



Matters Impacting Metropolitan

EPA Issues Proposed Drinking Water Standards for Perchlorate

On January 5, 2026, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) released for public comment proposed Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) for perchlorate of 20 micrograms per liter ($\mu\text{g/L}$), 40 $\mu\text{g/L}$, or 80 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and a health-based Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG) of 20 $\mu\text{g/L}$. In comparison, California's perchlorate MCL is 6 $\mu\text{g/L}$, and Massachusetts' perchlorate MCL is 2 $\mu\text{g/L}$. EPA is also proposing requirements for public water systems to conduct monitoring for perchlorate in drinking water, take mitigation actions if the level exceeds the MCL, provide information about perchlorate to their consumers through public notification and consumer confidence reports, and report to their respective primacy agency. EPA is holding a virtual public hearing on February 19, 2026, from 1 p.m. to 4 p.m. eastern time, and comments on the proposed rule are due by March 9, 2026. More information on the public hearing, how to register, and how to provide EPA with oral and written comments is available at:

<https://www.epa.gov/sdwa/perchlorate-drinking-water>. Pursuant to a Consent Decree between EPA and the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), EPA is required to finalize an MCL and MCLG for perchlorate by May 21, 2027.

EPA Administrator Lee Zeldin has determined that the benefits of the proposed regulation would not justify the costs. However, EPA is required by the D.C. Circuit's decision in *NRDC v. Regan*, 67 F.4th 397 (D.C. Cir. 2023), to issue an MCL and MCLG for perchlorate. In that case, a panel majority held that EPA lacked authority under the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) to withdraw its determination to regulate perchlorate. EPA originally decided to regulate perchlorate in 2011, which started a two-year clock ticking under the SDWA for EPA to propose drinking water standards. Five years later, when EPA still had not proposed an MCL or an MCLG for perchlorate, NRDC filed a lawsuit against EPA to compel EPA to regulate perchlorate. On June 26, 2019, EPA proposed setting the MCL and MCLG for perchlorate at 56 $\mu\text{g/L}$ and sought comment on three alternative

regulatory options: (1) setting an MCL and MCLG for perchlorate at 18 $\mu\text{g/L}$; (2) setting an MCL and MCLG for perchlorate at 90 $\mu\text{g/L}$; or (3) withdrawing EPA's 2011 determination to regulate perchlorate in drinking water. According to EPA, new information indicated that perchlorate does not occur in public water systems with a frequency and at levels of public health concern.

In July 2020, EPA withdrew its 2011 determination to regulate perchlorate and decided not to set an MCL or MCLG for perchlorate. EPA determined that regulating perchlorate in drinking water would not present a meaningful opportunity for health risk reduction for people served by public water systems. In May 2023, the D.C. Circuit in *NRDC v. Regan* vacated and remanded EPA's July 2020 withdrawal of its determination to issue a drinking water regulation for perchlorate. The panel majority found that EPA could not withdraw its determination and must proceed to regulate perchlorate, despite new and additional data and analyses that changed the scientific underpinnings of the original regulatory determination.

California has already adopted an MCL for perchlorate. In 2007, California established an MCL of 6 $\mu\text{g/L}$, which is the same as the public health goal (PHG) for perchlorate. A PHG is the concentration of a chemical in drinking water that does not pose a significant risk to human health. In California, an MCL must be set as close as possible to the PHG, while considering cost and technological feasibility. In 2015, the PHG for perchlorate was lowered from 6 $\mu\text{g/L}$ to 1 $\mu\text{g/L}$. Accordingly, California is now considering whether to lower the MCL for perchlorate from 6 $\mu\text{g/L}$ to a new MCL as close to the 1 $\mu\text{g/L}$ PHG as is technologically and economically feasible. As part of this effort, the Detection Limit for Purposes of Reporting (DLR) for perchlorate was lowered from 4 $\mu\text{g/L}$ to 2 $\mu\text{g/L}$ as of July 1, 2021, and from 2 $\mu\text{g/L}$ to 1 $\mu\text{g/L}$ as of January 1, 2024.

