13	Quantity = 1 E nor 1 E of Ding
Hydrostatic Testing	1.000	LF	ð	2.03	φ	2.05	Quantity – TEP per TEP of Pipe
Cathodic Protection	4 000		•	0.70		0.70	
Anode Bed	1.000		\$	3.73	\$	3.73	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Incidentais (Test Stations)	1.000	LF	\$	0.51	\$	0.51	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves	0.0004	EA	\$	14,865.09	\$	5.95	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Blow Off Assembly	0.0004	EA	\$	13,513.72	\$	5.41	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Subtotal					\$	1,050.38	Per linear foot
Site Restoration							
Asphalt Paving	1 667	SY	\$	72 97	\$	121 62	Quantity = Asphalt Paving Removal / 9
1" Asphalt Overlav	2 333	SY	ŝ	1 60	ŝ	3 0/	Quantity = Milling / 9
Conoral Site Restoration	2.000	ee	¢	1.09	ę	24 22	Quantity - Width of Const Zono por 1 E of Bino
Final Site Cleanun	0.000	AC	¢ ¢	675 60	¢ 2	24.32	Quantity = Width of Const Zone + Travel Zone) X 1 E of Dine)///3560
	0.001	70	ę	010.09	φ	0.93	quantity - ((With the Const Zone + Have Zone) A I LF of File)/43300
Subtotal					\$	150.82	Per linear foot
Total Cost per Linear Foot					\$	2,060.43	Per linear foot
Construction Method 1 - Roadways 108-inch ID WSP

Assumptions

Ass	sumptions
1	Units listed as LF are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method
2	Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method
3	Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method
4	Asphalt Paving is assumed to be 6" thick
5	For Every linear foot of pipe there will be a linear foot of temporary fencing
6	For every 8 feet of pipe there will be 1 foot of fabric silt fence
7	Pipe joint welds will be inspected every 40 ft
8	Pipe joints will be welded every 40 ft
9	Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.
10	Blow offs are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.
11	Speed shoring is the standard shoring method and the average depth of cover is 11 feet.
12	Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.
	Escalation % August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25 Escalation from 2018
	0.25912 May 2023 ENR CCI: 15109.79 25.91%

Calculate Cost per Linear Foot for Construction Method 1 - 108-inch Pipe

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit</u>	t Cost (2023)		Total Cost \$	Notes
Demolition							
Sawcutting	2 000	LE	\$	2 70	\$	5 4 1	Quantity = 2 E per 1 E of pipe
Asphalt Paving Removal	19,000	SF	\$	1.01	ŝ	19.26	Quantity = (Trench Width + 4 ft) X 1 LE of Pipe
1" Milling	1.889	SY	ŝ	2.16	ŝ	4.08	Quantity = (Width of construction zone - (Trench Width + 4ft)) X 1 LF of Pipe
Transportation and Disposal Fees (Recycle A/C)	0.352	CY	\$	270.27	\$	95.10	Quantity = (AC Paving Removal X Thickness X 1 LF)/27
Subtotal					\$	123.84	Per linear foot
Site Work							
Temporary Fencing	1.000	LF	\$	8.11	\$	8.11	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Traffic Control	1.000	LF	\$	38.98	\$	38.98	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Sweeper & Water Truck	1.000	LF	\$	49.90	\$	49.90	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Dust Control	1.000	LF	\$	46.78	\$	46.78	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Survey & Layout	1.000	LF	\$	202.71	\$	202.71	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Utility Crossings							
Gas	0.001	LF	\$	3,202.75	\$	3.64	Quantity = average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Telephone/Cable TV	0.001	LF	\$	324.33	\$	0.18	Quantity = average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Electric	0.001	LF	\$	1,608.13	\$	0.91	Quantity = average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Sewer	0.002	LF	\$	486.49	\$	1.01	Quantity = average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Water	0.001	LF	\$	486.49	\$	0.28	Quantity = average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Erosion Control	0.405		¢	4.05	~	0.54	
Fabric Silt Fence - Installation & Maintenance	0.125		\$ ¢	4.05	\$	0.51	Quantity = 1 ft of sill fence per 8 ft of pipe
hay toils	0.019	LF	φ	5.41	φ	0.10	Quantity - Th of hay foil per 52 h of pipe
Subtotal					\$	353.11	Per linear foot
Earthwork							
Mass Trench Excavation - Vertical Trenching	10.36	CY	\$	13.51	\$	140.00	Quantity = (Trench Depth X Width X 1 LF) / 27
Trench Shoring	36.58	SF	\$	2.70	\$	98.88	Quantity = Trench Depth X 1 LF of Pipe X 2
Load/Haul Excavated Soils to Laydown Area	10.36	CY	\$	4.73	\$	49.00	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding & Pipe Cover	3.32	CY	\$	43.24	\$	143.46	Quantity = (((Trench Width X Pipe Dia + 1 FT) - (Pipe Area)) X 1 LF)/27
Fine Grading & Compaction	1.699	SY	\$	2.70	\$	4.59	Quantity = ((Trench Width) X 1 LF) / 9
Load/Haul Lavdown Soils to Trench Areas	4.531	CY	\$	4.73	\$	21.43	Quantity = Excavation - CLSM - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	4.531	CY	\$	24.32	Ś	110.21	Quantity = Excavation - CLSM - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	5.829	CY	\$	12.16	\$	70.89	Quantity = Excavation - Laydown Soils
Rough Surface Compaction	1.699	SY	\$	4.05	\$	6.89	Quantity = Fine Grading & Compaction
Subtotal					\$	645.34	
Pipeline							
108" WSP CML	1.000	LF	\$	1,324.60	\$	1,324.60	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Pipeline Install - L & EQ	1.000	LF	\$	219.09	\$	219.09	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Welding Pipe Joints	0.025	EA	\$	9.821.16	\$	245.53	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Welding Inspections	0.025	EA	\$	571.64	\$	14.29	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Hydrostatic Testing	1.000	LF	\$	2.52	\$	2.52	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Cathodic Protection							
Anode Bed	1.000	LF	\$	9.54	\$	9.54	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Incidentals (Test Stations)	1.000	LF	\$	0.51	\$	0.51	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves	0.0004	EA	\$	14,865.09	\$	5.95	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Blow Off Assembly	0.0004	EA	\$	113,321.06	\$	45.33	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Subtotal					\$	1,867.35	Per linear foot
Site Restoration							
Asphalt Paving	2 111	SY	\$	72 07	\$	154.06	Quantity = Asphalt Paving Removal / 9
1" Asphalt Overlav	1 880	SY	ę ¢	1 60	ç Ş	3 10	Quantity = Apprait Favily Relitoral / 9
Ceneral Site Restoration	1.009	SE	¢	1.09	¢ ¢	27 02	Quantity = Willing / 3 Ouantity = Wildth of Const Zone per 1 E of Pine
Final Site Cleanup	40.000	AC	э \$	675.69	ş	21.03	Quantity = (Width of Const Zone + Travel Zone) X 1 F of Pipe)///2560
Cubtotal	0.001		Ψ	010.00	÷	105.00	Der lineer feet
SUDIOIAI					Þ	185.21	rei illeai 1001
Total Cost per Linear Foot					\$	3,174.85	Per linear foot

Construction Method 2 - SCE Easements 84-inch ID WSP

 Assumptions

 1 Units listed as LF are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 2 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 3 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 4 For Every linear foot of pipe there will be a linear foot of temporary fencing

 5 For every 8 feet of pipe there will be a linear foot of temporary fencing

 6 Pipe joint welds will be inspected every 40 ft

 7 Pipe joints will be welded every 40 ft

 8 Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.

 9 Blow offs are assumed to be installed every 200 feet.

 10 Speed shoring is the standard shoring method and the average depth of cover is 8 feet.

 11 Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 ENR CCI for La: 1200.3
 Escalation from 2018

Escalation %	August 2018 ENR CCI for LA:	12000.3	Escalation from 2018
0.25912	May 2023 ENR CCI for LA:	15109.8	25.91%

Calculate Cost per Linear Foot for Construction Method 2 - 84-inch Pipe

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit</u>	Cost (2023)		<u>Total Cost</u> \$	Notes
Demolition Clearing and Grubbing	0.001	AC	\$	5,000.08	\$	4.13	Quantity = ((Width of Const Zone + Travel Zone) X 1 LF of Pipe)/43560
Subtotal					\$	4.13	Per LF
Site Work							
Temporary Fencing	2.000	LF	\$	8.11	\$	16.22	Quantity = 2 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Dust Control	1.000	LF	\$	9.36	\$	9.36	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Survey & Layout	1.000	LF	\$	40.54	\$	40.54	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Erosion Control	0 125		¢	4.05	¢	0.51	$O_{\rm Henricht} = 1$ ft of ailt fance par 9 ft of nine
Fabric Silt Fence - Installation & Maintenance	0.125		¢	4.05	¢	0.51	Quantity = 1 ft of silt fence per 8 ft of pipe
	0.019	LF	φ	5.41	φ	0.10	Quantity – Tit of hay foil per 52 it of pipe
Subtotal					\$	66.72	Per LF
Earthwork							
Mass Trench Excavation - Vertical Trenching	6.60	CY	\$	13.51	\$	89.25	Quantity = (Trench Depth X Width X 1 LF) / 27
Trench Shoring	23.58	SF	\$	2.70	\$	63.74	Quantity = Trench Depth X 1 LF of Pipe X 2
Load/Haul Excavated Soils to Laydown Area	6.60	CY	\$	4.73	\$	31.24	Quantity = Excavation
CLSM Backfill	0.96	CY	\$	108.11	\$	103.84	Quantity = (((Trench Width X ½ Pipe Dia) - (½ Pipe Area)) X 1 LF)/27
Fine Grading & Compaction	1.255	SY	\$	2.70	\$	3.39	Quantity = ((Trench Width) X 1 LF) / 9
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	4.097	CY	\$	4.73	\$	19.38	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	4.097	CY	\$	24.32	\$	99.66	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	2.507	CY	\$	12.16	\$	30.49	Quantity = Excavation - Laydown Soils
Rough Surface Compaction	1.255	SY	\$	4.05	\$	5.09	Quantity = Fine Grading & Compaction
Subtotal					\$	446.07	Per LF
Pipeline							
84" WSP CML	1.000	LF	\$	687.48	\$	687.48	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Pipeline Install - L & EQ	1.000	LF	\$	189.19	\$	189.19	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Welding Pipe Joints	0.025	EA	\$	5,675.76	\$	141.89	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Welding Inspections	0.025	EA	\$	567.58	\$	14.19	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Hydrostatic Testing	1.000	LF	\$	2.03	\$	2.03	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Cathodic Protection							
Anode Bed	1.000	LF	\$	18.67	\$	18.67	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Incidentals (Test Stations)	1.000	LF	\$	0.51	\$	0.51	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves	0.000	EA	\$ ¢	14,865.09	\$ ¢	5.95	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Blow Off Assembly	0.000	EA	φ	13,313.72	φ	5.41	Quantity - 1 per 2000 EF of Fipe
Subtotal					\$	1,065.32	Per LF
Site Restoration							
General Site Restoration	36.000	SF	\$	0.68	\$	24.32	Quantity = Width of Const Zone per 1 LF of Pipe
Final Site Cleanup	0.001	AC	\$	675.69	\$	0.87	Quantity = ((Width of Const Zone + Travel Zone) X 1 LF of Pipe)/43560
Subtotal					\$	25.19	Per LF
Total Cost per Linear Foot					\$	1,607.44	Per LF

Construction Method 2 - SCE Easements 108-inch ID WSP

 Object to the construction Method

 1
 Units listed as LF are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 2
 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 3
 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 4
 For Every linear foot of pipe there will be a linear foot of the construction Method

 5
 For every 8 feet of pipe there will be 1 foot of fabric silt fence

 6
 Pipe joint will be welded every 40 ft

 7
 Pipe joints will be welded every 40 ft

 8
 Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.

 9
 Blow offs are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.

 10
 Speed shoring is the standard shoring method and the average depth of cover is 11 feet.

 11
 Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 collars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

 Escalation %
 August 2018 ENR CCI: 15109.8
 Escalation from 2018

 0.25512
 May 2023 ENR CCI: 15109.8
 25.91%

Escalation %	August 2018 ENR CCI for LA:	12000.3	Escalation
0.05040		45400.0	

Calculate Cost per Linear Foot for Construction Method 2 - 108-inch Pipe

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Un</u>	<u>it Cost (2023)</u>		<u>Total Cost</u> \$	Notes
Demolition Clearing and Grubbing	0.001	AC	\$	5,000.08	\$	4.59	Quantity = ((Width of Const Zone + Travel Zone) X 1 LF of Pipe)/43560
Subtotal					\$	4.59	Per LF
Site Work							
Temporary Fencing	2.000	LF	\$	8.11	\$	16.22	Quantity = 2 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Dust Control	1.000		\$	9.36	\$	9.36	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Survey & Layout	1.000	LF	Þ	40.54	Þ	40.54	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Elosion Control Eabric Silt Fence - Installation & Maintenance	0 125	LE	\$	4 05	\$	0.51	Quantity = 1 ft of silt fence per 8 ft of pipe
Hay Rolls	0.019	LF	\$	5.41	\$	0.10	Quantity = 1 ft of hay roll per 52 ft of pipe
Subtotal					\$	66.72	Per LF
Farthwork							
Mass Trench Excavation - Vertical Trenching	10.36	CY	\$	13.51	\$	140.00	Quantity = (Trench Depth X Width X 1 LF) / 27
Trench Shoring	36.58	SF	\$	2.70	\$	98.88	Quantity = Trench Depth X 1 LF of Pipe X 2
Load/Haul Excavated Soils to Laydown Area	10.36	CY	\$	4.73	\$	49.00	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding & Pipe Cover	3.32	CY	\$	43.24	\$	143.46	Quantity = (((Trench Width X Pipe Dia + 1 FT) - (Pipe Area)) X 1 LF)/27
Fine Grading & Compaction	1.699	SY	\$	2.70	\$	4.59	Quantity = ((Trench Width) X 1 LF) / 9
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	4.531	CY	\$	4.73	\$	21.43	Quantity = Excavation - CLSM - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	4.531	CY	\$	24.32	\$	110.21	Quantity = Excavation - CLSM - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	5.829	CY	\$	12.16	\$	70.89	Quantity = Excavation - Laydown Soils
Rough Surface Compaction	1.699	SY	\$	4.05	\$	6.89	Quantity = Fine Grading & Compaction
Subtotal					\$	645.34	Per LF
Pipeline							
108" WSP CML	1.000	LF	\$	1.324.60	\$	1.324.60	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Pipeline Install - L & EQ	1.000	LF	\$	219.09	\$	219.09	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Welding Pipe Joints	0.025	EA	\$	9,821.16	\$	245.53	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Welding Inspections	0.025	EA	\$	571.64	\$	14.29	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Hydrostatic Testing	1.000	LF	\$	2.52	\$	2.52	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Cathodic Protection							
Anode Bed	1.000	LF	\$	42.92	\$	42.92	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Incidentals (Test Stations)	1.000		\$	0.51	\$	0.51	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves	0.0004	EA	\$	14,865.09	\$	5.95	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Blow Off Assembly	0.0004	EA	φ	113,321.00	φ	40.00	Quantity - 1 per 2500 LP of Pipe
Subtotal					\$	1,900.73	Per LF
Site Restoration							
General Site Restoration	40.000	SF	\$	0.68	\$	27.03	Quantity = Width of Const Zone per 1 LF of Pipe
Final Site Cleanup	0.001	AC	\$	675.69	\$	0.87	Quantity = ((Width of Const Zone + Travel Zone) X 1 LF of Pipe)/43560
Subtotal					\$	27.90	Per LF
Total Cost per Linear Foot					\$	2,645.28	Per LF

Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank) 84-inch ID WSP

Assumptions

 Assumptions

 1 Units listed as LF are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 2 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 3 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 4 For Every linear foot of pipe there will be a linear foot of the Construction Method

 5 For every 8 feet of pipe there will be a linear foot of the construction Method

 8 For pipe ipe there will be 1 foot of fabric silt fence

 6 Pipe joint will be welded every 40 ft

 7 Pipe joints will be welded every 40 ft

 8 Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.