Metropolitan staff will continue to monitor and comment on both EPA's and California's proposed drinking water standards for perchlorate.



Other Matters

Miscellaneous

On December 18, 2025, Metropolitan issued \$184,225,000 of Special Variable Rate Water Revenue Refunding Bonds, 2025 Series B. The Bonds were issued to refund three separate series of bonds originally issued in 2016, 2017, and 2022. During a several-month process, Legal Department staff attorneys worked with Finance, Engineering and Water Resources staff to prepare the official statements used to market the Bonds and assisted outside bond and disclosure counsel with the drafting and negotiation of several contracts and closing certificates, including the credit facility supporting the variable rate bonds.

Legal Department staff worked with Finance staff to prepare and post Metropolitan's annual financial information filings for fiscal year ended June 30, 2025, pursuant to continuing disclosure requirements for all of Metropolitan's outstanding revenue and general obligation bonds. The annual filings include certain financial and operational disclosures, and the Annual Comprehensive Financial Report for Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2025 and 2024. The annual filings are available at <http://emma.msrb.org> (the Electronic Municipal Market Access system) maintained by the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board.

Matters Received

<u>Category</u>	<u>Received</u>	<u>Description</u>
Government Code Claims	1	Claimant fell over a water meter cover located in planter of a parking lot in the city of Chino Hills
Requests Pursuant to the Public Records Act	27	<div> <div><u>Requestor</u></div> <div><u>Documents Requested</u></div> </div> <div> <p>Alder Law</p> <p>Board and staff communications for the period 2022 to the present, board meeting records, board expenses and contracts for the past 3 years, investigation, employment, and EEOC records, discrimination and harassment records, MWD insurance records</p> <p>CCS Global Tech</p> <p>Winning vendor responses, cost file, and technical file for the Request for Qualifications for On-Call IT Application Support Services</p> <p>Center for Contract Compliance (5 requests)</p> <p>Bid and contract information for: (1) Iron Mountain Facility Tree Removal Services; (2) Sepulveda PCS Tree Removal Services; (3) Mills Plant Tree Clean-Up Services; (4) Eagle Rock Tree Maintenance Services; and (5) Eastern Region Unit Tree Services</p> <p>Collins + Collins</p> <p>Project documents, including investigative reports, photographs, video/audio recordings, communications between MWD and J.W. Fowler, drawings, field reports, schedules, payments, Standard Detail Book, regarding the Perris Valley Pipeline Interstate 215 Crossing</p> </div>



<u>Requestor</u>	<u>Documents Requested</u>
Delta Conveyance Design & Construction Authority	Bid and contract for Security Services
Nation Analytics	Purchase order data including purchase order number, purchase order date, end date, detailed description, quantity, price, and vendor from January 1, 2021 to the present
Orange County Water District	General Mineral and Physical Analysis of Metropolitan's Water Supplies for the period July 2024 through June 2025
Private Citizens (14 requests, of which 10 are from the same requester)	<p>Bid tabulations for: (1) San Diego Pipeline No. 1 Rainbow Tunnel Concrete Liner Rehabilitation; (2) Etiwanda Pipeline North Relining-Stage 3; (3) Weymouth Water Treatment Plant Basins 5-8 and Filter Building No. 2 Rehabilitation; (4) Orange County Feeder and Extension Relining - Reach 3; (5) Sanitary Sewer Pipe Lining (Under Canal) for Iron Mountain Facility; (6) Weymouth Water Treatment Plant Natural Gas System Improvement; (7) Colorado River Aqueduct Pumping Plants Domestic Water Treatment System Replacement; (8) Lake Mathews Reservoir Wastewater System Replacement; (9) Lake Mathews Reservoir Aboveground Diesel Fuel Tank Replacement; and (10) Diamond Valley Lake Asphalt Roadway and Parking Lot Restoration</p> <p>Records relating to Michael Heider, including MWD agreements with Mr. Heider, procurement and selection records, invoices, investigative work product, scope of work, conflict-of interest/ethics records, communications regarding his work, board records referencing approval of his contract and report findings, engagement close-out records</p> <p>Records relating to housing tract known as Oak Glen Lodge built around 1911 before Live Oak Dam was started in 1920</p>