 9 Blow offs are assumed to be installed every 200 feet.

 10 Speed shoring is the standard shoring method and the average depth of cover is 4 feet.

 11 Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

 Escalation %
 August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25
 Escalation from 2018

 0.25912
 May 2023 ENR CCI for LA: 15109.79
 25.91%

Calculate Cost per Linear Foot for Construction Method 3A - 84-inch Pipe

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	Ur	nit Cost (2023)		<u>Total Cost</u> \$	Notes
Demolition							
Clearing and Grubbing Transpiration and Disposal Fees Vegetation (NON-HAZ)	0.001	AC LS	\$ \$	5,337.58 -	\$ \$	4.41	Quantity = (Width of Const Zone X 1 LF of Pipe)/43560
Subtotal					\$	4.41	Per LF
Site Work							
Temporary Fencing	2.000	LF	\$	8.66	\$	17.31	Quantity = 2 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Dust Control	1.000	LF	\$	9.99	\$	9.99	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Survey & Layout	1.000	LF	\$	43.28	\$	43.28	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Erosion Control							
Fabric Silt Fence - Installation & Maintenance	0.125	LF	\$	4.33	\$	0.54	Quantity = 1 ft of silt fence per 8 ft of pipe
Hay Rolls	0.019	LF	\$	5.77	\$	0.11	Quantity = 1 ft of hay roll per 52 ft of pipe
Subtotal					\$	71.23	Per LF
Earthwork							
Mass Trench Excavation - Vertical Trenching	4.93	CY	\$	14.43	\$	71.14	Quantity = (Trench Depth X Width X 1 LF) / 27
Trench Shoring	23.58	SF	\$	2.89	\$	68.04	Quantity = Trench Depth X 1 LF of Pipe X 2
Load/Haul Excavated Soils to Laydown Area	4.93	CY	\$	5.05	\$	24.90	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding & Pipe Cover	0.96	CY	\$	46.16	\$	44.34	Quantity = (((Trench Width X ½ Pipe Dia) - (½ Pipe Area)) X 1 LF)/27
Fine Grading & Compaction	1.255	SY	\$	2.89	\$	3.62	Quantity = ((Trench Width) X 1 LF) / 9
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	2.424	CY	\$	5.05	\$	12.24	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	2.424	CY	\$	25.97	\$	62.95	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	2.507	CY	\$	12.98	\$	32.55	Quantity = Excavation - Laydown Soils
Rough Surface Compaction	1.255	SY	\$	4.33	\$	5.43	Quantity = Fine Grading & Compaction
Subtotal					\$	325.21	Per LF
Pipeline							
84" WSP CML	1.000	LF	\$	687.48	\$	687.48	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Pipeline Install - L & EQ	1.000	LF	\$	189.19	\$	189.19	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Welding Pipe Joints	0.025	EA	\$	5,675.76	\$	141.89	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Welding Inspections	0.025	EA	\$	567.58	\$	14.19	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Hydrostatic Testing	1.000	LF	\$	2.03	\$	2.03	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Cathodic Protection			•		•		
Anode Bed	1.000	LF	\$	3.73	\$	3.73	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Incidentals (Test Stations)	1.000		\$	0.51	\$	0.51	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves	0.000	EA	\$	14,865.09	\$	5.95	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Blow Off Assembly	0.000	EA	Þ	13,513.72	φ	5.41	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Subtotal					\$	1,050.38	Per LF
Site Restoration							
General Site Restoration	36.000	SF	\$	0.68	\$	24.32	Quantity = Width of Const Zone per 1 LF of Pipe
Final Site Cleanup	0.001	AC	\$	675.69	\$	0.56	Quantity = (Width of Const Zone X 1 LF of Pipe)/43560
Subtotal					\$	24.88	Per LF
Total Cost per Linear Foot					\$	1,476.11	Per LF

Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore 84-inch ID WSP

Assumptions

 Assumptions

 1. Launching pits are assumed to be 30 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 2. Receiving Pits are assumed to be 20 feet long, 16 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 3. Launching and receiving pits will be fully shored excavations with soldier piles and lagging

 4. Source of unit costs are based on cost histories from previous construction bids.

 5. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

 Escalation %
 August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.3

 Escalation %
 August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 15109.8

 0.25912
 May 2023 ENR CCI for LA: 15109.8

 6. 84" carrier will be installed within 108" permalok steel casing pipe and the annular space will be filled with low density cellular grout.

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit C</u>	ost (2023)		<u>Total Cost</u> \$	Notes
84" Jack & Bore (<200 ft)							
Launching Pit							
Excavation	648	CY	\$	13.51	\$	8,758.89	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,917	SF	\$	65.00	\$	189,583.33	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	648	CY	\$	4.73	\$	3,065.61	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	69	CY	\$	47.30	\$	3,260,28	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	67	SY	\$	2.70	Ś	180.18	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Lavdown Soils to Trench Areas	533	CY	\$	4.73	ŝ	2,520,13	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	533	CY	\$	24.32	ŝ	12 960 67	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	115	CY	\$	35.00	ŝ	4 036 51	Quantity = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	67	SY	ŝ	4 05	ŝ	270 27	Quantity = Length X Width
riougii currace compaction	0.	0.	Ŷ		ŝ	224.635.88	ddanady Eongary, maan
Receiving Pit					Ŷ	22 1,000.00	
Excavation	346	CY	\$	13 51	\$	4 671 41	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,100	SF	\$	65.00	ŝ	136,500.00	Quantity = $((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)$
Load Haul Excavated Soils	346	CY	¢ ¢	4 73	ŝ	1 634 99	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	34	CY	¢ ¢	47 30	ŝ	1 592 51	Quantity = $(1 \text{ ength X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')}) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2$
Fine Grade Compaction	36	sv	¢	2 70	¢	96.10	Quantity = Length X Width
Lood/Houl Lovdown Soils to Tronch Aroos	291	CV	¢	4.72	φ	1 220 44	Quantity - Evolution Gravel Redding Dine
Rockfill & Compact Native Soil	201	CV	¢ ¢	24.73	φ ¢	6 927 12	Quantity - Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Fipe
Off Site Dispased Steaknike Soli	201	CY	ф Ф	24.32	φ ¢	0,037.12	Qualitity - Excavation - Graver Deduling - Fipe
Dir-Sile Disposal Stockpile Spoils	00	CT CV	¢	35.00	¢ ¢	2,201.07	Quality - Excavation - Dackini
Rough Surface Compaction	30	31	φ	4.05	- Q	144.13	Quantity - Length X Width
Chaffe Culture		1.0			þ	155,066.78	
Sharts Subtotal		10			ş	3/9,/02.66	
Mob/Demob/Setup/Dism		LO			æ	200,000.00	
Pine Jacking	200	IE	¢	5 036 49	¢	1 007 208 35	
Total Cost per LE	200	L 1	Ψ	0,000.40	Ψ	5.036	¢// F
						0,000	ψ/ Ξ .
84" Jack & Bore (200 ft - 2000 ft)							
Launching Pit							
Excovation	649	CV	¢	12 51	¢	0 750 00	Quantity = Longth X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2 017	SE	¢	65.00	ę	180 583 33	Quantity = $(I \text{ ength } X A \text{ Dia}) X 2) + ((M/idth } A \text{ Dia}) X 2)$
Load Haul Exceptod Sails	649	CV	¢	4 72	φ	2 065 61	Quantity = ((Lengur X + Dia) X 2)*((Widur X + Dia) X 2)
Gravel Bedding	69	CY	¢	4.73	ę	3 260 28	Quantity = (Length X Width X ($0.5 \text{ Dia} + 0.5^{\circ}$)) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	67	ev	¢	47.30	φ e	3,200.20	Quality = Longth X Width
Fine Grade Compaction	67 533	OY OY	¢	2.70	¢ ¢	100.10	Qualitity - Length A Width
Bookfill & Compost Native Soil	533	CY	¢	4.73	¢ ¢	2,520.15	Quantity - Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off City Diseased Charling Carolle	555		ð ¢	24.32	- Q	12,900.07	Quantity - Excavation - Graver bedding - Pipe
On-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	115	CY CY	\$	35.00	¢	4,036.51	Quantity = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	07	31	φ	4.05	¢ ¢	270.27	Quantity - Length X Width
Dessiving Dit					φ	224,035.00	
	246	CV	¢	10 51	¢	4 674 44	Quantity = Longth X Width X 4 Dia
Excavation	340		ð ¢	13.51	- Q	4,071.41	Quantity - Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,100	SF OV	\$	65.00	¢	136,500.00	Quantity = $((\text{Length X 4 Dia}) \times 2) + ((\text{Width X 4 Dia}) \times 2)$
Load Haul Excavated Solis	346	CY OV	\$	4.73	Þ	1,634.99	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	34	CY	\$	47.30	\$	1,592.51	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	36	SY	\$	2.70	\$	96.10	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	281	CY	\$	4.73	\$	1,329.44	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	281	CY	\$	24.32	\$	6,837.12	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	65	CY	\$	35.00	\$	2,261.07	Quantity = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	36	SY	\$	4.05	\$	144.15	Quantity = Length X Width
					\$	155,066.78	
Shafts Subtotal		LS			\$	379,702.66	
Mob/Demob/Setup/Dism		LS			\$	200,000.00	
Pipe Jacking	2,000	LF	\$	5,036.49	\$	10,072,983.48	
I otal Cost per LF						5,036	\$/LF

Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling 84-inch ID WSP

 Assumptions

 1. Bore pits are assumed to be 30 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 2. Receiving Pits are assumed to be 20 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 3. Launching and receiving pits will be fully shored execuavitions with soldier piles and lagging

 4. Source of unit costs are based on cost histories from previous construction bids.

 5. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California. Escalation % August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25 Escalation from 2018

 0.25912
 May 2023 ENR CCI for LA: 15109.79
 25.91%

 6. 84" carrier will be installed within 108" permalok steel casing pipe and the annular space will be filled with low density cellular grout.
 Total Cost

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	Ur	nit Cost (2023)		<u>Total Cost</u> \$	<u>t</u>
84" Microtunnel (<200 ft, No Boulders) Launching Pit	649	CV	¢	10 51	¢	0.750.00	Overstitue Learth X Midth X 4 Dis
EXCAVALION	2 017	SE	e e	13.51	¢ ¢	0,700.09	Quantity = Length X 4 Dia Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	648	CY	¢	4 73	ŝ	3 065 61	Ouantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	69	CY	ŝ	47.30	ŝ	3,260,28	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	67	SY	ŝ	2.70	ŝ	180.18	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Lavdown Soils to Trench Areas	533	CY	ŝ	4.73	ŝ	2.520.13	Quantiv = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	533	CY	\$	24.32	\$	12,960.67	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	115	CY	\$	35.00	\$	4,036.51	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	67	SY	\$	4.05	\$	270.27	Quantity = Length X Width
					\$	224,635.88	
Receiving Pit							
Excavation	432	CY	\$	13.51	\$	5,839.26	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,333	SF	\$	65.00	\$	151,666.67	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Solls	432	CY	\$	4.73	\$	2,043.74	Quantity = Excavation
Graver bedding	40	ev	¢	47.30	¢ ¢	2,173.52	Quantity = (Length X Width (0.5 Dia + 0.5)) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Load/Haul Lavdown Soils to Trench Areas	355	CY	¢	4 73	ŝ	1 680 09	Quantity = English Wilder
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	355	CY	ŝ	24.32	ŝ	8 640 45	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	77	CY	ŝ	35.00	ŝ	2,691.00	Quantiv = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	44	SY	ŝ	4.05	\$	180.18	Quantity = Length X Width
5					\$	175,035.03	. , ,
Shafts Subtotal		LS			\$	399,670.91	
Mob/Demob/Setup/Dism		LS			\$	400,000.00	
Microtunneling	200	LF	\$	6,295.61	\$ ¢	1,259,122.93	\$/ E
84" Microtupnel (<200 ff. With Boulders)					ş	0,290	-φ/ ∟ Γ
Launching Pit							
Excavation	648	CY	\$	13.51	\$	8,758.89	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,917	SF	\$	65.00	\$	189,583.33	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	648	CY	\$	4.73	\$	3,065.61	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	69	CY	\$	47.30	\$	3,260.28	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	67	SY	\$	2.70	\$	180.18	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	533	CY	\$	4.73	\$	2,520.13	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off Site Dispace Steelmile Spelle	533	CY	\$	24.32	\$	12,960.67	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Pough Surface Compaction	67	SV	¢ ¢	35.00	¢ ¢	4,030.51	Quantity = Excavation - Dackini
Rough Surface Compaction	07	31	φ	4.05	э S	224.635.88	Quantity - Lengur X Width
Receiving Pit					*	,	
Excavation	432	CY	\$	13.51	\$	5,839.26	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,333	SF	\$	65.00	\$	151,666.67	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	432	CY	\$	4.73	\$	2,043.74	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	46	CY	\$	47.30	\$	2,173.52	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	44	SY	ş	2.70	\$	120.12	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	355	CY	\$	4.73	\$	1,680.09	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off Site Dispacel Steelwile Spelle	355	CY	\$	24.32	¢ ¢	0,040.45	Quantity = Excavation - Graver Bedding - Pipe
On-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	11	ev	¢	35.00	¢ ¢	2,091.00	Quantity = Excavation - backini
Rough Surface Compaction	44	31	φ	4.05	¢ ¢	175 035 03	Quantity - Lengur X Wildur
Shafts Subtotal		15			ŝ	399 670 91	
Mob/Demob/Setup/Dism		LS			ŝ	400,000.00	
Microtunneling Total Cost per LE	200	LF	\$	6,925.18	\$ \$	1,385,035.23	\$/I F
					Ŷ	0,020	¥/ _ 1
84" Microtunnel (200 - 2000 ft, No Boulders)							
Excavation	648	CY	\$	13 51	\$	8 758 80	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2 917	SE	ŝ	65.00	ŝ	189 583 33	Quantity = $((1 \text{ ength X 4 Dia) X 2})+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)$
Load Haul Excavated Soils	648	CY	ŝ	4.73	ŝ	3.065.61	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	69	CY	\$	47.30	\$	3,260.28	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	67	SY	\$	2.70	\$	180.18	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	533	CY	\$	4.73	\$	2,520.13	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	533	CY	\$	24.32	\$	12,960.67	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	115	CY	\$	35.00	\$	4,036.51	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	67	SY	\$	4.05	\$	270.27	Quantity = Length X Width
Receiving Pit					φ	224,033.88	
Excavation	432	CY	\$	13.51	\$	5,839.26	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,333	SF	\$	65.00	\$	151,666.67	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	432	CY	\$	4.73	\$	2,043.74	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	46	CY	\$	47.30	\$	2,173.52	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	44	SY	\$	2.70	\$	120.12	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	355	CY	\$	4.73	\$	1,680.09	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	355	CY	\$	24.32	\$	8,640.45	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
UTT-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	17	CY	\$	35.00	\$	2,691.00	Quantity = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	44	or	φ	4.05	ф р	180.18	Quantity - Length A Wildth
Shafts Subtotal		LS			ŝ	399 670 91	
Mob/Demob/Setup/Dism		LS			\$	400,000.00	
Microtunneling	2,000	LF	\$	6,295.61	\$	12,591,229.35	¢u F
Total Cost per LF					Þ	6,∠96	φ/LΓ

Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling 84-inch ID WSP

- Assumptions

 1. Bore pits are assumed to be 30 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 2. Receiving Pits are assumed to be 20 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 3. Launching and receiving pits will be fully shored excavations with soldier piles and lagging

 4. Source of unit costs are based on cost histories from previous construction bids.

 5. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

 Escalation %
 August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25

 Escalation from 2018

 0.25912
 May 2023 ENR CCI for LA: 15109.79

 6. 84" carrier will be installed within 108" permalok steel casing pipe and the annular space will be filled with low density cellular grout.

84" Microtunnel (200 - 2000 ft, With Boulders)

Lauriching Fit					
Excavation	648	CY	\$ 13.51	\$ 8,758.89	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,917	SF	\$ 65.00	\$ 189,583.33	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	648	CY	\$ 4.73	\$ 3,065.61	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	69	CY	\$ 47.30	\$ 3,260.28	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	67	SY	\$ 2.70	\$ 180.18	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	533	CY	\$ 4.73	\$ 2,520.13	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	533	CY	\$ 24.32	\$ 12,960.67	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	115	CY	\$ 35.00	\$ 4,036.51	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	67	SY	\$ 4.05	\$ 270.27	Quantity = Length X Width
о ,				\$ 224,635.88	, ,
Receiving Pit					
Excavation	432	CY	\$ 13.51	\$ 5,839.26	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,333	SF	\$ 65.00	\$ 151,666.67	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	432	CY	\$ 4.73	\$ 2,043.74	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	46	CY	\$ 47.30	\$ 2,173.52	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	44	SY	\$ 2.70	\$ 120.12	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	355	CY	\$ 4.73	\$ 1,680.09	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	355	CY	\$ 24.32	\$ 8,640.45	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	77	CY	\$ 35.00	\$ 2,691.00	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	44	SY	\$ 4.05	\$ 180.18	Quantity = Length X Width
5				\$ 175.035.03	, ,
Shafts Subtotal		LS		\$ 399,670.91	
Mob/Demob/Setup/Dism		LS		\$ 400,000.00	
Microtunneling	2.000	LF	\$ 6.633.06	\$ 13.266.119.24	
Total Cost per LF	_,		.,	\$ 6,633	\$/LF

Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling 84-inch ID WSP

Assumptions

 Assumptions

 1. Bore pits are assumed to be 60 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 2. Receiving Pits are assumed to be 20 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 3. Launching and receiving pits will be fully shored excavations with soldier piles and lagging

 4. Source of unit costs are based on cost histories from previous construction bids.

 5. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

 Escalation %
 August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25

 0.25912
 May 2023 ENR CCI for LA: 15109.79

 6. All traditional tunnels are assumed to be EPBM.

 7. The minimum excavated diameter for EPBM is assumed to be 100 to 132 inches due to tunnel boring machine limitations. The excess granular space is assumed to be filled with grout.

Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit	Cost (2023)		Total Cost	
						\$	
84" EPBM (>2000 ft)							
Launching Pit							
Excavation	1,296	CY	\$	13.51	\$	17,517.78	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring (installation, bracing, and removal)	4,667	SF	\$	65.00	\$	303,333.33	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	1,296	CY	\$	4.73	\$	6,131.22	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	138	CY	\$	47.30	\$	6,520.56	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	133	SY	\$	2.70	\$	360.37	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	1,066	CY	\$	4.73	\$	5,040.26	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	1,066	CY	\$	24.32	\$	25,921.34	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	231	CY	\$	35.00	\$	8,073.01	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	133	SY	\$	4.05	\$	540.55	Quantity = Length X Width
					\$	373,438.42	
Receiving Pit							
Excavation	432	CY	\$	13.51	\$	5,839.26	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring (installation, bracing, and removal)	2,333	SF	\$	65.00	\$	151,666.67	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	432	CY	\$	4.73	\$	2,043.74	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	46	CY	\$	47.30	\$	2,173.52	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	44	SY	\$	2.70	\$	120.12	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Lavdown Soils to Trench Areas	355	CY	\$	4.73	Ś.	1.680.09	Quantiv = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	355	CY	ŝ	24.32	\$	8,640,45	Quantiv = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	77	CY	ŝ	35.00	\$	2,691.00	Quantiv = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	44	SY	ŝ	4.05	\$	180.18	Quantity = Length X Width
····g·· - ····· • • ···· • • ····			•		\$	175.035.03	1
Shafts Subtotal		LS			ŝ	548 473 45	
Moh/Demoh/Setun/Dism		LS			ŝ	3 500 000 00	
Mob/Demob/detap/Dism		20			Ψ	0,000,000.00	
EPBM	2 000	LE	\$	6 010 43	\$	12 020 853 25	
Total Cost per LE	2,000		Ψ	5,510.45	ŝ	6 010 43	\$/I F
					φ	0,010.43	φ/ 🖬

Details on "Cost Adders" Unit Cost

Cathodic Protection Unit Cost Data

Assumptions

- 1 Current is proportional to the radius of the pipe squared. As the pipe diameter increases the anode bed costs will increase exponentially
- 2 For a 66" pipe the cost of the anode bed will be 10,000 per mile
- 3 Incidental costs such as test stations will be \$2,000 per mile
- 4 Add \$40,000 per mile to anode bed costs for work in SCE Easement
- 5 These costs include materials and labor.

Determine anode bed costs for all pipe diameters outside of SCE Easement



Determine anode bed costs for all pipe diameters inside of SCE Easement



Cost Adder Major Utility Crossings

Assumptions

- 1 Jacking length is 30 feet.
- Costs are all inclusive and include:
- 2 Demolition, sitework, earthwork, dewatering, and site restoration costs for launching and receiving pits.
- Piping costs associated with casing, steel pipe, annular space grout, casing spacers, pipe welding, testing, cathodic protection, air valves, and blow offs. 3 Bore pits are assumed to be 30 feet long and 20 feet wide
- 4 Receiving Pits are assumed to be 20 feet long and 16 feet wide
- 5 Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Cost</u> \$	<u>Total Cost</u> \$
Major Utility Crossing Adder 84"	30	LF	\$ 5,036.49	151,095 Jack & Bore

Cost Adder Major Intersection Crossings

Assumptions

- 1 The cost for crossing a Major Intersection would be comparable to a trenchless installation regardless of whether it was installed with open trench methods or trenchless construction methods due to the slower construction rate.
- 2 Jacking length is 200 feet.
- Costs are all inclusive and include:
- 3 Demolition, sitework, earthwork, dewatering, and site restoration costs for launching and receiving pits.
- Piping costs associated with casing, steel pipe, annular space grout, casing spacers, pipe welding, testing, cathodic protection, air valves, and blow offs. 4 Bore pits are assumed to be 30 feet long and 20 feet wide
- 4 Bore pits are assumed to be 30 feet long and 20 feet wide
- 5 Receiving Pits are assumed to be 20 feet long and 16 feet wide
- 6. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.
 - Escalation %
 August 2018 ENR CCI for LA:
 12000.25

 0.25912
 May 2023 ENR CCI for LA:
 15109.79

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Cost</u>	<u>Total Cost</u> \$
Major Intersection Crossing Adder 84"	200	LF	\$ 5.036.49	1.007.298 Jack & Bore

Cost Adder Landscaped Medians (demo & replace)



Assumptions

1. Trees are spaced every 25 feet

0.25912

2. Average width of median = 10 feet

3. Quantities are calucation for 1 linear foot of landscaped median.

4. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California. Escalation % August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25

May 20	23 ENR	CCI for I	_A: '	15109. <i>1</i>	′9

Demolition			Unit	Cost (2023)		
Concrete Slab Removal	1	SF	\$	6.08	\$ 6.08	
Concrete Curb Removal	2	LF	\$	6.76	\$ 13.51	
Transportation and Disposal Fees (Recycle Concrete)	0.10	CY	\$	270.27	\$ 27.81	
Tree Removal	0.04	EA	\$	1,148.67	\$ 45.95	
Clearing and Grubbing	0.0002	AC	\$	5,000.08	\$ 0.92	
subtotal					\$ 94.27	
Site Restoration						
Concrete Curbs	2	LF	\$	47.30	\$ 94.60	
Concrete Slabs	1	SF	\$	27.03	\$ 27.03	
Trees	0.04	EA	\$	608.12	\$ 24.32	
subtotal					\$ 145.95	
Total					\$ 240.21	per linear foot

Cost Adder Raised Medians (demo & replace)



Assumptions

1. No trees

2. Average width of median = 8 feet

3. Quantities are calucation for 1 linear foot of landscaped median.

4. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

Demolition			Unit (Cost (2023)		
Concrete Slab Removal	2.3	SF	\$	6.08	\$ 14.19	
Concrete Curb Removal	2.0	LF	\$	6.76	\$ 13.51	
Transportation and Disposal Fees (Recycle Concrete)	0.15	CY	\$	270.27	\$ 41.15	
Subtotal					\$ 68.86	
Site Restoration						
Concrete Curb	2	LF	\$	47.30	\$ 94.60	
Concrete Slabs	2.3	SF	\$	27.03	\$ 63.06	
Type II Aggregate base	0.1	SY	\$	8.11	\$ 0.81	
Subtotal					\$ 158.47	
Total					\$ 227.33	per linear foot

Cost Adder Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones

DISCLAIMER: Assumptions are for a Class 5 cost estimate. A finite element analysis will be completed during later design phases to determine the exact method of ensuring seismic resiliency.

Assumptions:

1. Fault zone is 50 ft on each side of fault

2. D/t = 80 for 100 ft beyond D/t=60 zone

3. Unit cost of steel pipe is the price difference between the thicker pipe used in the fault zone and the standard pipe

used in the construction methods

4. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California. August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25 Escalation % 0.25912

May 2023 ENR CCI for LA: 15109.79

Calculate Cost per Linear Foot for 84-inch Pipe				
Item Description	<u>Quantity</u> Un	it Unit Cost	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones				
1" Thick Pipe	300 LF	\$310	\$390	\$117,098
Ball Joint	2 EA	\$487,281	\$613,547	\$1,227,094
Subtotal				\$1,344,193

Create trendline to interpolate ball joint costs

References:

1. EBAA Budgetary Quotation Emails, September 27 & 28, 2016



Use y=91.965x² -2496x+14777 to interpolate cost for ball joint diameters not included in the EBAA budgetary quote.

ID (in)	Cost (\$)
42	\$77,042.82
48	\$114,069.16
54	\$158,163.94
60	\$209,327.14
84	\$484,664.26

12000.25

Cost Adder Dewatering

Escalation %

Notes

- 1. Microtunneling and traditional tunneling only require dewatering at the launching and receiving pits.
- 2. Jack & Bore requires dewatering at the pits and alongth the alignment.

Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los 3. Angeles, California. August 2018 ENR CCI for LA:

0.25912	May 2023 EN	IR CCI for LA:			
Dewatering Location	Unit C	ost (\$/MO)	Construction Rate ((ft/day) Unit Cost (2023) (\$/ft)
Roadway	\$	37,363	40	\$	38.88
SCE Easement	\$	37,363	200	\$	7.78
LAFCD Easement (River Bank)	\$	37,363	200	\$	7.78
LAFCD Easement (River Channel	l) \$	53,375	200	\$	11.11
Trenchless					
Pits (Jack & Bore)	\$	53,375	60	\$	37.02
Alignment (Jack & Bore)	\$	37,363	60	\$	25.92
				Subtotal = \$	62.94
Pits (Microtunnel)	\$	53,375	50	\$	44.43
Pits (Traditional)	\$	53 <i>,</i> 375	40	\$	55.54

Cost Adder Permeable Soils

Notes:

1. Where permeable soils such as sand are present the cost of dewatering will be increased by 50%

Dewatering Location	Unit Co	ost (\$/MO)	Construction Rate (ft/day)	Unit Cost (\$/ft)	
Roadway	\$	18,681	40	\$	19.44
SCE Easement	\$	18,681	200	\$	3.89
LAFCD Easement (River Bank)	\$	18,681	200	\$	3.89
LAFCD Easement (River Channel)	\$	26,688	200	\$	5.55
Trenchless					
Pits (Jack & Bore)	\$	26,688	60	\$	18.51
Alignment (Jack & Bore)	\$	18,681	60	\$	12.96
			Subtota	l= \$	31.47
Pits (Microtunnel)	\$	26,688	50	\$	22.21
Pits (Traditional)	\$	26,688	40	\$	27.77

APPENDIX D – LADWP OPERATION NEXT UPSIZING BACK-UP COST INFORMATION

DRAFT

CONCEPTUAL COST COMPARISON TO UPSIZE THE BACKBONE PIPELINE TO 9 FEET

B&V PROJECT NO. 410259

PREPARED FOR

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

20 DECEMBER 2023



1.0 Introduction

The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (Metropolitan) retained Black & Veatch to prepare a rough order of magnitude engineer's opinion of probable construction cost to determine the potential increase in construction costs that would result from upsizing the Pure Water Southern California (Pure Water) "Backbone" Pipeline from 84-inches to 108-inches in diameter. The purpose of this cost assessment was to assist in initiating discussions with potential project partners. Following this initial rough order of magnitude cost assessment, more detailed engineering evaluations and cost estimates are recommended. This memorandum presents the basis for this cost assessment, as well as the findings.

1.1 Background

Metropolitan is in the early stages of implementing the Pure Water program, consisting of an advanced water purification facility, a Backbone Pipeline, multiple pump stations, and laterals to potential discharge locations. As currently conceived, the Backbone Pipeline would extend from the new advanced water purification facility in Carson, California to the San Gabriel Canyon Spreading Grounds in Azusa, California. The Backbone Pipeline would be 84-inches in diameter and would convey up to 150 million gallons per day.

Metropolitan is considering upsizing the Backbone Pipeline from 84-inches to 108-inches from approximately the Whittier Narrows area to the San Gabriel Canyon Spreading Grounds to provide operational flexibility, including potential future interconnections with other regional advanced treated water programs.

For the purposes of this assessment, the upsizing was assumed to start 500-feet south of Rose Hills Road east of the 605 Freeway and end at the northwest corner of the San Gabriel Canyon Spreading Ground's southern basin. The total length of upsized Backbone Pipeline is approximately fourteen miles.

1.2 Methodology

The following methodology was utilized to assess the high-level cost impact:

- A preliminary Engineer's opinion of probable construction cost (OPCC) was previously developed for the 84-inch Backbone Pipeline as part of the Feasibility Level Design Report (FLDR) prepared in 2018. This OPCC was Class 4 in accordance with Association for the Advancement of Cost Engineering, International (AACE) standards, with a level of accuracy of -30% to +50%. This previous preliminary Engineer's OPCC served as the basis for the cost of the 84-inch pipeline and was updated for the applicable areas as follows:
 - a. The preliminary Engineer's OPCC utilized typical unit costs for construction in different alignment types: construction in paved streets, construction in easements, pipe jacking, microtunneling, and traditional tunneling. These unit costs were escalated to May 2023

dollars using the Engineering News Record (ENR) Construction Cost Indices for Los Angeles, California.

- b. Costs for non-typical features that would be encountered along each alignment were developed during the FLDR. These cover features and work methods which were not included in the typical unit costs because they were not consistently required or uniformly found along each segment. Consistent with this level of study, these adders are items which are readily discernable and measurable from the desktop analysis, visual observations made in the field, review of utility information, analysis of traffic control requirements, desktop study of geotechnical and groundwater conditions, and so on. These costs were escalated to May 2023 dollars using the ENR Construction Cost Indices for Los Angeles, California.
- c. A high-level quantity take-off was performed for the 84-inch Backbone Pipeline between Whittier Narrows and the San Gabriel Canyon Spreading Grounds based on the measured lengths, construction methodologies, and typical construction sections.
- d. The cost assumed for the 84-inch Backbone Pipeline was based upon the escalated unit costs and the revised quantity take off.
- A cost opinion was developed for the 108-inch pipeline, as follows. It should be considered a Class 5 estimate with a level of accuracy of -50% to +100%.
 - A high-level assessment was completed to determine what conceptual level adjustments to the assumed construction methodologies (open-cut verses trenchless) would be required to accommodate the larger pipe size within the existing alignment. The applicable portion of the alignment is generally located between existing Southern California Edison (SCE) transmission towers and United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) levees. At this time, the specific requirements of these agencies regarding separation from their existing structures has not been fully defined. Furthermore, as with the original feasibility level design, no subsurface geotechnical investigation has been performed to corroborate the current construction methodology concepts. Therefore, additional refinements to the types and extents of assumed construction methodologies are anticipated as the project progresses.
 - b. The typical unit costs for open-cut construction developed for the 84-inch pipe were revised parametrically for the larger 108-inch pipe.
 - c. New unit costs were developed using parametric methods for the trenchless installations assumed for the 108-inch pipeline.
 - d. A high-level quantity take-off was performed based on measured lengths and the typical construction methods.

- e. The cost assumed for the 108-inch Backbone Pipeline was based upon the unit costs and quantity take off.
- 3. The costs developed for the 84-inch and 108-inch pipelines were compared to determine the rough order of magnitude impact to the program.

It should be noted that the cost comparison was intended to provide a rough order of magnitude of the construction cost impact to the program and is intended to assist in initial discussions with potential program partners. An updated Class 4 Engineer's opinion of probable construction cost will be completed for the Backbone Pipeline at the end of the CEQA process.

1.3 Cost Parameters and Assumptions

The following general parameters and key assumptions apply to the preparation of this high-level cost impact assessment.

1.3.1 General Items

The cost comparison is comprised of direct and indirect construction costs for the Backbone Pipeline. Direct costs are intended to include the contractor's cost for labor, materials, and equipment estimates. Indirect costs cover the contractor's general conditions, overhead, profit, building permits, insurance, and bonding. Indirect costs were estimated based on a percentage of the direct costs, as is typical for this level of study.

All prices shown are presented in May 2023 dollars and are not escalated to mid-point of construction. It is recommended that Metropolitan escalate the values to the mid-point of construction for all future planning.

1.3.2 84-inch Pipeline

- Pipeline materials assume cement mortar lined and coated welded steel pipe (WSP).
 The pipeline is assumed to be 84-inches in diameter with a wall thickness of 1/2-inch thick.
- Shored construction is assumed for all open-cut construction methods, including within easements alongside the San Gabriel River due to the congestion of existing infrastructure.
- The depth of cover was assumed to be 8-feet on average in city streets, 8-feet on average in SCE's easements.
- All shafts assume soldier piles with lagging and dewatering, where applicable.
- Construction methodologies were developed based on desktop level information and experience in similar settings; no subsurface geotechnical investigation has been completed to fully confirm the extent or types of construction methods, in particular for trenchless installations.
- Quantities are based on the following alignment and construction methods:



Figure 1-1. Map of Construction Methods for 84-inch Backbone Pipeline between Whittier Narrows and San Gabriel Canyon Spreading Grounds

1.3.3 108-inch Pipeline

- Pipeline materials assume cement mortar lined and coated welded steel pipe (WSP).
 The pipeline is assumed to be 108-inches in diameter with a wall thickness of 3/4-inch thick for pricing.
- Shored construction is assumed for all open-cut construction methods, including within easements alongside the San Gabriel River due to the congestion of existing infrastructure.
- The depth of cover was assumed to be 8-feet on average in city streets and 8-feet on average in SCE's easements.
- All shafts for trenchless construction assumed secant piles.
- Construction methodologies were developed based on desktop level information and experience win similar settings; no subsurface geotechnical investigation has been

completed to fully confirm the extent or types of construction methods, in particular for trenchless installations.