		<u>Requestor</u>	<u>Documents Requested</u>
		Private Citizens (14 requests, of which 10 are from the same requester)	Potential conflict of interest forms submitted by contractors to MWD from June 2025 through December 2025
			MWD Request for Proposals and all proposals submitted for workers Compensation Risk Management Program assessment and support services (awarded to TCS Risk Management services, LLC under agreement no. 230035)
		Tryfacta	Bid, contract, and cost information for Skilled Trade Temporary Labor Services
		Visual Edge IT	Bid and contract/lease documents for district-wide copiers and printers
Other Matters	1	Wage garnishment	



PLEASE NOTE

- ADDITIONS ONLY IN THE FOLLOWING TWO TABLES WILL BE SHOWN IN RED.
- ANY CHANGE TO THE *OUTSIDE COUNSEL AGREEMENTS* TABLE WILL BE SHOWN IN REDLINE FORM (I.E., ADDITIONS, REVISIONS, DELETIONS).



Bay-Delta and SWP Litigation

Subject	Status
Delta Conveyance Project CEQA Cases	
<i>Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District v. California Department of Water Resources</i> (case name for the consolidated cases)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DWR is the only named respondent/defendant All alleged CEQA violations Most allege violations of the Delta Reform Act, Public Trust Doctrine and Delta and Watershed Protection Acts
<i>City of Stockton v. California Department of Water Resources</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Two allege violations of the fully protected bird statute
<i>County of Butte v. California Department of Water Resources</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One alleges violations of Proposition 9 (1982) and the Central Valley Project Act
<i>County of Sacramento v. California Department of Water Resources</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deadline for DWR to prepare the administrative record extended to March 2, 2026
<i>County of San Joaquin et al. v. California Department of Water Resources</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aug. 19, 2024 DWR appealed the injunction
<i>Sacramento Area Sewer District v. California Department of Water Resources</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oct. 24, 2024 cases ordered consolidated for all purposes under <i>Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District v. California Department of Water Resources</i>
<i>San Francisco Baykeeper, et al. v. California Department of Water Resources</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Feb. 6, 2026 next case management conference
<i>Sierra Club, et al. v. California Department of Water Resources</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oct. 17, 2025, court of appeal reversed the trial court's grant of an injunction halting preconstruction geotechnical soil testing Nov. 14, 2025 petition for rehearing denied Nov. 26, 2025 plaintiffs filed a petition for review in the California Supreme Court
<i>South Delta Water Agency and Rudy Mussi Investment L.P. v. California Department of Water Resources</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Jan. 16, 2025 hearing on State Water Contractors' and certain members' motion to intervene</u>
<i>Sacramento County Superior Ct.</i> (Judge Acquisto)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Feb. 6, 2026 next case management conference</u>
3d District Court of Appeal Case No. C101878	
<u>Supreme Court Case No. S294127</u>	
<i>Sierra Club et al. v. California Department of Water Resources</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Filed July 18, 2025 Alleges DWR unlawfully "piecemealed" CEQA review of the Delta Conveyance Project and its petition to the State Water Resources Control Board for a time extension of its existing State Water Project water right permits
Sacramento County Super. Ct. (Judge Stephen P. Acquisto)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeks an order requiring DWR to withdraw its time extension petition, decertify the DCP EIR, rescind the CEQA findings and project approval, prepare a subsequent EIR for the DCP, and prohibiting DWR from issuing



	<p>revenue bonds until it has certified a subsequent EIR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> December 5, 2025 hearing on DWR's demurrer (motion to dismiss) Jan. 5, 2026 judgment sustaining DWR's demurrer without leave to amend, resulting in dismissal with prejudice
<p>Consolidated DCP Revenue Bond Validation Action and CEQA Case</p> <p><i>Sierra Club, et al. v. California Department of Water Resources</i> (CEQA, designated as lead case)</p> <p><i>DWR v. All Persons Interested</i> (Validation)</p> <p>Sacramento County Superior Ct. (Judge Kenneth C. Mennemeier)</p> <p>3d District Court of Appeal Case No. C100552</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Validation Action Final Judgment and Final Statement of Decision issued January 16, 2024 ruling the bonds are not valid DWR, Metropolitan and other supporting public water agencies filed Notices of Appeal on or before the February 16, 2024 deadline Eight opposing groups filed Notices of Cross Appeals by March 27, 2024 Appeals and cross-appeals fully briefed as of May 12, 2025 November 19, 2025 oral argument Dec. 31, 2025 Court of Appeal opinion filed upholding trial court ruling the bonds are not valid
<p>2025 Delta Conveyance Program Revenue Bond Validation</p> <p><i>Department of Water Resources v. All Persons Interested, etc.</i></p> <p>Sacramento County Superior Court (Judge Kenneth C. Mennemeier)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Jan. 6, 2025, the Department of Water Resources (DWR) adopted a new bond resolution Jan. 7, DWR filed a complaint seeking a judgment validating its authority to issue the bonds under the CVP Act 15 answers filed, 10 in opposition and 5 in support June 12, 2025 two motions to dismiss denied Aug. 15, 2025 case assigned to Judge Mennemeier for all purposes Sept. 23, 2025 Case Management Conference Dec. 5, 2025 opening trial briefs Jan. 30, 2026 opposition briefs due Feb. 20, 2026 reply briefs due March 16-20, 2026 trial on the merits
<p>SWP-CVP 2019 BiOp Cases</p> <p><i>Pacific Coast Fed'n of Fishermen's Ass'ns, et al. v. Raimondo, et al.</i> (PCFFA)</p> <p><i>Calif. Natural Resources Agency, et al. v. Raimondo, et al.</i> (CNRA)</p> <p>Federal District Court, Eastern Dist. of California, Fresno Division</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SWC intervened in both PCFFA and CNRA cases Federal defendants reinitiated consultation on Oct 1, 2021 and new BiOps issued in fall/winter 2024 Cases stayed until further notice in light of new BiOps and new administration Aug. 15, 2025 Federal Defendants' motions to dismiss cases as moot due



(Judge Thurston)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aug. 29, 2025 Defendant-Intervenors' briefs due • Oct. 29, 2025 Plaintiffs' opposition briefs due • Nov. 26, 2025 Federal Defendants' reply briefs due • Dec. 18, 2025 PCFFA case dismissed • Dec. 30, 2025 CNRA case dismissed
<p>2024 CESA Incidental Take Permit Consolidated Cases</p> <p><i>California Sportfishing Protection Alliance, et al. v. California Department of Water Resources, et al.</i> (CEQA, CESA, Delta Reform Act, Public Trust Doctrine)</p> <p>Sacramento County Superior Ct. Case No. 24WM000181 (Judge Arguelles) (Designated lead case for the consolidated cases)</p> <p><i>San Francisco Baykeeper, et al. v. California Department of Water Resources</i> (CEQA, Delta Reform Act, Public Trust Doctrine)</p> <p><i>Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority, et al. v. California Department of Water Resources, et al.</i> (CEQA)</p> <p><i>Central Delta Water Agency and South Delta Water Agency v. California Department of Water Resources</i> (CEQA, Delta Reform Act, Watershed Protection Acts, Public Trust Doctrine)</p>	<p>Cases challenge DWR's Final EIR and the California Department of Fish and Wildlife's California Endangered Species Act Incidental Take Permit for the updated Long Term Operations plan for the State Water Project</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Oct. 8, 2025 minute order consolidating cases under the <i>California Sportfishing Protection Alliance</i> case name • Dec. 12, 2025, case management conference in the consolidated cases • June 12, 2026 case management conference
<p>CDWR Environmental Impact Cases Sacramento Superior Ct. Case No. JCCP 4942, 3d DCA Case No. C100302 (20 Coordinated Cases)</p> <p>Validation Action <i>DWR v. All Persons Interested</i></p> <p>CEQA 17 cases</p> <p>CESA/Incidental Take Permit 2 cases</p> <p>(Judge Arguelles)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cases dismissed after DWR rescinded project approval, bond resolutions, decertified the EIR, and CDFW rescinded the CESA incidental take permit • January 10, 2020 – Nine motions for attorneys' fees and costs denied in their entirety • May 11, 2022, court of appeal reversed the trial court's denial of attorney fees and costs • Coordinated cases remitted to trial court for re-hearing of fee motions consistent with the court of appeal's opinion • Dec. 26, 2023 order denying fee motions • Six notices of appeal filed • Appeals fully briefed as of June 6, 2025 • Nov. 21, 2025 oral argument • Dec. 9, 2025 Court of Appeal decision upholding trial court denial of attorney fee motions