Quantities are based on the following alignment and construction methods:

Figure 1-2. Map of Construction Methods for 108-inch Backbone Pipeline between Whittier Narrows and San Gabriel Canyon Spreading Grounds

1.4 Items Excluded from Cost Comparison

The following items are not accounted for in this cost comparison:

- Differences in the pump stations or isolation valves and vaults
- Contingency for potential tariffs or material fluctuation
- Removal, remediation, and/or disposal of contaminated soils and groundwater
- Differences in right-of-way and/or easement acquisition
- Soft costs

1.5 Key Issues Still to be Evaluated

The following are key issues that still need to be worked through, which could impact this cost assessment:

- No geotechnical field investigations have been completed. The geotechnical data available for this cost assessment was limited to desktop information only. Given the amount of trenchless construction assumed for the 108-inch pipeline, field information is required to provide greater cost certainty.
- Further coordination is required with USACE and SCE to fully understand their requirements and gain their acceptance of the proposed alignment concepts, including separation from existing levees and transmission tower foundations. Recent feedback received from SCE indicates that they desire a greater depth of cover over the pipeline within their property than previously assumed, which would impact this assessment.
- This high-level comparison did not evaluate tunnel staging areas in detail. Several initial possibilities were identified as part of this general assessment, but further study is required to confirm space is available. Availability of intermediate shaft sites, or lack thereof, may impact cost, tunnel size, and schedule.
- Bends in the tunnel geometry were not fully evaluated. In order to achieve the required bending radius, the tunnels shown may extend under existing buildings. To avoid this, additional refinements may be required.
- This initial assessment made assumptions regarding the proximity the pipeline excavation could be from the visible extents of existing transmission towers for open cut construction before trenchless construction would be required. As foundation information is obtained on the existing towers from SCE (this information has not as of yet been available), these assumptions could likely be refined and the quantity of open cut construction could be optimized.
- This high-level cost assessment made assumptions as to the minimum length of opencut construction between required trenchless drives that would be cost and schedule effective. More detailed evaluations are required to better define this length.

2.0 Cost Comparison

Table 2-1 presents a summary of the high-level cost comparison of upsizing the pipe from 84-inches to 108-inches for the portion of the Backbone Pipeline between Whittier Narrows and the San Gabriel Canyon Spreading Grounds. It should be noted that the costs were developed based upon conceptual information to provide a rough order of magnitude of the potential impact to the program. All costs are presented in May 2023 dollars. A copy of the Engineer's cost assessment is included in Attachment A.

Table 2-1. Rou	gh Order of	Magnitude	Cost Com	parison	Summarv
		magnitude.	2031 2011	parison	Samary

Size	Construction Costs ⁽¹⁾						
84-inch pipeline	\$398,200,000						
108-inch pipeline	\$922,600,000						
Cost difference	\$524,400,000						
 Notes: 1. All values include contingency but do not include pre-construction or construction management soft costs. 							

As can be seen in Table 2-1, upsizing the pipeline from 84-inches to 108-inches between Whittier Narrows and the San Gabriel Canyon Spreading Grounds would roughly double the construction costs for this stretch.

2.1 Contingencies

Project contingencies are included to account for unknown or unforeseen costs at the time the estimate was developed. The amount of contingency applied to an estimate is typically based on the level of project definition. For this cost comparison, a contingency of 35 percent was applied.

It should be noted that soft costs were not included in this comparison. Soft costs capture capital costs associated with the implementation of a project and include planning, environmental documentation and permits, engineering design services, public outreach, real property, legal, environmental mitigation, Metropolitan's staff time, program management, and construction management. While soft costs vary greatly from project to project and from component to component, at this level of planning it is most common to assume a percentage of the construction costs based on similar types of projects. For the Pure Water program, Metropolitan has assumed 30 percent of the estimated construction costs to account for these additional services. It would be appropriate to assume a similar percentage could be applied to this cost increase.

2.2 Key Observations

The following key observations have been made regarding the potential cost impact.

- The quantity of steel required for the 108-inch pipeline was double that of the 84-inch pipeline based upon the assumptions made. This is reflected in the increased unit cost of the larger pipe (dollars / linear foot). The increase in material cost accounts for significant portion of the anticipated cost impact.
- The length of trenchless construction assumed for the 108-inch pipeline increased by 2.8 miles – from eighteen percent to thirty-eight percent of the total length of the evaluated portion of the alignment. This is due to the lack of space between SCE's existing transmission towers and the adjacent levees.

Attachment A - Cost Assessment to Upsize to 9 ft

BLACK & VEATCH

550 S. Hope Street, Suite 2250, Los Angeles, California 90071

B&V Project 410259

PRELIMINARY ENGINEERS OPCC COMPARISON OF 7' TO 9' FROM WHITTIER NARROWS TO CANYON SPREADING GROUNDS

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Los Angeles County, CA

Conceptual-Level Design of Conveyance/Distribution System for Pure Water Southern California

June 2023

SUMMARY

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	Size	Cos	st w/ Contingency
<u>Comparison</u>				
84" Backbone Pipeline (Whittier Narrows to Canyon SG)				
Rose Hills Road/Shepherd St to South of Valley Blvd	21,165	84	\$	125,500,000
South of Valley Blvd to Live Oak Ave	24,595	84	\$	114,500,000
Live Oak Ave to Santa Fe Spreading Grounds PS	15,327	84	\$	106,700,000
SFSG PS to Canyon SG	12,800	84	\$	51,500,000
Subtotal			\$	398,200,000
108" Pipeline (Whittier Narrows to Canvon SG)				
Segment 1 - Whittier Narrows to Santa Fe Spreading Grounds PS	60.943	108	\$	825.800.000
Segment 2 - Santa Fe Spreading Grounds PS to Canyon Spreading Grounds	12,800	108	\$	96,800,000
Subtotal			\$	922,600,000
Approximate Difference in Cost to Unsize to 9' (Whittier Narrows to Canyon SG)				
Total Approximate Cost Increase to Unsize to 9' from Whittier Narrows to Canyon	n SG (with C	ontingency		524 400 000

Note: All costs presented assume 35 percent contingency.

Cost Details for 9' Diameter Pipe - Segment 1

APPENDIX D - LADWP OPERATION NEXT UPSIZING BACK-UP COST INFORMATION

Segment 1 - Whittier Narrows to SFSG PS Direct Costs for Open Cut (9' Diameter) Direct Costs

Item De	scription	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Constru	ction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)				
	108"	8,125	LF	\$ 3,174.85	\$ 25,795,617
	Subtotal -				\$ 25,795,617
Constru	ction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut)				
	108"	26,047	LF	\$ 2,645.28	\$ 68,901,736
	Subtotal -				\$ 68,901,736
Added	Sitework Costs				
	Intersection Traffic Control (Open Cut)		EA	\$ 78,500.00	\$ -
	Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchless)		EA	\$ 12,500.00	\$ -
	Landscaped Median (demo & replace)		LF	\$ 215.00	\$ -
	Raised Median (demo & replace)	0	LF	\$ 200.00	\$ -
	Subtotal -				\$ -
Added I	Pipeline Costs				
	Major Utility Crossings				
	108"	0	EA		
	Major Intersection Crossings				
	108"	0	EA		
	Subtotal -				

Direct Costs - Open Cut	\$	94,697,353
General Requirement - Open Cut	15% \$	14,204,603
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut	15% \$	14,204,603
Recommended Contingency - Open Cut	35% \$	43,087,296
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut	3.6% \$	5,950,392
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT	\$	172,100,000

APPENDIX D - LADWP OPERATION NEXT UPSIZING BACK-UP COST INFORMATION

<u>Trenchless Installations For Segment 1 - Whittier Narrows to Santa Fe Spreading Grounds Pump Station (9' Diameter)</u> Direct Costs

Shaft Construction							
<u>Shaft</u>	Shaft Location	Shaft Type	Depth (ft)	<u>ID (ft)</u>	Subtotal Direct Cost		
Segment 1 - Whittier Narrows to S	FSG PS						
S1-Launch	TBD - TBM Tunnel	Secant Piles	70	45	\$6,300,000		
S1-Receiving	TBD - TBM Tunnel	Secant Piles	70	25	\$2,000,000		
S3-Launch	TBD - Pipe Ram or Shield	Secant Piles	45	45	\$4,100,000		
S3-Receiving	TBD - Pipe Ram or Snield	Secant Piles	45	25	\$1,300,000		
S5-Laurich S5-Receiving	TBD - TBM Tunnel	Secant Piles	70	45	\$5,300,000		
S7-Launch	TBD - Pine Ram or Shield	Secant Piles	45	45	\$2,000,000		
S7-Receiving	TBD - Pipe Ram or Shield	Secant Piles	45	25	\$1,300,000		
S9-Launch	TBD - Pipe Ram or Shield	Secant Piles	45	45	\$4,100,000		
S9-Receiving	TBD - Pipe Ram or Shield	Secant Piles	45	25	\$1,300,000		
S11-Launch	TBD - Shield Tunnel	Secant Piles	45	45	\$4,100,000		
S11-Receiving	TBD - Shield Tunnel	Secant Piles	45	25	\$1,300,000		
S13-Launch	TBD - Pipe Ram or Shield	Secant Piles	45	45	\$4,100,000		
S13-Receiving	TBD - Pipe Ram or Shield	Secant Piles	45	25	\$1,300,000		
S15-Launch	TBD - TBM Tunnel	Secant Piles	70	45	\$6,300,000		
S15-Receiving	IBD - IBM Tunnel	Secant Piles	70	25	\$2,000,000		
S17-Edulicii S17-Receiving	TBD - Pipe Jacking	Secont Piles	45	45	\$4,100,000		
S19-Launch	TBD - Pipe Jacking	Secant Piles	45	25	\$1,500,000		
S19-Receiving	TRD - Pipe Jacking	Secont Piles	45	25	\$1,100,000		
S21-Launch	TBD - Pipe Jacking	Secant Piles	45	45	\$4,000,000		
S21-Receiving	TBD - Pipe Jacking	Secant Piles	45	25	\$1,300,000		
S23-Launch	TBD - Pipe Ram or Pipe Jacking	Secant Piles	45	45	\$4,100,000		
S23-Receiving	TBD - Pipe Ram or Pipe Jacking	Secant Piles	45	25	\$1,300,000		
S25-Launch	TBD - Pipe Jacking	Secant Piles	45	45	\$4,100,000		
S25-Receiving	TBD - Pipe Jacking	Secant Piles	45	25	\$1,300,000		
S27-Launch	TBD - Pipe Jacking	Secant Piles	45	45	\$4,100,000		
S27-Receiving	TBD - Pipe Jacking	Secant Piles	45	25	\$1,300,000		
	Tunnel Excavation and C	Carrier Pipe Construction					
Tunnel Drive	Description		Length (ft)	Cost Per ft	Subtotal Direct Cost		
Segment 1 - Whittier Narrows to S	FSG PS						
S1	EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.		12,915	\$4,900	\$63,283,500		
51	Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grout	ing	12,915	\$3,700	\$47,785,500		
-	FREM Escavation w/Rolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Evcav		-	-	\$5,000,000		
55	Carrier Pine Installation - 108" ID v 75" Cellular Backfill Contact Grout	ing	3,000	\$4,900	\$12,645,600		
52	Open Cut Pipe Installation	5	4 687	-	\$13,043,000		
53	Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging		183	\$3.800	\$695.400		
S3	Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grout	ing	183	\$3,700	\$677.100		
S4	Open Cut Pipe Installation	0	3,516	-			
S6	Open Cut Pipe Installation		620	-			
\$7	Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging		85	\$3,800	\$323,000		
S7	Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grout	ing	85	\$3,700	\$314,500		
58	Open Cut Pipe Installation		1,690	-			
S9	Pipe ramming or Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging		110	\$3,800	\$418,000		
S9	Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grout	ing	110	\$3,700	\$407,000		
S10	Open Cut Pipe Installation		1,830	-			
S11	Shield Tunnel with ribs and lagging		458	\$3,800	\$1,740,400		
\$11	Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grout	ing	458	\$3,700	\$1,694,600		
512	Open Cut Pipe Installation Bing remming or Shield Tunnel with risk and logging		981	-	É448 400		
515	Carrier Pine Installation - 108" ID v 75" Cellular Backfill Contact Grout	ing	110	\$3,800	\$448,400		
513	Onen Cut Pine Installation	ing .	110	\$5,700	\$450,000		
-	Transport, Re-assemble machine for Re-launch		-,5+0	-	\$5,000,000		
S15	EPBM Escavation w/Bolted Gasket Segments - 12.9' Excav.		4.250	\$4.900	\$20,825,000		
515	Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grout	ing	4,250	\$3,700	\$15.725.000		
S16	Open Cut Pipe Installation		4,800	-	, .,		
S17	Pipe Jacking		653	\$4,800	\$3,134,400		
S17	Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grout	ing	653	\$3,700	\$2,416,100		
S18	Open Cut Pipe Installation		2,045	-			
S19	Pipe Jacking		911	\$4,800	\$4,372,800		
S19	Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grout	ing	911	\$3,700	\$3,370,700		
S20	Open CUT Pipe Installation		5,890	-			
521	File JdtKillig	ing	1,427	24,800 \$2,700	\$6,849,600		
521	Correct Fipe Installation - 106 ID X.75, Cellular Backfill, CONTACT Grout Open Cut Pipe Installation	шg	1,42/	\$5,700 -	\$5,279,900		
522	Trenchless Dine Ram or Dine Jacking		1,334	\$3.800	\$657.400		
523	Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75". Cellular Backfill. Contact Grout	ing	173	\$3,700	\$640,100		
S24	Open Cut Pipe Installation		1.313	-	\$010,200		
S25	Pipe Jacking		1.312	\$4.800	\$6,297,600		
S25	Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grout	ing	1,312	\$3,700	\$4,854,400		
S26	Open Cut Pipe Installation		1,154	-			
S27	Pipe Jacking		488	\$4,800	\$2,342,400		
S27	Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grout	ing	488	\$3,700	\$1,805,600		
Direct Cost - Trenchless					\$322,811,800		
							
wobilization - Trenchless				5%	\$16,140,590		
Overhead - Trenchless				27%	\$87,159,186		
Profit - Trenchless				18%	\$58,106,124		
Contingency - Trenchless				35%	\$169,476,195		
3 ,				2070	Ţ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS - WH	ITTIER TO SFSG PS				\$653,700,000		

TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPEN CUT AND TRENCHLESS)

Cost Details for 9' Diameter Pipe - Segment 2

APPENDIX D – LADWP OPERATION NEXT UPSIZING BACK-UP COST INFORMATION Segment 2 - SFSG PS to Canyon SGs Direct Costs for Open Cut (9' Diameter)

Direct Costs Item Description Quantity <u>Unit</u> Unit Cost Total Cost Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 108" 753 LF \$ 3,174.85 \$ 2,390,658 Subtotal -\$ 2,390,658 Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) LF 108" 11,017 \$ 2,645.28 \$ 29,143,104 Subtotal -29.143.104 \$ Added Sitework Costs Intersection Traffic Control (Open Cut) ΕA \$ 78,500.00 \$ -Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchless) Landscaped Median (demo & replace) ΕA 12,500.00 \$ \$ -215.00 \$ LF \$ \$ -Raised Median (demo & replace) 0 LF 200.00 \$ -Subtotal -\$ -Added Pipeline Costs Major Utility Crossings 108" 0 ΕA Major Intersection Crossings 108" EA 0 Subtotal -

Direct Costs - Open Cut	\$	31,533,762
General Requirement - Open Cut	15% \$	4,730,064
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut	15% \$	4,730,064
Recommended Contingency - Open Cut	35% \$	14,347,862
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut	3.6% \$	1,981,452
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT	\$	57,300,000

APPENDIX D - LADWP OPERATION NEXT UPSIZING BACK-UP COST INFORMATION

Trenchless Installations For Segment 2 - SFSG PS to Canyon Spreading Grounds (9' Diameter) Direct Costs

Shaft Construction						
<u>Shaft</u>	Shaft Location	Shaft Type	Depth (ft)	<u>ID (ft)</u>	Subtotal Direct Cost	
Sogmont 2 SESC	DS to Convon Enroading					
S29-Launch	TBD - Pine lacking	Secant Piles	45	45	\$4 100 000	
S29-Receiving	TBD - Pipe Jacking	Secant Piles	45	25	\$1,300,000	
S31-Launch	TBD - Pipe Ram or Pipe Jacking	Secant Piles	45	45	\$4.100.000	
S31-Receiving	TBD - Pipe Ram or Pipe Jacking	Secant Piles	45	25	\$1,300,000	
	Tunnel Excavation an	d Carrier Pipe Construct	tion			
Tunnel Drive	Description		Length (ft)	Cost Per ft	Subtotal Direct Cost	
Segment 2 - SFSG	PS to Canyon Spreading					
S28	Open Cut Pipe Installation		2,626	-		
S29	Pipe Jacking		973	\$4,800	\$4,670,400	
S29	Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular	Backfill, Contact Grouting	973	\$3,700	\$3,600,100	
S30	Open Cut Pipe Installation		5,045	-		
S31	Trenchless Pipe Ram or Pipe Jacking		57	\$3,800	\$216,600	
S31 Carrier Pipe Installation - 108" ID x .75", Cellular Backfill, Contact Grouting		Backfill, Contact Grouting	57	\$3,700	\$210,900	
Direct Cost - Trenc	chless				\$19,498,000	
Mobilization - Tren	chless			5%	\$974,900	
Overhead - Trench	less			27%	\$5,264,460	
Profit - Trenchless				18%	\$3,509,640	
Contingency - Trer	nchless			35%	\$10,236,450	
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS - SFSG PS TO CANYON SPREADING GROUNDS				\$39,500,000		

TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPEN CUT AND TRENCHLESS)

\$96,800,000

Cost Details for 7' Diameter Pipe Segments

APPENDIX D - LADWP OPERATION NEXT UPSIZING BACK-UP COST INFORMATION Rose Hills Road/Shepherd St to South of Valley Blvd (7' Diameter) Direct Costs

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>		<u>Unit Cost</u>		Total Cost
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 84"	880	LF	\$	2,060.43	\$	1,813,178
Subtotal -					\$	1,813,178
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) 84"	12,875	LF	\$	1,607.44	\$	20,695,768
Subtotal -					\$	20,695,768
Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank; Open Cut) 84"	2,540	LF	\$	1,476.11	\$	3,749,326
Subtotal -					\$	3,749,326
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore (Trenchless) < 200 Feet						
84" 200 - 2000 Feet		LF	\$	5,036.49	\$	-
84" Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")	240 2 1	LF EA EA	\$ \$ \$	5,036.49 379,702.66 200,000.00	\$ \$ \$	1,208,758 759,405 200,000
Subtotal -					\$	2,168,163
Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling (Trenchless) < 200 Feet, No Boulders						
84" < 200 Feet, With Boulders		LF	\$	6,295.61	\$	-
84" 200 - 2000 Feet, No Boulders	125	LF	\$	6,925.18	\$	865,647
84" 200 - 2000 Feet, With Boulders		LF	\$	6,295.61	\$	-
84" Shaffa (84")	4,505	LF	\$ ¢	6,633.06	\$ ¢	29,881,934
Mob/Demob (84")	7	EA	φ \$	400,000.00	\$	2,800,000
Subtotal -					\$	39,142,973
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling (Trenchless) EPBM						
84" Slurry TBM		LF	\$	6,010.43	\$	-
84"		LF			\$	-
Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")		EA EA	\$ \$:	548,473.45 3,500,000.00	\$ \$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Added Sitework Costs	0	FA	\$	78 500 00	\$	<u>_</u>
Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchless)	· ·	EA	\$	12,500.00	\$	-
Landscaped Median (demo & replace) Raised Median (demo & replace)	600	LF LF	\$ \$	240.21 227.33	\$ \$	- 136,396
Subtotal -					\$	136,396
Added Pipeline Costs						
Major Utility Crossings 84"	6	EA	\$	151,094.75	\$	906,569
Major Intersection Crossings 84"	0	EA	\$	1,007,298.35	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	906.569

APPENDIX D - LADWP OPERATION NEXT UPSIZING BACK-UP COST INFORMATION Rose Hills Road/Shepherd St to South of Valley Blvd (7' Diameter) Direct Costs

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	Unit Cost		Total Cost
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones					
84"		EA	\$1,344,192.92	\$	-
Dewatering					
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	880	LF	\$ 30.87	\$	27,170
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	12,875		\$ 6.17	\$	79,502
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	2,540		\$ 6.17	\$	15,684
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	240		\$ 49.99	\$	11,997
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnei	4,630		\$ 35.29 ¢ 44.11	\$ ¢	163,371
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	۵ 44.11	Ф	-
Construction Mothed 1 Readway (Open Cut)	990	16	¢ 15.44	¢	12 595
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	12 875		\$ 15.44 \$ 3.00	φ Φ	30 751
Construction Method 24 - SCE Easement	2.540		\$ 3.09 ¢ 3.09	φ Φ	7 942
Construction Method 4A - Lock & Bore	2,540		\$ 5.09	φ Φ	5 000
Construction Method 4B - Microtuppel	4 630		¢ 17.64	φ ¢	81 686
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	4,030		¢ 72.05	φ ¢	01,000
	0	LI	ψ 22.05	Ψ	
Direct Costs - Open Cut				\$	27,484,771
General Requirement - Open Cut			15%	\$	4,122,716
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut			15%	\$	4,122,716
Contingencies - Open Cut			35%	\$	12,505,571
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut			3.6%	\$	1,727,030
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT				\$	50,000,000
Direct Costs - Trenchless				\$	41,574,189
General Requirement - Trenchless			15%	\$	6,236,128
General Contractor OH&P - Trenchless			15%	\$	6,236,128
Contingencies - Trenchless			35%	\$	18,916,256
Bonds & Insurance - Trenchless			3.6%	\$	2,612,351
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS				\$	75,600,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST				\$	125,500,000
APPENDIX D - LADWP OPERATION NEXT UPSIZING BACK-UP COST INFORMATION South of Valley Blvd to Live Oak Ave (7' Diameter) Direct Costs

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>		<u>Unit Cost</u>		Total Cost
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 84"	6,420	LF	\$	2,060.43	\$	13,227,960
Subtotal -					\$	13,227,960
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) 84"	15,575	LF	\$	1,607.44	\$	25,035,851
Subtotal -					\$	25,035,851
Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank; Open Cut) 84"		LF	\$	1,476.11	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore (Trenchless) < 200 Feet						
84" 200 - 2000 Feet	420	LF	\$	5,036.49	\$	2,115,327
84" Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")	230 10 5	LF EA EA	\$ \$ \$	5,036.49 379,702.66 200,000.00	\$ \$ \$	1,158,393 3,797,027 1,000,000
Subtotal -					\$	8,070,746
Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling (Trenchless) < 200 Feet, No Boulders						
84" < 200 Feet, With Boulders		LF	\$	6,295.61	\$	-
84" 200 - 2000 Feet, No Boulders		LF	\$	6,925.18	\$	-
84" 200 - 2000 Feet, With Boulders		LF	\$	6,295.61	\$	-
84" Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")	1,950 4 2	LF EA EA	\$ \$ \$	6,633.06 399,670.91 400,000.00	\$ \$ \$	12,934,466 1,598,684 800,000
Subtotal -					\$	15,333,150
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling (Trenchless)						
84" Shoffa (84")		LF	\$	6,010.43	\$	-
Mob/Demob (84")		EA	գ \$:	3,500,000.00	Գ \$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Added Sitework Costs	2	FΔ	\$	78 500 00	\$	197 682
Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchless)	050	EA	\$	12,500.00	\$	-
Landscaped Median (demo & replace) Raised Median (demo & replace)	250	LF LF	\$ \$	240.21 227.33	ծ \$	60,054 -
Subtotal -					\$	257,736
Added Pipeline Costs						
84"	6	EA	\$	151,094.75	\$	906,569
Major Intersection Crossings 84"	0	EA	\$	1,007,298.35	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	906,569

APPENDIX D - LADWP OPERATION NEXT UPSIZING BACK-UP COST INFORMATION South of Valley Blvd to Live Oak Ave (7' Diameter) Direct Costs

Item Description Geotechnical Added Costs	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones				
84" Devetering		EA	\$1,344,192.92	\$ -
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	0	LE	\$ 30.87	\$ _
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	4.000	LF	\$ 0.07 \$ 6.17	\$ 24.700
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	0	LF	\$ 6.17	\$,
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	85	LF	\$ 49.99	\$ 4,249
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	1,950	LF	\$ 35.29	\$ 68,807
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	\$ 44.11	\$ -
Permeable Soils				
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	0	LF	\$ 15.44	\$ -
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	4,000	LF	\$ 3.09	\$ 12,350
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	0	LF	\$ 3.09	\$ -
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	85	LF	\$ 24.99	\$ 2,124
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	1,950	LF	\$ 17.64	\$ 34,403
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	\$ 22.05	\$ -
Direct Costs - Open Cut				\$ 39,465,165
General Requirement - Open Cut			15%	\$ 5,919,775
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut			15%	\$ 5,919,775
Contingencies - Open Cut			35%	\$ 17,956,650
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut			3.6%	\$ 2,479,828
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT				\$ 71,700,000
Direct Costs - Trenchless				\$ 23,513,479
General Requirement - Trenchless			15%	\$ 3,527,022
General Contractor OH&P - Trenchless			15%	\$ 3,527,022
Contingencies - Trenchless			35%	\$ 10,698,633
Bonds & Insurance - Trenchless			3.6%	\$ 1,477,490
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS				\$ 42,700,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST				\$ 114,500,000

APPENDIX D - LADWP OPERATION NEXT UPSIZING BACK-UP COST INFORMATION Live Oak Ave to Santa Fe Spreading Grounds PS (7' Diameter) Direct Costs

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>		<u>Unit Cost</u>		Total Cost
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 84"	3,800	LF	\$	2,060.43	\$	7,829,634
Subtotal -					\$	7,829,634
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) 84"	7,017	LF	\$	1,607.44	\$	11,279,394
Subtotal -					\$	11,279,394
Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank; Open Cut) 84"		LF	\$	1,476.11	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore (Trenchless) < 200 Feet						
84" 200 - 2000 Feet	170	LF	\$	5,036.49	\$	856,204
84" Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")	2 1	LF EA EA	\$ \$ \$	5,036.49 379,702.66 200,000.00	\$ \$ \$	- 759,405 200,000
Subtotal -					\$	1,815,609
Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling (Trenchless) < 200 Feet, No Boulders						
84" < 200 Feet, With Boulders		LF	\$	6,295.61	\$	-
84" 200 - 2000 Feet, No Boulders	190	LF	\$	6,925.18	\$	1,315,783
84" 200 - 2000 Feet, With Boulders	4.450		\$	6,295.61	\$	-
84″ Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")	4,150 12 6	LF EA EA	\$ \$ \$	6,633.06 399,670.91 400,000.00	\$ \$ \$	27,527,197 4,796,051 2,400,000
Subtotal -					\$	36,039,032
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling (Trenchless) EPBM						
84" Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")		LF EA EA	\$ \$ \$	6,010.43 548,473.45 3,500,000.00	\$ \$ \$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Added Sitework Costs						
Intersection Traffic Control (Open Cut) Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchless)	1	EA EA	\$ \$	78,500.00 12,500.00	\$ \$	98,841 -
Landscaped Median (demo & replace) Raised Median (demo & replace)	200	LF LF	\$ \$	240.21 227.33	\$ \$	48,043 -
Subtotal -					\$	146,884
Added Pipeline Costs Major Utility Crossings						
84" Major Intersection Crossings	4	EA	\$	151,094.75	\$	604,379
84"	1	EA	\$	1,007,298.35	\$	1,007,298
Subtotal -					\$	1,611,677

APPENDIX D - LADWP OPERATION NEXT UPSIZING BACK-UP COST INFORMATION Live Oak Ave to Santa Fe Spreading Grounds PS (7' Diameter) Direct Costs

Item Description Geotechnical Added Costs	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Cost</u>		<u>Total Cost</u>
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones					
84"		EA	\$1,344,192.92	\$	-
Dewatering	0		¢ 00.07	¢	
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	0		\$ 30.87	\$	-
Construction Method 24 - SCE Easement	0		\$ 0.17 ¢ 6.17	ф Ф	-
Construction Method 4A Lack & Boro	0		φ 0.17 ¢ 40.00	ф Ф	-
Construction Method 4R - Microtuppol	0		φ 49.99 ¢ 35.20	ф Ф	-
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0		\$ 55.29 ¢ 1/11	φ Φ	-
Dermeable Soils	0		φ 44.11	Ψ	-
Construction Method 1 - Readway (Open Cut)	0	IE	¢ 15.44	¢	
Construction Method 2 - SCE Essement	0		\$ 15.44 ¢ 3.00	φ Φ	-
Construction Method 24 - SCE Easement	0		\$ 3.09 ¢ 3.09	φ Φ	-
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	0		\$ 3.09 ¢ 34.00	¢	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	0		\$ 24.99 ¢ 17.64	¢	-
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	0		\$ 17.64	\$ ¢	-
	0	LF	\$ 22.05	\$	-
Direct Costs - Open Cut				\$	20,867,589
General Requirement - Open Cut			15%	\$	3,130,138
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut			15%	\$	3,130,138
Contingencies - Open Cut			35%	\$	9,494,753
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut			3.6%	\$	1,311,233
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT				\$	37,900,000
Direct Costs - Trenchless				\$	37,854,641
General Requirement - Trenchless			15%	\$	5,678,196
General Contractor OH&P - Trenchless			15%	\$	5,678,196
Contingencies - Trenchless			35%	\$	17,223,862
Bonds & Insurance - Trenchless			3.6%	\$	2,378,630
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS				\$	68,800,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST - WITHOUT CONTIGENCY				\$	80,000,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST				\$	106,700,000

SFSG PS to Canyon SG (7' Diameter)

Direct	Costs
--------	-------

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>		<u>Unit Cost</u>		Total Cost
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut) 84"	750	LF	\$	2,060.43	\$	1,545,322
Subtotal -					\$	1,545,322
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement (Open Cut) 84"	11,050	LF	\$	1,607.44	\$	17,762,193
Subtotal -					\$	17,762,193
Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank; Open Cut) 84"		LF	\$	1,476.11	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore (Trenchless) < 200 Feet						
84" 200 - 2000 Feet	60	LF	\$	5,036.49	\$	302,190
84" Shafts (84") Mob/Demob (84")	2 1	LF EA EA	\$ \$ \$	5,036.49 379,702.66 200,000.00	\$ \$ \$	- 759,405 200,000
Subtotal -					\$	1,261,595
Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling (Trenchless) < 200 Feet, No Boulders						
84" < 200 Feet, With Boulders		LF	\$	6,295.61	\$	-
84" 200 - 2000 Feet, No Boulders		LF	\$	6,925.18	\$	-
84" 200 - 2000 Feet, With Boulders		LF	\$	6,295.61	\$	-
84" Shafts (84")	940 2	LF EA	\$ \$	6,633.06 399.670.91	\$ \$	6,235,076 799.342
Mob/Demob (84")	1	EA	\$	400,000.00	\$	400,000
Subtotal -					\$	7,434,418
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling (Trenchless) EPBM						
84" Shafts (84")		LF EA	\$ \$	6,010.43 548,473.45	\$ \$	-
Mob/Demob (84")		EA	\$ 3	3,500,000.00	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Added Sitework Costs		FA	\$	78 500 00	\$	<u>-</u>
Intersection Traffic Control (Trenchless)		EA	\$	12,500.00	\$	-
Raised Median (demo & replace) Raised Median (demo & replace)		LF LF	\$ \$	240.21	ծ \$	-
Subtotal -					\$	-
Added Pipeline Costs						
84"	2	EA	\$	151,094.75	\$	302,190
Major Intersection Crossings 84"		EA	\$	1,007,298.35	\$	-
Subtotal -					\$	302,190

SFSG PS to Canyon SG (7' Diameter) Direct Costs

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Co</u>	<u>st</u>		Total Cost
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones						
84"		EA	\$1,344,19	2.92	\$	-
Dewatering						
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	0	LF	\$ 3	30.87	\$	-
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	0	LF	\$	6.17	\$	-
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	0	LF	\$	6.17	\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	0	LF	\$ 4	9.99	\$	-
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	0	LF	\$ 3	35.29	\$	-
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	0	LF	\$ 4	4.11	\$	-
Permeable Soils						
Construction Method 1 - Roadway (Open Cut)	0	LF	\$ 1	5.44	\$	-
Construction Method 2 - SCE Easement	0	LF	\$	3.09	\$	-
Construction Method 3A - River Bank	0	LF	\$	3.09	\$	-
Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore	0	LF	\$ 2	24.99	\$	-
Construction Method 4B - Microtunnel	0	IF	\$ 1	7 64	Ŝ	-
Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling	Ő	LF	\$ 2	2 05	ŝ	_
	0	LI	ψ	.2.00	Ψ	
Direct Costs - Open Cut					\$	19,609,705
General Requirement - Open Cut				15%	\$	2,941,456
General Contractor OH&P - Open Cut				15%	\$	2,941,456
Contingencies - Open Cut				35%	\$	8,922,416
Bonds & Insurance - Open Cut				3.6%	\$	1,232,193
SUBTOTAL - OPEN CUT					\$	35,600,000
Direct Costs - Trenchless					\$	8,696,013
General Requirement - Trenchless				15%	\$	1,304,402
General Contractor OH&P - Trenchless				15%	\$	1,304,402
Contingencies - Trenchless				35%	\$	3,956,686
Bonds & Insurance - Trenchless				3.6%	\$	546,422
SUBTOTAL - TRENCHLESS					\$	15,800,000
TOTAL PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST					\$	51,500,000