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><u>Dec. 24, 2025 three petitions for rehearing filed by losing appellants</u>
Water Management Tools Contract Amendment <i>California Water Impact Network et al. v. DWR</i> Sacramento County Superior Ct. (Judge Acquisto) <i>North Coast Rivers Alliance, et al. v. DWR</i> Sacramento County Super. Ct. (Judge Acquisto)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Filed September 28, 2020CWIN and Aqualliance allege one cause of action for violation of CEQANCRA et al. allege four causes of action for violations of CEQA, the Delta Reform Act, Public Trust Doctrine and seeking declaratory reliefSWC motion to intervene in both cases grantedDec. 20, 2022 DWR filed notice of certification of the administrative record and filed answers in both cases



Outside Counsel Agreements				
Firm Name	Matter Name	Agreement No.	Effective Date	Contract Maximum
Aleshire & Wynder	Oil, Mineral and Gas Leasing	174613	08/18	\$50,000
Anzel Galvan LLP	Bond Issues	220411	07/24	N/A
Atkinson Andelson Loya Ruud & Romo	Employee Relations	59302	04/04	\$1,316,937
	Delta Conveyance Project Bond Validation-CEQA Litigation	185899	09/21	\$250,000
	MWD Drone and Airspace Issues	193452	08/20	\$50,000
	AFSCME Local 1902 in Grievance No. 1906G020 (CSU Meal Period)	201883	07/12/21	\$30,000
	MWD MOU Negotiations**	201893	10/05/21	\$100,000
	Ethics and EEO Investigation	222534	01/25	\$50,000
	PRA Issues	222539	02/25	\$110,000
	Sanchez Job Audit Appeal	222551	03/25	\$50,000
	Gutierrez Job Audit Appeal	222552	03/25	\$50,000
	RFIs by AFSCME Local 1902	222554	03/25	\$20,000
	EEO Investigation	226516	05/25	\$25,000
BDG Law Group, APLC	Gutierrez v. MWD	216054	03/24	\$250,000
	Hagekhalil Defense in Kasaine Litigation	222547	03/25	\$250,000
Best, Best & Krieger	Bay-Delta Conservation Plan/Delta Conveyance Project (with SWCs)	170697	08/17	\$500,000
	Environmental Compliance Issues	185888	05/20	\$100,000
	Grant Compliance Issues	211921	05/23	\$250,000
	Pure Water Southern California	207966	11/22	\$250,000
	Progressive Design Build	216053	04/24	\$250,000
	Pure Water – SB 149 CEQA Record Preparation	222526	02/25	\$150,000