Details on Typical Unit Costs for Each Construction Method

APPENDIX D - LADWP OPERATION NEXT UPSIZING BACK-UP COST INFORMATION Construction Method 1 - Roadways 84-inch ID WSP

	······································
Ass	umptions
1	Units listed as LF are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method
2	Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method
3	Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method
4	Asphalt Paving is assumed to be 6" thick
5	For Every linear foot of pipe there will be a linear foot of temporary fencing
6	For every 8 feet of pipe there will be 1 foot of fabric silt fence
7	Pipe joint welds will be inspected every 40 ft
8	Pipe joints will be welded every 40 ft
9	Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.
10	Blow offs are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.
11	Speed shoring is the standard shoring method and the average depth of cover is 8 feet.
12	Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California
	Escalation % August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25 Escalation from 2018
	0.25912 May 2023 ENR CCI for LA: 15109.79 25.91%

Calculate Cost per Linear Foot for Construction Method 1 - 84-inch Pipe

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	Unit	Cost (2023)		Total Cost \$	Notes
Demolition							
Sawcutting	2 000	LE	¢	2 70	¢	5 / 1	Quantity = 21 E per 11 E of pine
Asphalt Paving Removal	15 000	SE	ŝ	1.01	ŝ	15.20	Quantity = (Trench Width + 4 ft) X 1 LE of Pipe
1" Milling	2 333	sv	é	2.16	¢	5.05	Quantity = (Width of construction zone - (Trench Width + 4ft)) X 1 LE of Pine
Transportation and Disposal Fees (Recycle A/C)	0.278	CY	\$	270.27	\$	75.08	Quantity = (AC Paving Removal X Thickness X 1 LF)/27
Subtotal					\$	100.73	Per linear foot
Site Work							
Temporary Fencing	1 000	LE	\$	8 11	\$	8 11	Quantity = 1 E per 1 E of pine
Traffic Control	1.000	LE	ŝ	38.98	ŝ	38.98	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Sweeper & Water Truck	1.000	LE	é	10 00	¢	40.00	Quantity = 1 LE per 1 LE of pipe
Dust Control	1.000	LE	ŝ	46.78	ŝ	46.78	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Survey & Layout	1.000	LE	é	202 71	¢	202 71	Quantity = 1 LE per 1 LE of pipe
Utility Crossings	1.000	-	Ψ	202.71	Ψ	202.71	Quantity - I Er per I Er of pipe
Coo	0.001	15	e	2 202 75	¢	2.64	Quantity - average of 2.1 mile comple cognente
Gas Telephone/Cobie TV	0.001		Ŷ	3,202.73	φ ¢	0.19	Quantity – average of 2.1 mile sample segments
	0.001		a a	324.33	¢ ¢	0.10	Quantity – average of 2.1 mile sample segments
Electric	0.001		ð	1,000.13	ð	0.91	Quantity – average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Sewer	0.002		\$	486.49	\$	1.01	Quantity = average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Water Frosion Control	0.001	LF	\$	486.49	\$	0.28	Quantity = average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Eabric Silt Fence - Installation & Maintenance	0 125	LE	¢	4.05	¢	0.51	Quantity = 1 ft of silt fence per 8 ft of pine
Hay Rolls	0.019	LF	\$	5.41	\$	0.10	Quantity = 1 ft of hay roll per 52 ft of pipe
Subtotal					\$	353.11	Per linear foot
Fasthursda							
Earthwork	0.00	01/	¢	40.54	¢	00.05	Overstitute (Terrenth Death V Midth V 4 LE) / 07
Mass Trench Excavation - Vertical Trenching	0.60	CY OF	2	13.51	\$	89.25	Quantity = (Trench Depth X Width X T LF)/2/
	31.58	SF	2	2.70	\$	85.36	Quantity = Trench Depth X T LF of Pipe X 2
Load/Haul Excavated Soils to Laydown Area	6.60	CY	\$	4.73	\$	31.24	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding & Pipe Cover	0.96	CY	\$	43.24	\$	41.54	Quantity = (((I rench Width X ½ Pipe Dia) - (½ Pipe Area)) X 1 LF)/27
Fine Grading & Compaction	1.255	SY	\$	2.70	\$	3.39	Quantity = ((Irench Width) X 1 LF) / 9
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	4.097	CY	\$	4.73	\$	19.38	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	4.097	CY	\$	24.32	\$	99.66	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	2.507	CY	\$	12.16	\$	30.49	Quantity = Excavation - Laydown Soils
Rough Surface Compaction	1.255	SY	\$	4.05	\$	5.09	Quantity = Fine Grading & Compaction
Subtotal					\$	405.39	
Pipeline							
84" WSP CML	1.000	LF	\$	687.48	\$	687.48	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Pipeline Install - L & EQ	1.000	LF	\$	189.19	\$	189.19	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Welding Pipe Joints	0.025	EA	\$	5,675.76	\$	141.89	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Welding Inspections	0.025	EA	\$	567.58	\$	14.19	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Hydrostatic Testing	1.000	LF	\$	2.03	\$	2.03	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Cathodic Protection							
Anode Bed	1.000	LF	\$	3.73	\$	3.73	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Incidentals (Test Stations)	1.000	LF	ŝ	0.51	\$	0.51	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves	0.0004	EA	\$	14,865,09	\$	5.95	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Blow Off Assembly	0.0004	EA	\$	13,513.72	\$	5.41	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Subtotal					\$	1,050.38	Per linear foot
Site Posteration							
	1 667	ev	¢	70 07	¢	101 60	Quantity = Apphalt Boying Removal / 0
Asphan Paviliy	1.00/	01 6V	ъ с	12.97	¢	20.121	Quantity - Asphalt Pavility Removal / 9 Quantity - Milling / 0
i Aspridit Overlay	2.333	01	ф С	1.69	¢	3.94	Quantury - Winting / 9 Overtity - Winth of Const Zone per 1 E of Dine
Final Site Cleanup	0.000	AC	» \$	0.68	ծ Տ	24.32	Quantity = (Width of Const Zone + Travel Zone) X 1 LF of Pipe)/43560
Subtotal	0.001		Ť	0.000	\$	150.82	Per linear foot
Capitolai					Ψ	100.02	
Total Cost per Linear Foot					\$	2,060.43	Per linear foot

APPENDIX D – LADWP OPERATION NEXT UPSIZING BACK-UP COST INFORMATION Construction Method 1 - Roadways 108-inch ID WSP

Assumptions

 Assumptions

 1 Units listed as LF are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 2 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 3 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 4 Asphalt Paving is assumed to be 6" thick

 5 For Every linear foot of pipe there will be a linear foot of temporary fencing

 6 For every 8 feet of pipe there will be 1 foot of fabric silt fence

 7 Pipe joint wilds will be inspected every 40 ft

 8 Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.

 10 Blow offs are assumed to be installed very 2500 feet.

 11 Speed shoring is the standard shoring method and the average depth of cover is 11 feet.

 12 Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California. Escalation % August 2018 BNR CCI for Los 12000.25 Escalation from 2018

Escalation % August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25 Escalation from 2018 0.25912 May 2023 ENR CCI: 15109.79 25.91%	-		fore becalated from ragaet 2010 to may	EOLO donaro donig L		20070
0.25912 May 2023 ENR CCI: 15109.79 25.91%		Escalation %	August 2018 ENR CCI for	r LA: 12000.25	Escalation from 2018	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.25912	May 2023 ENR CCI:	15109.79	25.91%	

Calculate Cost per Linear Foot for Construction Method 1 - 108-inch Pipe

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit</u>	t Cost (2023)		Total Cost \$	Notes
Demolition							
Sawcutting	2.000	LF	\$	2.70	\$	5.41	Quantity = 2 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Asphalt Paving Removal	19.000	SF	\$	1.01	\$	19.26	Quantity = (Trench Width + 4 ft) X 1 LF of Pipe
1" Milling	1.889	SY	\$	2.16	\$	4.08	Quantity = (Width of construction zone - (Trench Width + 4ft)) X 1 LF of Pipe
Transportation and Disposal Fees (Recycle A/C)	0.352	CY	\$	270.27	\$	95.10	Quantity = (AC Paving Removal X Thickness X 1 LF)/27
Subtotal					\$	123.84	Per linear foot
Site Work							
Temporary Fencing	1.000	LF	\$	8.11	\$	8.11	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Traffic Control	1.000	LF	\$	38.98	\$	38.98	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Sweeper & Water Truck	1.000	LF	\$	49.90	\$	49.90	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Dust Control	1.000	LF	\$	46.78	\$	46.78	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Survey & Layout	1.000	LF	\$	202.71	\$	202.71	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Utility Crossings		. –					
Gas	0.001	LF	\$	3,202.75	\$	3.64	Quantity = average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Telephone/Cable TV	0.001	LF	\$	324.33	\$	0.18	Quantity = average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Electric	0.001	LF	\$	1,608.13	\$	0.91	Quantity = average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Sewer	0.002	LF	\$	486.49	\$	1.01	Quantity = average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Water	0.001	LF	\$	486.49	\$	0.28	Quantity = average of 2 1-mile sample segments
Erosion Control	0.405		¢	4.05	~	0.54	Overstitutes of the factor of
Fabric Silt Fence - Installation & Maintenance	0.125		\$	4.05	þ	0.51	Quantity = 1 ft of slit fence per 8 ft of pipe
Hay Rolls	0.019	LF	Þ	5.41	Ф	0.10	Quantity = 1 ft of hay roll per 52 ft of pipe
Subtotal					\$	353.11	Per linear foot
Earthwork							
Mass Trench Excavation - Vertical Trenching	10.36	CY	\$	13.51	\$	140.00	Quantity = (Trench Depth X Width X 1 LF) / 27
Trench Shoring	36.58	SF	\$	2.70	\$	98.88	Quantity = Trench Depth X 1 LF of Pipe X 2
Load/Haul Excavated Soils to Laydown Area	10.36	CY	\$	4.73	\$	49.00	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding & Pipe Cover	3.32	CY	\$	43.24	\$	143.46	Quantity = (((Trench Width X Pipe Dia + 1 FT) - (Pipe Area)) X 1 LF)/27
Fine Grading & Compaction	1.699	SY	\$	2.70	\$	4.59	Quantity = ((Trench Width) X 1 LF) / 9
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	4.531	CY	\$	4.73	\$	21.43	Quantity = Excavation - CLSM - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	4.531	CY	\$	24.32	\$	110.21	Quantity = Excavation - CLSM - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	5.829	CY	\$	12.16	\$	70.89	Quantity = Excavation - Laydown Soils
Rough Surface Compaction	1.699	SY	\$	4.05	\$	6.89	Quantity = Fine Grading & Compaction
Subtotal					\$	645.34	
Pipeline							
108" WSP CML	1.000	LF	\$	1,324.60	\$	1,324.60	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Pipeline Install - L & EQ	1.000	LF	\$	219.09	\$	219.09	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Welding Pipe Joints	0.025	EA	\$	9,821.16	\$	245.53	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Welding Inspections	0.025	EA	\$	571.64	\$	14.29	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Hydrostatic Testing	1.000	LF	\$	2.52	\$	2.52	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Cathodic Protection							
Anode Bed	1.000	LF	\$	9.54	\$	9.54	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Incidentals (Test Stations)	1.000	LF	\$	0.51	\$	0.51	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves	0.0004	EA	\$	14,865.09	\$	5.95	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Blow Off Assembly	0.0004	EA	\$	113,321.06	\$	45.33	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Subtotal					\$	1,867.35	Per linear foot
Site Restoration							
Asphalt Paving	2.111	SY	\$	72.97	\$	154.06	Quantity = Asphalt Paving Removal / 9
1" Asphalt Overlay	1.889	SY	\$	1.69	\$	3.19	Quantity = Milling / 9
General Site Restoration	40.000	SF	\$	0.68	\$	27.03	Quantity = Width of Const Zone per 1 LF of Pipe
Final Site Cleanup	0.001	AC	\$	675.69	\$	0.93	Quantity = ((Width of Const Zone + Travel Zone) X 1 LF of Pipe)/43560
Subtotal					\$	185.21	Per linear foot
Total Cost per Linear Foot					\$	3,174.85	Per linear foot

APPENDIX D - LADWP OPERATION NEXT UPSIZING BACK-UP COST INFORMATION Construction Method 2 - SCE Easements 84-inch ID WSP

 Assumptions

 1 Units listed as LF are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 2 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 3 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 4 For Every linear foot of pipe three will be a linear foot of temporary fencing

 5 For every 8 feet of pipe three will be 1 foot of fabric silt fence

 6 Pipe joint welds will be inspected every 40 ft

 8 Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.

 9 Blow offs are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.

 10 Speed shoring is the standard shoring method and the average depth of cover is 8 feet.

 11 Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California. Escalation % August 2018 to MAy 2023 a collars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

Escalation %	August 2018 ENR CCI for LA:	12000.3	Escalation from 2018
0.25912	May 2023 ENR CCI for LA:	15109.8	25.91%

Calculate Cost per Linear Foot for Construction Method 2 - 84-inch Pipe

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit</u>	Cost (2023)		Total Cost \$	Notes
Demolition Clearing and Grubbing	0.001	AC	\$	5,000.08	\$	4.13	Quantity = ((Width of Const Zone + Travel Zone) X 1 LF of Pipe)/43560
Subtotal					\$	4.13	Per LF
Site Work							
Temporary Fencing	2.000	LF	\$	8.11	\$	16.22	Quantity = 2 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Dust Control	1.000	LF	\$	9.36	\$	9.36	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Survey & Layout	1.000	LF	\$	40.54	\$	40.54	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Erosion Control Enbria Silt Ennea Installation & Maintonance	0 125	15	¢	4.05	¢	0.51	Quantity = 1 ft of cilt fonce per 9 ft of pine
Hay Rolls	0.019	LF	\$	5.41	ֆ \$	0.10	Quantity = 1 ft of hay roll per 52 ft of pipe
Subtotal					\$	66.72	Per LF
Earthwork							
Mass Trench Excavation - Vertical Trenching	6.60	CY	\$	13.51	\$	89.25	Quantity = (Trench Depth X Width X 1 LF) / 27
Trench Shoring	23.58	SF	\$	2.70	\$	63.74	Quantity = Trench Depth X 1 LF of Pipe X 2
Load/Haul Excavated Soils to Laydown Area	6.60	CY	\$	4.73	\$	31.24	Quantity = Excavation
CLSM Backfill	0.96	CY	\$	108.11	\$	103.84	Quantity = (((Trench Width X ½ Pipe Dia) - (½ Pipe Area)) X 1 LF)/27
Fine Grading & Compaction	1.255	SY	\$	2.70	\$	3.39	Quantity = ((Trench Width) X 1 LF) / 9
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	4.097	CY	\$	4.73	\$	19.38	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	4.097	CY	\$	24.32	\$	99.66	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	2.507	CY	\$	12.16	\$	30.49	Quantity = Excavation - Laydown Soils
Rough Surface Compaction	1.255	SY	\$	4.05	\$	5.09	Quantity = Fine Grading & Compaction
Subtotal					\$	446.07	Per LF
Pipeline							
84" WSP CML	1.000	LF	\$	687.48	\$	687.48	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Pipeline Install - L & EQ	1.000	LF	\$	189.19	\$	189.19	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Welding Pipe Joints	0.025	EA	\$	5,675.76	\$	141.89	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Welding Inspections	0.025	EA	\$	567.58	\$	14.19	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Hydrostatic Testing	1.000	LF	\$	2.03	\$	2.03	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Cathodic Protection		. –					
Anode Bed	1.000	LF	\$	18.67	\$	18.67	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Incidentals (Test Stations)	1.000		\$	0.51	\$	0.51	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves	0.000	EA	\$	14,865.09	¢	5.95	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
blow off Assembly	0.000	LA	Ψ	10,010.72	Ψ	5.41	Quantity - 1 per 2000 Er of 1 pe
Subtotal					\$	1,065.32	Per LF
Site Restoration							
General Site Restoration	36.000	SF	\$	0.68	\$	24.32	Quantity = Width of Const Zone per 1 LF of Pipe
Final Site Cleanup	0.001	AC	\$	675.69	\$	0.87	Quantity = ((Width of Const Zone + Travel Zone) X 1 LF of Pipe)/43560
Subtotal					\$	25.19	Per LF
Total Cost per Linear Foot					\$	1,607.44	Per LF

APPENDIX D - LADWP OPERATION NEXT UPSIZING BACK-UP COST INFORMATION Construction Method 2 - SCE Easements 108-inch ID WSP

 Assumptions

 1 Units listed as LF are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 2 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 3 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 4 For Every linear foot of pipe there will be a linear foot of themconstruction Method

 5 For every 8 feet of pipe there will be a linear foot of themconstruction Method

 6 Pipe joint welds will be inspected every 40 ft

 7 Pipe joints will be welded every 40 ft

 8 Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.