Firm Name	Matter Name	Agreement No.	Effective Date	Contract Maximum
	Rates and Taxes Advice	226517	05/25	\$50,000
	PWSC Construction Contracting Issues	226524	07/25	\$125,000
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Dickens, Duffy & Prendergast, LLP	FCC and Communications Matters	110227	11/10	\$100,000
Buchalter, a Professional Corp.	Union Pacific Industry Track Agreement	193464	12/07/20	\$50,000
Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP	Real Property – General	180192	01/19	\$100,000
	Labor and Employment Matters	180207	04/19	\$75,000
	General Real Estate Matters	180209	08/19	\$200,000
	Rancho Cucamonga Condemnation Actions (Grade Separation Project)	207970	05/22	\$100,000
	Foothill Pump Station Condemnation	226522	06/25	\$100,000
	<u>Fakhoury, et al. v. City of Rancho Cucamonga, et al.</u>	<u>226528</u>	<u>12/25</u>	<u>\$100,000</u>
Law Office of Alexis S.M. Chiu*	Bond Counsel	220409	07/24	N/A
Castañeda + Heidelman LLP	Employment Matter	216055	04/24	\$100,000
	Employment Matter	222530	11/24	\$100,000
Cislo & Thomas LLP	Intellectual Property	170703	08/17	\$100,000
Davis Wright Tremaine, LLP	Advice and Representation re Potential Litigation	220424	10/24	\$250,000
	Kasaine v. MWD	222543	03/25	\$250,000
	Advice and Representation re Employment -Related Claims	226526	08/25	\$200,000
Erin Joyce Law, PC	Ethics Advice	216058	05/24	\$100,000
Hackler Flynn & Associates	Government Code Claim Advice	216059	5/24	\$250,000



Firm Name	Matter Name	Agreement No.	Effective Date	Contract Maximum
Haden Law Office	Real Property Matters re Agricultural Land	180194	01/19	\$50,000
Hanna, Brophy, MacLean, McAleer & Jensen, LLP	Workers' Compensation	211926	06/23	\$500,000
Hanson Bridgett LLP	Finance Advice	158024	12/16	\$100,000
	Deferred Compensation/HR	170706	10/17	\$600,000
	Alternative Project Delivery (ADP)	207961	10/22	\$250,000
Hausman & Sosa, LLP	Jones v. MWD	216056	05/24	\$250,000
	Villavicencio v. MWD	220426	10/24	\$100,000
	Jensen Operator Standby Removal	222522	10/24	\$100,000
	Villa NOIS Appeal	222553	03/25	\$50,000
	Alberto NOID Appeal	226523	06/25	\$100,000
Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP*	Bond Counsel	220405	07/24	N/A
Horvitz & Levy	General Appellate Advice	146616	12/15	\$200,000
	Colorado River	203464	04/22	\$100,000
	Delta Conveyance Bond Validation Appeal	216047	03/24	\$25,000
	PFAS Multi-District Litigation – Appeal	216050	03/24	\$200,000
Internet Law Center	Cybersecurity and Privacy Advice and Representation	200478	04/13/21	\$100,000
	Systems Integrated, LLC v. MWD	201875	05/17/21	\$500,000
	General Advice and Counsel	222544	02/25	\$25,000
Jackson Lewis P.C.	Employment: Department of Labor Office of Contract Compliance	137992	02/14	\$45,000
Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP	Bond Counsel	220412	07/24	N/A



Firm Name	Matter Name	Agreement No.	Effective Date	Contract Maximum
Kronenberger Rosenfeld, LLP	Systems Integrated, LLC v. MWD	211920	04/23	\$500,000
Kutak Rock LLP	Delta Islands Land Management	207959	10/22	\$160,000
Lesnick Prince Pappas & Alverson LLP	Kidde-Fenwal Bankruptcy	216061	06/24	\$50,000
Liebert Cassidy Whitmore	Labor and Employment	158032	02/17	\$244,741
	FLSA Audit	180199	02/19	\$50,000
	EEO Advice	216041	12/23	\$450,000
Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP	PFAS Multi-District Litigation	216048	03/24	\$200,000
Marten Law LLP	PFAS Multi-District Litigation	216034	09/23	\$550,000
	PFAS-Related Issues (PWSC)	220414	08/24	\$100,000 <u>\$150,000</u>
	Perris Valley Pipeline Project	220415	07/24	\$100,000
	PFAS-Related Issues (General)	220413	10/24	\$50,000
Meyers Nave Riback Silver & Wilson	Pure Water Southern California	207967	11/22	\$100,000
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius	Project Labor Agreements	200476	04/21	\$100,000
Musick, Peeler & Garrett LLP	Arvin-Edison v. Dow Chemical	203452	01/22	\$150,000
	Semitropic TCP Litigation	207954	09/22	\$75,000
	Employment Matter	220417	08/24	\$100,000
Nixon Peabody LLP*	Special Finance Project	207960	10/22	\$50,000
	Bond Counsel	220404	07/24	N/A
Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP*	Bond Counsel	220407	7/24	N/A
	Pure Water Special Project Finance	226513	05/25	\$200,000