 9 Biow offs are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.

 10 Speed shoring is the standard shoring method and the average depth of cover is 11 feet.

 11 Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 collars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California. Escalation % August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 1200.3

 on from 2018 25.91%

Escalation %	August 2018 ENR CCI for LA:	12000.3	Escalation
0.25912	May 2023 ENR CCI:	15109.8	

Calculate Cost per Linear Foot for Construction Method 2 - 108-inch Pipe

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Un</u>	<u>it Cost (2023)</u>		Total Cost \$	Notes
Demolition Clearing and Grubbing	0.001	AC	\$	5,000.08	\$	4.59	Quantity = ((Width of Const Zone + Travel Zone) X 1 LF of Pipe)/43560
Subtotal					\$	4.59	Per LF
Site Work							
Temporary Fencing	2.000	LF	\$	8.11	\$	16.22	Quantity = 2 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Dust Control	1.000	LF	\$	9.36	\$	9.36	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Survey & Layout	1.000	LF	\$	40.54	\$	40.54	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Erosion Control	0 125		¢	4.05	¢	0.51	$O_{\rm vientify} = 1$ ft of ailt fance par 9 ft of nine
Fabric Sill Fence - Installation & Maintenance	0.125		\$ ¢	4.05	¢	0.51	Quantity = 1 ft of silt fence per 8 ft of pipe
Hay Rolls	0.019	LF	Э	5.41	Þ	0.10	Quantity = 1 ft of hay foil per 52 ft of pipe
Subtotal					\$	66.72	Per LF
Forthwork							
Mass Trench Excavation - Vertical Trenching	10.36	CY	\$	13 51	\$	140 00	Quantity = (Trench Depth X Width X 1 F) / 27
Trench Shoring	36.58	SF	\$	2 70	ŝ	98.88	Quantity = Trench Denth X 1 E of Pine X 2
Load/Haul Excavated Soils to Lavdown Area	10.36	CY	ŝ	4.73	\$	49.00	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding & Pipe Cover	3.32	CY	\$	43.24	\$	143.46	Quantity = (((Trench Width X Pipe Dia + 1 FT) - (Pipe Area)) X 1 LF)/27
Fine Grading & Compaction	1.699	SY	\$	2.70	\$	4.59	Quantity = ((Trench Width) X 1 LF) / 9
Load/Haul Lavdown Soils to Trench Areas	4.531	CY	\$	4.73	\$	21.43	Quantity = Excavation - CLSM - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	4.531	CY	\$	24.32	\$	110.21	Quantity = Excavation - CLSM - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	5.829	CY	\$	12.16	\$	70.89	Quantity = Excavation - Laydown Soils
Rough Surface Compaction	1.699	SY	\$	4.05	\$	6.89	Quantity = Fine Grading & Compaction
Subtotal					\$	645.34	Per LF
Dinalina							
	1 000	15	¢	1 224 60	¢	1 224 60	Quantity = 1 E par 1 E of Pina
Pipeline Install - L & EO	1.000	LF	φ ¢	219.00	φ \$	219.00	Quantity = $1 \text{ Er per } 1 \text{ Er or Fipe}$
Welding Pine Joints	0.025	FΔ	Ψ S	9 821 16	φ \$	245.53	Quantity = 1 per $40 \downarrow \text{F}$ of Pine
Welding Inspections	0.025	FA	ŝ	571.64	ŝ	14 29	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Hydrostatic Testing	1.000	LF	ŝ	2.52	ŝ	2.52	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Cathodic Protection							
Anode Bed	1.000	LF	\$	42.92	\$	42.92	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Incidentals (Test Stations)	1.000	LF	\$	0.51	\$	0.51	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves	0.0004	EA	\$	14,865.09	\$	5.95	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Blow Off Assembly	0.0004	EA	\$	113,321.06	\$	45.33	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Subtotal					\$	1,900.73	Per LF
Site Restoration							
General Site Restoration	40.000	SF	\$	0.68	\$	27.03	Quantity = Width of Const Zone per 1 LF of Pipe
Final Site Cleanup	0.001	AC	\$	675.69	\$	0.87	Quantity = ((Width of Const Zone + Travel Zone) X 1 LF of Pipe)/43560
Subtotal			Ŧ		÷ \$	27 00	Per I F
					Ψ	27.90	
Total Cost per Linear Foot					\$	2,645.28	Per LF

APPENDIX D - LADWP OPERATION NEXT UPSIZING BACK-UP COST INFORMATION Construction Method 3A - LAFCD Easement (River Bank) 84-inch ID WSP

Assumptions

 Assumptions

 1 Units listed as LF are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 2 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 3 Units listed as areas or volumes are for 1 linear foot of the Construction Method

 4 For Every linear foot of pipe there will be a linear foot of the Construction Method

 5 For every 8 feet of pipe there will be a linear foot of temporary fencing

 5 For every 8 feet of pipe there will be 1 foot of fabric silt fence

 6 Pipe joint will be welded every 40 ft

 7 Pipe joints will be welded every 40 ft

 8 Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves are assumed to be installed every 2500 feet.

 9 Blow offs are assumed to be installed every 200 feet.

 10 Speed shoring is the standard shoring method and the average depth of cover is 4 feet.

 11 Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

 Escalation %
 August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25
 Escalation from 2018

 0.25912
 May 2023 ENR CCI for LA: 15109.79
 25.91%

Calculate Cost per Linear Foot for Construction Method 3A - 84-inch Pipe

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	Ur	it Cost (2023)		Total Cost \$	Notes
Demolition							
Clearing and Grubbing Transpiration and Disposal Fees Vegetation (NON-HAZ)	0.001	AC LS	\$ \$	5,337.58 -	\$ \$	4.41	Quantity = (Width of Const Zone X 1 LF of Pipe)/43560
Subtotal					\$	4.41	Per LF
Site Work							
Temporary Fencing	2.000	LF	\$	8.66	\$	17.31	Quantity = 2 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Dust Control	1.000	LF	\$	9.99	\$	9.99	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Survey & Layout	1.000	LF	\$	43.28	\$	43.28	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of pipe
Erosion Control							
Fabric Silt Fence - Installation & Maintenance	0.125	LF	\$	4.33	\$	0.54	Quantity = 1 ft of silt fence per 8 ft of pipe
Hay Rolls	0.019	LF	\$	5.77	\$	0.11	Quantity = 1 ft of hay roll per 52 ft of pipe
Subtotal					\$	71.23	Per LF
Earthwork							
Mass Trench Excavation - Vertical Trenching	4.93	CY	\$	14.43	\$	71.14	Quantity = (Trench Depth X Width X 1 LF) / 27
Trench Shoring	23.58	SF	\$	2.89	\$	68.04	Quantity = Trench Depth X 1 LF of Pipe X 2
Load/Haul Excavated Soils to Laydown Area	4.93	CY	\$	5.05	\$	24.90	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding & Pipe Cover	0.96	CY	\$	46.16	\$	44.34	Quantity = (((Trench Width X ½ Pipe Dia) - (½ Pipe Area)) X 1 LF)/27
Fine Grading & Compaction	1.255	SY	\$	2.89	\$	3.62	Quantity = ((Trench Width) X 1 LF) / 9
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	2.424	CY	\$	5.05	\$	12.24	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	2.424	CY	\$	25.97	\$	62.95	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	2.507	CY	\$	12.98	\$	32.55	Quantity = Excavation - Laydown Soils
Rough Surface Compaction	1.255	SY	\$	4.33	\$	5.43	Quantity = Fine Grading & Compaction
Subtotal					\$	325.21	Per LF
Pipeline							
84" WSP CML	1.000	LF	\$	687.48	\$	687.48	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Pipeline Install - L & EQ	1.000	LF	\$	189.19	\$	189.19	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Welding Pipe Joints	0.025	EA	\$	5,675.76	\$	141.89	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Welding Inspections	0.025	EA	\$	567.58	\$	14.19	Quantity = 1 per 40 LF of Pipe
Hydrostatic Testing	1.000	LF	\$	2.03	\$	2.03	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Cathodic Protection			•		•		
Anode Bed	1.000	LF	\$	3.73	\$	3.73	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Incidentals (Test Stations)	1.000		\$	0.51	\$	0.51	Quantity = 1 LF per 1 LF of Pipe
Air Vacuum/Air Release Valves	0.000	EA	\$	14,865.09	\$	5.95	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Blow Off Assembly	0.000	EA	\$	13,513.72	\$	5.41	Quantity = 1 per 2500 LF of Pipe
Subtotal					\$	1,050.38	Per LF
Site Restoration							
General Site Restoration	36.000	SF	\$	0.68	\$	24.32	Quantity = Width of Const Zone per 1 LF of Pipe
Final Site Cleanup	0.001	AC	\$	675.69	\$	0.56	Quantity = (Width of Const Zone X 1 LF of Pipe)/43560
Subtotal					\$	24.88	Per LF
Total Cost per Linear Foot					\$	1,476.11	Per LF

Construction Method 4A - Jack & Bore 84-inch ID WSP

Assumptions

 Assumptions

 1. Launching pits are assumed to be 30 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 2. Receiving Pits are assumed to be 20 feet long, 16 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 3. Launching and receiving pits will be fully shored excavations with soldier piles and lagging

 4. Source of unit costs are based on cost histories from previous construction bids.

 5. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

 Escalation %
 August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.3

 Escalation %
 August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 15109.8

 0.25912
 May 2023 ENR CCI for LA: 15109.8

 6. 84" carrier will be installed within 108" permalok steel casing pipe and the annular space will be filled with low density cellular grout.

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	Unit C	Cost (2023)		Total Cost	Notes
84" Jack & Bore (<200 ft)						Ŷ	
Launching Pit							
Excavation	648	CY	\$	13.51	\$	8,758.89	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,917	SF	\$	65.00	\$	189,583.33	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	648	CY	\$	4.73	\$	3,065.61	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	69	CY	\$	47.30	\$	3,260.28	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	67	SY	\$	2.70	\$	5 180.18	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	533	CY	\$	4.73	\$	2,520.13	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	533	CY	\$	24.32	\$	12,960.67	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	115	CY	\$	35.00	\$	4,036.51	Quantity = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	67	SY	\$	4.05	\$	270.27	Quantity = Length X Width
					\$	224,635.88	
Receiving Pit							
Excavation	346	CY	\$	13.51	\$	4,671.41	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,100	SF	\$	65.00	\$	136,500.00	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	346	CY	\$	4.73	\$	1,634.99	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	34	CY	\$	47.30	\$	1,592.51	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	36	SY	\$	2.70	\$	96.10	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	281	CY	\$	4.73	\$	1,329.44	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	281	CY	\$	24.32	\$	6,837.12	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	65	CY	\$	35.00	\$	2,261.07	Quantity = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	36	SY	\$	4.05	\$	5 144.15	Quantity = Length X Width
					\$	155,066.78	
Shafts Subtotal		LS			\$	379,702.66	
Mob/Demob/Setup/Dism		LS			\$	200,000.00	
Pipe Jacking	200	LF	\$	5,036.49	\$	5 1,007,298.35	
Total Cost per LF						5,036	\$/LF
84" Jack & Bore (200 ft - 2000 ft)							
Launching Pit							
Excavation	648	CY	\$	13.51	\$	8,758.89	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,917	SF	\$	65.00	\$	189,583.33	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	648	CY	\$	4.73	\$	3,065.61	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	69	CY	\$	47.30	\$	3,260.28	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	67	SY	\$	2.70	\$	5 180.18	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	533	CY	\$	4.73	\$	2,520.13	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	533	CY	\$	24.32	\$	12,960.67	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	115	CY	\$	35.00	\$	4,036.51	Quantity = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	67	SY	\$	4.05	\$	270.27	Quantity = Length X Width
					\$	224,635.88	
Receiving Pit							
Excavation	346	CY	\$	13.51	\$	4,671.41	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,100	SF	\$	65.00	\$	136,500.00	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	346	CY	\$	4.73	\$	1,634.99	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	34	CY	\$	47.30	\$	1,592.51	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	36	SY	\$	2.70	\$	96.10	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	281	CY	\$	4.73	\$	1,329.44	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	281	CY	\$	24.32	\$	6,837.12	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	65	CY	\$	35.00	\$	2,261.07	Quantity = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	36	SY	\$	4.05	\$	144.15	Quantity = Length X Width
					Ś	155,066.78	
Shafts Subtotal		LS			Ś	379,702.66	
Mob/Demob/Setup/Dism		LS			\$	200,000.00	
Pipe Jacking	2,000	LF	\$	5,036.49	\$	10,072,983.48	¢// E
						5,036	9/LF

Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling 84-inch ID WSP

 Assumptions

 1. Bore pits are assumed to be 30 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 2. Receiving Pits are assumed to be 20 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 3. Launching and receiving pits will be fully shored execuavitions with soldier piles and lagging

 4. Source of unit costs are based on cost histories from previous construction bids.

 5. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California. Escalation % August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25 Escalation from 2018

 0.25912
 May 2023 ENR CCI for LA: 15109.79
 25.91%

 6. 84" carrier will be installed within 108" permalok steel casing pipe and the annular space will be filled with low density cellular grout.
 Total Cost

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	Ĺ	Jnit Cost (2023)		Total Cost	t
84" Microtunnel (<200 ft, No Boulders) Launching Pit						Ş	
Excavation	648	CY	\$	13.51	\$	8,758.89	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,917	SF	\$	65.00	\$	189,583.33	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	648	CY	\$	4.73	\$	3,065.61	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	69	CY	\$	47.30	\$	3,260.28	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	67	SY	\$	2.70	\$	180.18	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Solis to Trench Areas	533	CY	\$	4.73	ş	2,520.13	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off Site Dispesel Stecknike Speile	115	CY	¢	24.32	¢ ¢	12,900.07	Quantity = Excavation - Graver bedding - Pipe
Bouch Surface Composition	67	ev	¢ ¢	33.00	¢ ¢	4,030.31	Quality = Excavation - Backini
Rough Surface Compaction	07	31	φ	4.05	ę	270.27	Quantity - Lengur X Width
Receiving Pit					Ψ	224,000.00	
Excavation	432	CY	\$	13 51	\$	5 839 26	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2.333	SF	ŝ	65.00	ŝ	151,666,67	Quantity = $((\text{Length X 4 Dia) X 2})+((\text{Width X 4 Dia) X 2})$
Load Haul Excavated Soils	432	CY	ŝ	4.73	ŝ	2.043.74	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	46	CY	\$	47.30	\$	2,173.52	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	44	SY	\$	2.70	\$	120.12	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	355	CY	\$	4.73	\$	1,680.09	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	355	CY	\$	24.32	\$	8,640.45	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	77	CY	\$	35.00	\$	2,691.00	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	44	SY	\$	4.05	\$	180.18	Quantity = Length X Width
					\$	175,035.03	
Shafts Subtotal		LS			\$	399,670.91	
Mob/Demob/Setup/Dism		LS			\$	400,000.00	
Miereturneling	200		¢	6 DOE 61	¢	1 250 122 02	
Total Cost per LF	200	LF	φ	0,295.01	э \$	1,259,122.93 6,296	\$/LF
84" Microtunnel (<200 ft, With Boulders)							
Launching Pit							
Excavation	648	CY	\$	13.51	\$	8,758.89	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,917	SF	\$	65.00	\$	189,583.33	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	648	CY	\$	4.73	\$	3,065.61	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	69	CY	\$	47.30	\$	3,260.28	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	67	SY	\$	2.70	\$	180.18	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	533	CY	\$	4.73	\$	2,520.13	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	533	CY	\$	24.32	\$	12,960.67	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	115	CY	\$	35.00	\$	4,036.51	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surrace Compaction	67	51	Þ	4.05	ъ с	270.27	Quantity = Length X Width
Receiving Bit					Ф	224,030.00	
Execution	122	CV	¢	12 51	¢	E 020 26	Quantity = Longth X Width X 4 Dia
Excavalion	432	CT CT	¢	13.31	¢ ¢	3,039.20	Quantity = $(I \text{ or geth } X 4 \text{ Dia}) \times 2) + ((W)(dth X 4 \text{ Dia}) \times 2)$
Load Haul Excavated Soils	2,333	CV	¢ Q	4 73	ę	2 0/3 7/	Quality = $((\text{Lengul } \land 4 \text{ Did}) \land 2)^+((\text{Width} \land 4 \text{ Did}) \land 2)$
Gravel Bedding	46	CY	¢	47 30	ŝ	2,040.74	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pine Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	40	SY	¢ ¢	2 70	ŝ	120 12	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Lavdown Soils to Trench Areas	355	CY	ŝ	4 73	ŝ	1 680 09	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pine
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	355	CY	ŝ	24.32	ŝ	8,640,45	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	77	CY	ŝ	35.00	ŝ	2,691.00	Quantiv = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	44	SY	ŝ	4.05	ŝ	180.18	Quantity = Length X Width
·····a·· -····· - ····			•		ŝ	175.035.03	,
Shafts Subtotal		LS			ŝ	399.670.91	
Mob/Demob/Setup/Dism		LS			\$	400,000.00	
Microtunneling	200	LF	\$	6,925.18	\$	1,385,035.23	¢11 E
Total Cost per LF					þ	6,925	\$/LF
84" Microtunnel (200 - 2000 ft, No Boulders)							
Everyotion	649	CY	¢	10 54	¢	0 750 00	Quantity = Langth X Width X 4 Dia
Loundhing Dit Shoring	2 017	01 0E	¢ ¢	13.31	¢ ¢	100 502 22	Qualitity = $(I \text{ or eth } X \neq Dia)$ Qualitity = $(I \text{ or eth } X \neq Dia) \times 2) + ((M)(dth \times 4 Dia) \times 2)$
Load Haul Excavated Soils	648	CV	¢ Q	4 73	ę	3 065 61	Quality = $((\text{Lengul } \land 4 \text{ Dia}) \land 2)^+((\text{Width } \land 4 \text{ Dia}) \land 2)$
Gravel Bedding	69	CV	ę P	4.73	ę	3 260 28	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia \pm 0.5')) - (Dine Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	67	sv	¢ Q	47.30	ę	3,200.20	Qualitity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	533	CV	¢ Q	2.70	ę	2 520 13	Quantity = Eerguit A Width Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pine
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	533	CY	¢ ¢	24.32	ŝ	12,960,67	Quantity = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Tipe
Off-Site Disposal Stocknile Spoils	115	CY	¢	35.00	ŝ	4 036 51	Quantity = Excavation - Backfill
Bough Surface Compaction	67	SY	ŝ	4.05	ŝ	270 27	Quantity = Length X Width
ridagii danado dompadadii	0.	0.	Ŷ	1.00	ŝ	224,635.88	duanary congarrenaan
Receiving Pit							
Excavation	432	CY	\$	13.51	\$	5,839.26	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,333	SF	\$	65.00	\$	151,666.67	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	432	CY	\$	4.73	\$	2,043.74	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	46	CY	\$	47.30	\$	2,173.52	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	44	SY	\$	2.70	\$	120.12	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	355	CY	\$	4.73	\$	1,680.09	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	355	CY	\$	24.32	\$	8,640.45	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Ott-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	77	CY	\$	35.00	\$	2,691.00	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	44	SY	\$	4.05	\$	180.18	Quantity = Length X Width
					\$	175,035.03	
Sharis Subtotal Mob/Demob/Setup/Dism		LS			э \$	399,670.91 400,000.00	
						•	
Microtunneling	2,000	LF	\$	6,295.61	\$	12,591,229.35	
Total Cost per LF					\$	6,296	\$/LF

APPENDIX D - LADWP OPERATION NEXT UPSIZING BACK-UP COST INFORMATION Construction Method 4B - Microtunneling 84-inch ID WSP

- Assumptions

 1. Bore pits are assumed to be 30 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 2. Receiving Pits are assumed to be 20 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 3. Launching and receiving pits will be fully shored excavations with soldier piles and lagging

 4. Source of unit costs are based on cost histories from previous construction bids.

 5. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

 Escalation %
 August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25

 Escalation from 2018

 0.25912
 May 2023 ENR CCI for LA: 15109.79

 6. 84" carrier will be installed within 108" permalok steel casing pipe and the annular space will be filled with low density cellular grout.

84" Microtunnel (200 - 2000 ft, With Boulders)

Lauriching i it					
Excavation	648	CY	\$ 13.51	\$ 8,758.89	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,917	SF	\$ 65.00	\$ 189,583.33	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	648	CY	\$ 4.73	\$ 3,065.61	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	69	CY	\$ 47.30	\$ 3,260.28	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	67	SY	\$ 2.70	\$ 180.18	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	533	CY	\$ 4.73	\$ 2,520.13	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	533	CY	\$ 24.32	\$ 12,960.67	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	115	CY	\$ 35.00	\$ 4,036.51	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	67	SY	\$ 4.05	\$ 270.27	Quantity = Length X Width
				\$ 224,635.88	
Receiving Pit					
Excavation	432	CY	\$ 13.51	\$ 5,839.26	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring	2,333	SF	\$ 65.00	\$ 151,666.67	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	432	CY	\$ 4.73	\$ 2,043.74	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	46	CY	\$ 47.30	\$ 2,173.52	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	44	SY	\$ 2.70	\$ 120.12	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	355	CY	\$ 4.73	\$ 1,680.09	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	355	CY	\$ 24.32	\$ 8,640.45	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	77	CY	\$ 35.00	\$ 2,691.00	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	44	SY	\$ 4.05	\$ 180.18	Quantity = Length X Width
				\$ 175,035.03	
Shafts Subtotal		LS		\$ 399,670.91	
Mob/Demob/Setup/Dism		LS		\$ 400,000.00	
Microtunneling	2,000	LF	\$ 6,633.06	\$ 13,266,119.24	
Total Cost per LF				\$ 6,633	\$/LF

Construction Method 4C - Traditional Tunneling 84-inch ID WSP

Assumptions

 Assumptions

 1. Bore pits are assumed to be 60 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 2. Receiving Pits are assumed to be 20 feet long, 20 feet wide, and 4 Diameters Deep

 3. Launching and receiving pits will be fully shored excavations with soldier piles and lagging

 4. Source of unit costs are based on cost histories from previous construction bids.

 5. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

 Escalation %
 August 2018 ENR CC for LA: 12000.25

 0.25912
 May 2023 ENR CC for LA: 15109.79

 6. All traditional tunnels are assumed to be EPBM.

 7. The minimum excavated diameter for EPBM is assumed to be 100 to 132 inches due to tunnel boring machine limitations. The excess granular space is assumed to be filled with grout.

Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit	Cost (2023)	Total Cost	
					\$	
84" EPBM (>2000 ft)						
Launching Pit						
Excavation	1,296	CY	\$	13.51	\$ 17,517.78	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring (installation, bracing, and removal)	4,667	SF	\$	65.00	\$ 303,333.33	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	1,296	CY	\$	4.73	\$ 6,131.22	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	138	CY	\$	47.30	\$ 6,520.56	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	133	SY	\$	2.70	\$ 360.37	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	1,066	CY	\$	4.73	\$ 5,040.26	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	1,066	CY	\$	24.32	\$ 25,921.34	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	231	CY	\$	35.00	\$ 8,073.01	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	133	SY	\$	4.05	\$ 540.55	Quantity = Length X Width
					\$ 373,438.42	
Receiving Pit						
Excavation	432	CY	\$	13.51	\$ 5,839.26	Quantity = Length X Width X 4 Dia
Launching Pit Shoring (installation, bracing, and removal)	2,333	SF	\$	65.00	\$ 151,666.67	Quantity = ((Length X 4 Dia) X 2)+((Width X 4 Dia) X 2)
Load Haul Excavated Soils	432	CY	\$	4.73	\$ 2,043.74	Quantity = Excavation
Gravel Bedding	46	CY	\$	47.30	\$ 2,173.52	Quantity = (Length X Width X (0.5 Dia + 0.5')) - (Pipe Area X Length)/2
Fine Grade Compaction	44	SY	\$	2.70	\$ 120.12	Quantity = Length X Width
Load/Haul Laydown Soils to Trench Areas	355	CY	\$	4.73	\$ 1,680.09	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Backfill & Compact Native Soil	355	CY	\$	24.32	\$ 8,640.45	Quantiy = Excavation - Gravel Bedding - Pipe
Off-Site Disposal Stockpile Spoils	77	CY	\$	35.00	\$ 2,691.00	Quantiy = Excavation - Backfill
Rough Surface Compaction	44	SY	\$	4.05	\$ 180.18	Quantity = Length X Width
					\$ 175,035.03	
Shafts Subtotal		LS			\$ 548,473.45	
Mob/Demob/Setup/Dism		LS			\$ 3,500,000.00	
EPBM	2.000	LF	s	6.010.43	\$ 12.020.853.25	
Total Cost per LF	2,500		Ŧ	2,510.10	\$ 6,010.43	\$/LF

Details on "Cost Adders" Unit Cost

Cathodic Protection Unit Cost Data

Assumptions

1 Current is proportional to the radius of the pipe squared. As the pipe diameter increases the anode bed costs will increase exponentially

- 2 For a 66" pipe the cost of the anode bed will be 10,000 per mile
- 3 Incidental costs such as test stations will be \$2,000 per mile
- 4 Add \$40,000 per mile to anode bed costs for work in SCE Easement
- 5 These costs include materials and labor.

Determine anode bed costs for all pipe diameters outside of SCE Easement



Determine anode bed costs for all pipe diameters inside of SCE Easement



Cost Adder Major Utility Crossings

Assumptions

- 1 Jacking length is 30 feet.
- Costs are all inclusive and include:
- 2 Demolition, sitework, earthwork, dewatering, and site restoration costs for launching and receiving pits.
- Piping costs associated with casing, steel pipe, annular space grout, casing spacers, pipe welding, testing, cathodic protection, air valves, and blow offs. 3 Bore pits are assumed to be 30 feet long and 20 feet wide
- 4 Receiving Pits are assumed to be 20 feet long and 16 feet wide
- 5 Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

Item Description	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Cost</u> \$	<u>Total Cost</u> \$
Major Utility Crossing Adder 84"	30	LF	\$ 5,036.49	151,095 Jack & Bore

Cost Adder Major Intersection Crossings

Assumptions

- 1 The cost for crossing a Major Intersection would be comparable to a trenchless installation regardless of whether it was installed with open trench methods or trenchless construction methods due to the slower construction rate.
- 2 Jacking length is 200 feet.
- Costs are all inclusive and include:
- 3 Demolition, sitework, earthwork, dewatering, and site restoration costs for launching and receiving pits.
- Piping costs associated with casing, steel pipe, annular space grout, casing spacers, pipe welding, testing, cathodic protection, air valves, and blow offs. 4 Bore pits are assumed to be 30 feet long and 20 feet wide
- 4 Bore pits are assumed to be 30 feet long and 20 feet wide
- 5 Receiving Pits are assumed to be 20 feet long and 16 feet wide
- 6. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.
 - Escalation %
 August 2018 ENR CCI for LA:
 12000.25

 0.25912
 May 2023 ENR CCI for LA:
 15109.79

Item Description	Quantity	<u>Unit</u>	<u>Unit Cost</u>	<u>Total Cost</u> \$
Major Intersection Crossing Adder 84"	200	IF	\$ 5 036 49	1 007 298 Jack & Bore

Cost Adder Landscaped Medians (demo & replace)



Assumptions

1. Trees are spaced every 25 feet

0.25912

2. Average width of median = 10 feet

3. Quantities are calucation for 1 linear foot of landscaped median.

4. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California. Escalation % August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25

-				
May	2023 ENF	R CCI for L	A: 15109	.79

Demolition			Unit	Cost (2023)		
Concrete Slab Removal	1	SF	\$	6.08	\$ 6.08	
Concrete Curb Removal	2	LF	\$	6.76	\$ 13.51	
Transportation and Disposal Fees (Recycle Concrete)	0.10	CY	\$	270.27	\$ 27.81	
Tree Removal	0.04	EA	\$	1,148.67	\$ 45.95	
Clearing and Grubbing	0.0002	AC	\$	5,000.08	\$ 0.92	
subtotal					\$ 94.27	
Site Restoration						
Concrete Curbs	2	LF	\$	47.30	\$ 94.60	
Concrete Slabs	1	SF	\$	27.03	\$ 27.03	
Trees	0.04	EA	\$	608.12	\$ 24.32	
subtotal					\$ 145.95	
Total					\$ 240.21	per linear foot

Cost Adder Raised Medians (demo & replace)



Assumptions

1. No trees

2. Average width of median = 8 feet

3. Quantities are calucation for 1 linear foot of landscaped median.

4. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California.

Demolition			Unit (Cost (2023)		
Concrete Slab Removal	2.3	SF	\$	6.08	\$ 14.19	
Concrete Curb Removal	2.0	LF	\$	6.76	\$ 13.51	
Transportation and Disposal Fees (Recycle Concrete)	0.15	CY	\$	270.27	\$ 41.15	
Subtotal					\$ 68.86	
Site Restoration						
Concrete Curb	2	LF	\$	47.30	\$ 94.60	
Concrete Slabs	2.3	SF	\$	27.03	\$ 63.06	
Type II Aggregate base	0.1	SY	\$	8.11	\$ 0.81	
Subtotal					\$ 158.47	
Total					\$ 227.33	per linear foot

Cost Adder Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones

DISCLAIMER: Assumptions are for a Class 5 cost estimate. A finite element analysis will be completed during later design phases to determine the exact method of ensuring seismic resiliency.

Assumptions:

1. Fault zone is 50 ft on each side of fault

2. D/t = 80 for 100 ft beyond D/t=60 zone

3. Unit cost of steel pipe is the price difference between the thicker pipe used in the fault zone and the standard pipe

used in the construction methods

4. Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los Angeles, California. August 2018 ENR CCI for LA: 12000.25 Escalation % 0.25912

May 2023 ENR CCI for LA: 15109.79

Calculate Cost per Linear Foot for 84-inch Pipe				
Item Description	<u>Quantity</u> <u>Unit</u>	Unit Cost	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Seismic Hazards/Fault Zones				
1" Thick Pipe	300 LF	\$310	\$390	\$117,098
Ball Joint	2 EA	\$487,281	\$613,547	\$1,227,094
Subtotal				\$1,344,193

Create trendline to interpolate ball joint costs

References:

1. EBAA Budgetary Quotation Emails, September 27 & 28, 2016



Use y=91.965x² -2496x+14777 to interpolate cost for ball joint diameters not included in the EBAA budgetary quote.

ID (in)	Cost (\$)
42	\$77,042.82
48	\$114,069.16
54	\$158,163.94
60	\$209,327.14
84	\$484,664.26

12000.25

Cost Adder Dewatering

Escalation %

Notes

- 1. Microtunneling and traditional tunneling only require dewatering at the launching and receiving pits.
- 2. Jack & Bore requires dewatering at the pits and alongth the alignment.

August 2018 ENR CCI for LA:

Unit costs shown were escalated from August 2018 to May 2023 dollars using ENR Construction Cost Indexes for Los 3. Angeles, California.

0.25912	May 2023 ENI	R CCI for LA:			
Dewatering Location	Unit Co	st (\$/MO)	Construction Rate (ft/day) Unit Cost (2	2023) (\$/ft)
Roadway	\$	37,363	40	\$	38.88
SCE Easement	\$	37,363	200	\$	7.78
LAFCD Easement (River Bank)	\$	37,363	200	\$	7.78
LAFCD Easement (River Channe	I) \$	53,375	200	\$	11.11
Trenchless					
Pits (Jack & Bore)	\$	53,375	60	\$	37.02
Alignment (Jack & Bore)	\$	37,363	60	\$	25.92
				Subtotal = \$	62.94
Pits (Microtunnel)	\$	53,375	50	\$	44.43
Pits (Traditional)	\$	53,375	40	\$	55.54

Cost Adder Permeable Soils

Notes:

1. Where permeable soils such as sand are present the cost of dewatering will be increased by 50%

Dewatering Location	Unit C	ost (\$/MO)	Construction Rate (ft/day) Unit Cost (\$/ft)	
Roadway	\$	18,681	40	\$	19.44
SCE Easement	\$	18,681	200	\$	3.89
LAFCD Easement (River Bank)	\$	18,681	200	\$	3.89
LAFCD Easement (River Channel)	\$	26,688	200	\$	5.55
Trenchless					
Pits (Jack & Bore)	\$	26,688	60	\$	18.51
Alignment (Jack & Bore)	\$	18,681	60	\$	12.96
			Subto	tal = \$	31.47
Pits (Microtunnel)	\$	26,688	50	\$	22.21
Pits (Traditional)	\$	26,688	40	\$	27.77