Firm Name	Matter Name	Agreement No.	Effective Date	Contract Maximum
Olson Remcho LLP	Government Law	131968	07/14	\$600,000
	Advice/Assistance re Proposition 26/Election Issues	211922	05/23	\$100,000
Pearlman, Brown & Wax, L.L.P.	Workers' Compensation	216037	10/23	\$100,000
Redwood Public Law, LLP	PRA and Conflicts Issues	222540	02/25	\$150,000
Renne Public Law Group, LLP	ACE v. MWD (PERB Case No. LA-CE-1611-M)	207962	10/22	\$50,000
	Employee Relations and Personnel Matters	216045	01/24	\$50,000
	ACE v. MWD (PERB Case No. LA CE 1729 M)	220421	09/24	\$70,000
	AFSCME v. MWD (PERB Case No. LA-CE-1733-M)	220422	09/24	\$35,000
	AFSCME v. MWD (PERB Case No. LA-CE-1746-M)	222528	11/24	\$35,000
	AFSCME v. MWD (PERB Case No. LA-CE-1774-M)	226515	05/25	\$35,000
	AFSCME v. MWD (PERB Case No. LA-CE-1772-M)	226518	05/25	\$35,000
	AFSCME v. MWD (PERB Case No. LA-CE-1775-M)	226519	05/25	\$35,000
	AFSCME v. MWD (PERB Case No. LA-CE-1776-M)	226520	05/25	\$35,000
	AFSCME v. MWD (PERB Case No. LA-CE-1785-M)	226527	10/25	\$50,000
Melanie Ross Law P.C.	Tiegs v. MWD	222535	01/25	\$25,000



Firm Name	Matter Name	Agreement No.	Effective Date	Contract Maximum
Ryan & Associates	Leasing Issues	43714	06/01	\$200,000
	Oswalt v. MWD	211925	05/23	\$250,000
	Unlawful Encroachment on Metropolitan Rights-of-Way	216065	06/24	\$100,000
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	Phan v. MWD	201897	11/04/21	\$700,000
	Claim (Contract #203436)	203436	11/15/21	\$1,000,000
	General Labor/Employment Advice	211917	3/23	\$250,000
	Crawford v. MWD	216035	09/23	\$1,200,000
	Tiegs v. MWD	216043	12/23	\$1,075,000
	Zarate v. MWD	216044	01/24	\$750,000
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	Lorentzen v. MWD	216036	09/23	\$600,000
	Iverson v. MWD	222532	12/24	\$200,000
Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth*	Bond Counsel	220408	7/24	N/A
Theodora Oringher PC	Construction Contracts - General Conditions Update	185896	07/20	\$100,000
	Santa Monica Feeder Incident	226526	08/25	\$150,000
Thompson Coburn LLP	NERC Energy Reliability Standards	193451	08/20	\$600,000
Van Ness Feldman, LLP	General Litigation	170704	07/18	\$50,000
	Colorado River MSHCP	180191	01/19	\$50,000
	Bay-Delta and State Water Project Environmental Compliance	193457	10/15/20	\$50,000
	Colorado River Issues	211924	05/23	\$250,000
	Cajalco Road Widening Project	226509	04/25	\$50,000

*Expenditures paid by Bond Proceeds/Finance

**Expenditures paid by another group